

SPEC COL
HD
7293
.A49
C424
1952

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING. ADVANCE REPORTS
Series HC-8

**Housing characteristics for the state, standard metropolitan
areas, and urban places of 10,000 or more, April 1950.**

Nos. 2-50 (July 18, 1952 - January 26, 1953)

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 2

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

ALABAMA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Alabama increased 19 percent--from 708,043 in 1940 to 843,857 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 8 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Alabama were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Alabama, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 72 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 11 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 34 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 49 percent. Home ownership was somewhat more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 48 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	843,857	...	708,043	...	135,814	19.2
Occupied dwelling units...	786,839	100.0	673,815	100.0	113,024	16.8
Owner occupied.....	388,996	49.4	226,460	33.6	162,536	71.8
Renter occupied.....	397,843	50.6	447,355	66.4	-49,512	-11.1

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Alabama were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 32 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Alabama had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Homewood, for example, 72 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 5 percent in Phenix City. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 20 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 21 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 52 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$18 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,500. Thirty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Alabama. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Alabama will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*)) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown when less than 0.1. For example, percent items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500; for sample items percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units—										Owner-occupied nonfarm	Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—												
	Total number	Median number	In one-detached rooms	In one-detached structures built in 1940 or later ¹	With hot water	With central heating, not dilapidated	With central heating, dilapidated	With central heating, for rent or sale	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number per person	With 1.6 or more persons per room	Occupied by nonwhite	With central heating, residential	With central heating, residential, owner-occupied	With central heating, residential, rented	Percent mortgaged*	Percent engaged*	Median value of dwelling-unit structures ² (dollars)				
Alaska.....	863,857	4,1	79,3	27,3	31,6	1,6	786,859	2,999,317	3,4	30,5	29,3	49,4	11,2	58,7	34,6	6,473	37,51	24,52					
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	634,653	4,0	72,7	29,7	40,8	1,9	574,701	2,039,762	3,4	27,2	29,4	47,6	14,7	63,9	34,6	4,477	17,51	24,52					
Rural nonfarm.....	235,913	4,1	68,6	31,6	52,6	1,6	2,039,762	3,1	23,2	23,2	47,5	20,1	69,2	43,5	21,5	5,242	21,43	28,22					
Rural farm.....	229,224	4,2	97,2	20,9	89,5	1,6	283,007	945,723	3,1	23,2	23,2	47,5	20,5	66,3	43,6	21,5	5,262	11,47	16,61				
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																							
Baltimore.....	149,377	4,1	62,7	26,6	48,2	1,7	153,54	548,415	3,2	31,6	34,3	52,7	25,7	55,4	55,4	5,054	18,24	25,06					
Boston.....	266,426	4,2	76,7	37,7	43,2	2,1	25,313	93,165	3,1	23,3	13,5	52,3	12,4	70,1	35,5	5,093	13,85	26,66					
Mobile.....	67,048	4,0	70,9	41,2	50,2	2,1	62,348	22,500	3,2	25,8	53,4	66,3	16,5	72,1	34,6	5,111	22,23	26,53					
Montgomery.....	40,459	4,0	58,3	28,5	51,8	3,4	37,740	111,720	3,1	24,2	39,9	59,7	24,8	60,6	46,7	7,151	25,94	30,23					
URBAN PLACES																							
Aniston.....	8,864	3,9	59,8	23,4	52,9	1,1	8,677	30,501	3,2	25,1	27,5	47,0	30,8	68,9	40,6	5,054	24,64	29,33					
Baldwin.....	2,868	3,8	56,7	46,0	63,4	2,8	2,733	28,129	3,1	49,0	50,8	37,6	28,9	66,6	38,1	5,879	37,43	44,04					
Barnwell.....	8,349	3,4	65,0	21,7	51,3	2,4	8,017	28,154	3,1	49,3	40,1	31,7	28,2	58,2	38,4	4,063	13,32	22,47					
Bethel.....	6,235	4,0	18,8	55,4	52,9	1,7	92,663	317,439	3,4	21,2	36,1	46,8	30,3	73,5	48,4	6,552	20,70	29,32					
Bethpage.....	5,030	4,1	64,8	19,6	54,8	1,7	6,056	19,776	2,9	18,1	22,1	50,0	12,3	73,4	36,4	5,634	23,66	30,89					
Bethesda.....	11,471	4,0	60,4	39,1	51,7	2,7	6,250	21,216	3,1	18,5	31,8	50,1	10,5	61,8	43,4	5,341	23,40	29,77					
Bethesda-Chevy Chase.....	5,3059	4,0	48,7	13,9	52,4	0,8	3,461	12,985	3,4	17,5	27,4	54,9	47,5	19,5	67,7	48,1	5,880	17,61	25,54				
Bethesda-Towson.....	7,1,135	4,2	71,6	35,9	50,3	1,6	6,902	23,115	3,1	18,1	18,1	58,6	18,2	74,3	45,5	5,050	26,27	29,05					
Bethesda-Vevey.....	15,331	4,2	65,0	37,7	57,0	1,2	15,777	55,128	3,2	20,5	17,8	45,9	17,4	73,7	43,9	5,647	18,74	27,36					
Bethesda-Woodmont.....	5,1,737	4,2	77,0	37,7	57,7	1,2	5,675	12,480	3,2	14,2	7,4	59,6	72,0	91,4	61,1	1,3,309	58,74	63,06					
Bentonville.....	5,1,233	4,4	66,3	24,6	55,9	2,8	5,996	16,229	2,9	18,2	30,9	51,7	19,6	62,8	42,8	6,852	20,53	28,09					
Bellville.....	38,567	4,0	63,9	32,9	57,8	3,4	36,491	128,572	3,0	22,8	34,8	48,3	19,9	70,0	38,3	6,158	27,40	30,89					
Bengaluru.....	32,213	4,1	51,2	30,0	59,0	4,0	30,473	102,226	3,0	21,5	37,3	40,1	28,9	65,9	47,2	7,509	26,94	30,89					
Benton.....	2,416	3,8	60,2	28,4	47,1	1,0	3,390	12,202	3,2	26,0	23,2	36,9	8,3	55,8	32,4	3,509	19,70	24,17					
Benton City.....	6,936	3,6	72,5	28,3	57,4	1,0	6,155	23,153	3,4	34,8	30,7	43,8	4,5	52,6	35,0	3,509	17,16	23,48					
Berea.....	5,384	4,0	68,9	45,2	43,6	2,8	5,100	18,876	3,4	28,0	33,0	48,8	8,2	64,9	3,486	28,23	33,67	34,86					
Berea-Madison.....	6,772	3,7	54,3	11,1	36,9	1,1	6,603	22,282	2,9	50,7	52,7	38,2	6,4	49,5	31,2	3,943	10,74	19,55					
Bethel.....	3,222	4,5	76,3	33,4	57,9	1,1	5,153	10,648	3,4	38,8	19,3	54,9	17,0	62,1	44,4	4,744	23,00	28,72					
Bethel-Bethelwood.....	3,220	4,2	63,4	45,5	63,5	3,2	5,180	11,724	3,4	33,6	41,1	57,0	17,0	61,1	31,2	3,115	21,88	26,80					
Bethelwood.....	11,177	4,2	57,9	38,4	60,3	1,7	10,804	35,923	3,0	31,1	42,3	50,4	21,8	70,2	44,4	6,395	28,46	29,17					

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units 1-4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 3

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

ARIZONA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Arizona increased 64 percent--from 147,079 in 1940 to 240,750 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 50 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Arizona were much greater than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about nine-tenths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas eserted the remaining one-tenth.

These and other summary data for Arizona, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 89 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 48 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 56 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership was about equally prevalent in urban areas as in rural areas, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in Mesa, 62 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with only 48 percent in Tucson.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	240,750	...	147,079	...	93,671	63.7
Occupied dwelling units...	210,374	100.0	131,133	100.0	79,241	60.4
Owner occupied.....	118,620	56.4	65,842	47.9	55,778	88.8
Renter occupied.....	91,754	43.6	68,291	52.1	23,463	34.4

Almost one-half of the dwelling units in Arizona were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm houses

in rural areas, where 54 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among urban dwelling units was 44 percent and among rural-farm units, 40 percent.

About one-fifth of the occupied dwelling units in Arizona had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Phoenix, for example, 34 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 12 percent in Amphitheater. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 23 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 6 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 65 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 36 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 72 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,900. Forty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Arizona. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Arizona will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units		Occupied dwelling units						Occupied nonfarm			Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross contract rent (dollars)	Median value of one-unit dwellings (dollars)	Median value of one-unit dwellings (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm				
	Percent of dwelling units—		Population in dwelling units			Percent of dwelling units—			Owner-occupied nonwhite		With central heating*									
	Total number	Median number of rooms	With hot water, running, nonprivate toilet unit in and not dilapidated, dated 10 years or later*	In one-dwelling-unit detached structures†	Total number	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Ocupied by nonwhite	With owner-occupied	With individual heating*	Percent mortgaged*	Percent owned*								
Arizona.....	240,750	3.7	79.2	46.9	62.5	5.1	210,374	721,992	3.0	27.6	9.7	56.4	19.0	72.2	42.8	5,936	35.50	36.83		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	217,902	3.7	77.7	47.6	65.3	5.3	191,461	645,683	3.0	26.0	8.1	56.2	20.3	73.9	42.8	5,936	35.50	36.83		
Urban.....	137,814	3.8	73.4	44.0	72.0	5.3	123,886	402,782	2.9	21.7	4.9	54.7	22.8	78.6	45.1	6,477	37.32	37.32		
Rural nonfarm.....	80,088	3.5	85.1	54.1	53.6	5.4	67,579	243,101	3.2	31.9	14.0	58.8	15.6	65.3	38.4	4,597	31.45	35.45		
Rural farm.....	22,848	3.2	93.4	39.8	35.9	3.0	18,913	76,109	3.5	25.7	58.6	6.2	54.2	***	***	***	***	***		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Phoenix.....	108,047	3.8	79.3	52.6	70.0	5.3	96,435	320,336	3.0	23.8	5.8	57.0	23.8	75.9	52.6	6,615	38.59	37.19		
URBAN PLACES																				
Amphitheater (uninc.),.....	4,651	3.5	83.2	63.2	78.8	6.5	4,038	12,594	2.8	21.6	0.4	62.0	11.5	83.0	58.5	6,941	50.10	47.48		
Mesa.....	5,323	4.1	77.2	56.0	76.6	5.8	4,779	16,697	3.2	25.4	3.2	62.2	24.3	82.9	46.0	6,632	41.24	37.83		
Phoenix.....	37,444	4.0	67.3	35.6	81.1	5.1	34,245	103,509	2.6	51.0	5.6	34.2	32.8	50.7	7,939	42.88	40.31	40.94		
Tucson.....	15,062	4.0	60.7	25.7	77.8	4.2	13,016	42,322	2.7	15.7	7.3	48.3	29.3	84.3	43.3	9,922	42.40	40.94		

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 8, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 4

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

ARKANSAS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Arkansas increased 10 percent--from 520,613 in 1940 to 575,163 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period showed a slight decrease--2 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in Arkansas were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Thirty-nine percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 26 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Arkansas, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 45 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 20 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 40 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 54 percent. Home ownership was about equally prevalent among rural-farm and urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	575,163	...	520,613	...	54,550	10.5
Occupied dwelling units...	524,391	100.0	495,825	100.0	28,566	5.8
Owner occupied.....	285,599	54.5	196,916	39.7	88,683	45.0
Renter occupied.....	238,792	45.5	298,909	60.3	-60,117	-20.1

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Arkansas were built between 1940 and 1950. The

largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 32 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (24 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

One-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Arkansas had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Fort Smith, for example, 66 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 17 percent in Hot Springs. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 23 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 23 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 57 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$23 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,100. Twenty-nine percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Arkansas. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Arkansas will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 26-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units ¹				Owner-occupied nonfarm				Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—									
	Total number	Median number	In one-dwelling-unit detached houses	In structures of two or more rooms	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Orient occupied nonfarm white	Orient occupied	With mechanical heating*	With central heating	Percent more engaged ²						
Arkansas.....	575,163	4,0	86,5	26,9	28,7	1,8	524,391	1,874,452	3,1	26,7	21,2	54,5	10,1	55,1	29,1	4,087	22,60	27,91
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	353,480	4,0	79,8	28,9	42,5	2,2	327,642	1,073,530	2,9	21,7	20,3	54,1	15,2	62,9	29,1	4,087	22,60	27,91
Urban.....	202,018	4,1	72,6	26,7	57,2	2,1	192,013	656,688	2,9	18,1	22,5	54,1	23,1	70,1	35,9	5,428	27,45	31,74
Rural nonfarm.....	151,462	3,9	69,4	31,9	135,69	4,7	135,69	465,842	3,0	26,9	17,2	54,1	4,0	52,5	17,0	4,413	27,45	31,74
Rural, farm.....	221,463	4,0	97,3	23,7	6,6	1,2	196,569	800,954	3,6	34,9	22,8	55,1	1,6	42,3	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Little Rock-North Little Rock.....	59,228	4,1	71,1	29,1	60,0	1,7	56,569	185,871	3,0	18,9	22,4	54,7	29,9	76,9	43,3	6,425	35,18	36,97
URBAN PLACES																		
Bluffton.....	5,260	3,8	74,2	24,2	42,6	2,2	5,006	16,064	2,9	22,9	21,4	50,2	8,8	54,3	38,9	3,162	18,24	27,60
Coldwater.....	5,759	4,2	80,1	30,1	45,5	3,5	3,501	11,228	2,9	16,2	43,9	47,5	16,5	58,3	23,5	2,329	18,24	27,60
E. Benton.....	7,446	4,1	77,9	21,4	64,6	1,8	7,226	23,700	2,9	16,6	27,1	51,5	13,7	75,6	32,6	6,179	18,17	20,68
Fayetteville.....	4,951	4,0	60,7	28,4	69,5	2,3	4,723	14,513	2,8	17,3	2,5	47,1	26,9	74,8	34,5	6,861	36,70	37,68
Fort Smith.....	16,269	4,4	76,0	27,5	69,2	5,6	14,913	47,131	2,9	13,4	8,4	63,3	66,1	77,2	50,1	5,981	29,60	29,62
Holmes.....	3,731	3,4	63,0	9,5	26,4	0,8	3,635	10,936	2,5	20,4	60,2	28,5	13,6	45,1	29,7	5,026	14,02	24,12
Hot Springs.....	11,053	3,9	61,2	18,4	59,2	8,2	9,644	27,666	2,5	14,5	15,4	47,3	17,2	68,9	30,2	5,654	33,07	33,21
Jonesboro.....	4,943	4,3	72,7	23,2	57,6	1,2	4,800	15,469	2,9	19,4	9,1	55,9	12,8	71,3	39,5	5,957	29,86	37,02
Lake Charles.....	36,217	4,3	60,4	18,6	71,7	1,6	30,967	95,091	2,9	13,9	20,4	44,0	7,413	39,21	40,52	6,014	31,73	37,02
Little Rock.....	13,413	3,9	71,2	23,8	62,1	1,6	12,701	41,086	2,8	26,5	31,2	53,5	25,9	74,2	45,0	6,703	28,76	32,20
Pine Bluff.....	11,349	4,2	76,6	26,2	50,8	1,6	11,164	36,187	2,8	18,5	44,2	54,2	12,8	60,2	30,9	5,995	27,15	32,20
Texarkana.....	5,301	4,1	71,7	24,6	58,3	2,7	4,944	17,705	2,9	18,3	27,2	55,2	14,9	70,3	30,1	4,595	27,40	27,90

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to unit in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 15, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 5

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

CALIFORNIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in California increased 53 percent—from 2,340,373 in 1940 to 3,590,660 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 53 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in California were much greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 5 percent of all the dwelling units in the state were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 14 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for California, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 95 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 26 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 43 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 54 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Sixty-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 53 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units	3,590,660	...	2,340,373	...	1,250,287	53.4
Occupied dwelling units.....	3,333,406	100.0	2,138,343	100.0	1,195,063	55.9
Owner occupied.....	1,811,684	54.3	928,796	43.6	882,888	95.1
Renter occupied.....	1,521,722	45.7	1,209,547	56.6	312,175	25.8

More than one-third of the dwelling units in California were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 49 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 29 percent of the farm units.

Forty-four percent of the occupied dwelling units in California had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Beverly Hills, for example, 90 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 30 percent in Santa Barbara. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 49 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 17 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, urban housing was of better quality than rural housing in the State. In rural areas, 69 percent of the dwelling units had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 90 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$40 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$9,600. Fifty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for California. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for California will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$1.00 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 1,000; for sample items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					With mobile-home or trailer-unit			Percent mortgaged*		Median value of dwelling-unit taxes (dollars)		Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-story dwellings, dated before 1940 or later*	In two-story dwellings, dated before 1940 or later*	In three-story dwellings, dated before 1940 or later*	Population in dwelling units	Total number of persons	With 1 or more permanent room	Owner-occupied nonfarm white	With mobile-home or trailer-unit	With central heating	With mobile-refrig.	Percent mortgaged	Median value of dwelling-unit taxes	Median gross monthly rent	Median rent (dollar base)			
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
California.....	3,493,660	4.3	68.6	24.9	86.0	2,7	333,406	10,645,635	2.7	12.3	5.0	54.3	44.1	85.1	53.0	9,364	40,448	42,20	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,521,659	4.3	67.3	35.2	86.3	2.7	172,966	9,921,444	2.7	12.0	5.0	53.8	44.4	85.2	53.0	9,364	40,448	42,20	
Urban.....	1,293,811	4.3	65.4	33.0	86.3	2.7	140,451	8,852,852	2.7	11.9	5.0	54.4	44.9	85.3	53.1	9,364	40,448	42,20	
Rural nonfarm.....	230,848	4.3	78.4	42.7	86.3	2.7	82,728	229,336	2.8	13.2	2.9	57.0	21.9	42.5	42.5	31,17	37,77	37,77	
Rural farm.....	187,160	4.6	88.3	44.6	69.4	3.0	81,724	136,992	2.9	21.6	5.3	65.0	16.9	63.0	***	***	***	***	
URBAN PLACES																			
Fresno.....	86,059	4.4	86.3	36.9	69.6	1.9	80,943	270,510	3.0	20.3	6.2	60.8	42.2	46.5	7,470	33,23	36,21	37,96	
Los Angeles.....	1,571,859	4.3	65.4	33.9	91.0	3.0	1,440,551	4,215,250	2.6	10.4	5.0	54.1	44.9	89.9	57.8	9,899	43,24	44,61	
Long Beach.....	97,253	4.2	78.4	42.7	86.3	2.9	65,728	287,728	2.8	13.2	2.9	63.4	26.0	87.9	56.3	11,10	41,26	43,10	
San Bernardino.....	100,422	4.3	78.4	42.7	86.3	2.9	65,813	287,672	2.8	13.2	2.9	63.5	26.2	49.5	7,116	36,78	36,78	36,78	
San Diego.....	182,550	4.2	70.3	44.2	86.3	3.0	167,310	303,337	2.7	10.3	5.3	56.7	36.3	41.5	9,458	36,78	36,78	36,78	
San Francisco-Oakland.....	761,668	4.6	87.7	50.9	90.3	2.3	70,987	2,060,310	3.0	9.5	7.5	49.1	61.7	83.3	54.0	11,333	40,18	43,48	
Stockton.....	93,670	4.3	68.7	42.3	86.4	2.4	85,476	272,536	2.9	12.3	6.5	60.0	84.9	52.5	10,664	40,37	43,45	43,45	
Glendale.....	61,430	4.3	65.7	39.7	86.3	2.2	56,549	184,549	2.9	17.5	7.0	60.1	42.1	81.0	47.5	53,07	35,95	35,95	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Alameda.....	21,289	4.2	81.8	44.4	95.1	2.9	20,354	61,901	2.8	9.8	7.3	29.1	27.0	82.2	45.2	11,043	36,01	37,96	
Alameda-Berkeley-San Leandro.....	5,363	4.2	70.5	33.4	92.9	0.9	17,499	30,616	9.2	61.2	6.2	83.2	89.9	51.5	11,10	36,41	36,41	36,41	
Alameda-San Leandro.....	18,422	4.9	77.1	47.7	97.4	2.2	17,734	50,809	2.6	3.7	0.3	66.4	52.3	52.3	11,921	50,76	50,76	50,76	
Alameda-Union.....	5,137	4.2	76.1	41.8	80.4	1.9	4,955	16,652	3.2	26.8	0.6	45.4	73.2	8,680	8,680	8,680	8,680	8,680	
Antioch.....	5,080	4.7	76.3	42.3	80.3	2.5	4,818	14,284	2.6	8.3	0.6	63.4	32.0	66.0	8,204	33,03	36,26	36,26	
Brentwood.....	3,328	4.7	83.3	48.4	91.8	1.4	3,246	10,986	3.2	4.7	0.1	60.6	72.3	91.9	53.6	8,321	38,15	41,70	
Brentwood-Livermore.....	8,187	5.2	89.6	59.6	97.7	3.2	7,657	22,977	2.8	4.7	0.1	76.6	65.3	59.9	13,787	63,25	63,70	63,70	
Brentwood-Suisun City.....	3,302	4.4	80.2	55.8	93.3	3.9	3,071	10,964	3.4	20.5	0.1	70.6	82.0	63.4	7,987	36,44	41,84	41,84	
Brentwood-Tiburon.....	1,126	4.4	87.7	77.9	90.8	1.6	10,974	30,907	2.7	9.0	0.4	44.9	93.3	44.9	9,337	43,71	44,80	44,80	
Bell.....	5,759	4.2	76.3	41.8	89.2	2.7	5,332	15,843	8.3	24.6	0.1	59.4	40.7	89.2	53.1	8,936	45,46	46,60	
Berkeley.....	37,460	4.5	80.7	13.7	94.3	1.4	36,237	102,399	2.9	6.4	12.3	47.6	69.3	86.0	42.5	12,290	46,43	47,53	
Beverly Hills.....	11,232	5.2	84.4	13.6	94.9	3.7	10,616	28,720	2.4	1.2	0.3	47.0	98.5	98.5	39.7	26,000	82,21	82,21	
Burbank.....	23,282	4.3	75.0	20.3	84.5	3.0	3,166	11,620	3.2	36.4	5.2	43.3	6.7	71.0	30.3	5,763	31,47	36,84	
Burbank-Glendale-Pasadena.....	7,114	5.1	78.6	60.5	93.6	2.3	29,026	77,907	2.8	4.9	0.1	68.0	63.6	91.8	53.6	53,89	31,66	31,66	
Chico.....	4,269	4.6	66.9	20.0	86.6	1.8	3,664	19,693	2.6	2.1	0.1	64.8	50.9	91.2	41,78	61,00	63,15	63,15	
Chico-Victorville.....	5,726	4.5	77.1	64.3	89.5	2.7	4,100	26,110	2.5	7.4	0.5	55.7	40.0	89.2	41,78	61,00	63,14	63,14	
Colton.....	5,123	4.6	78.6	47.7	84.3	2.7	16,638	31,016	3.0	12.6	0.6	67.9	44.5	84.5	41,48	55,27	56,97	56,97	
Compton.....	1,746	4.6	78.6	47.7	84.3	2.7	14,013	31,220	3.1	12.6	0.6	63.2	23.1	82.6	51.3	49,41	52,22	52,22	
Corona.....	3,253	4.2	82.0	18.1	97.4	3.9	3,010	10,186	2.9	10.2	0.1	57.0	28.5	78.7	49.5	6,835	22,32	22,32	
Coronado.....	4,372	4.6	88.3	37.8	97.7	3.6	3,998	11,857	2.7	6.4	0.6	40.2	34.6	62.9	53.4	50,91	50,42	56,64	
Costa Mesa (within).....	5,193	4.0	88.3	59.3	92.3	2.3	4,000	12,024	2.8	12.7	0.6	62.5	25.6	68.2	53.4	47,62	47,62	50,79	
Costa Mesa (without).....	6,980	4.8	75.7	27.5	90.2	1.6	4,671	13,472	2.8	12.8	0.6	62.5	28.9	68.2	53.4	47,25	51,07	51,07	
Culver City.....	2,246	4.9	75.7	36.0	92.3	1.6	4,020	15,000	3.0	7.4	0.4	42.3	22.2	62.9	44,27	44,27	44,27	44,27	
Daly City.....	1,403	4.0	85.1	53.2	92.3	1.9	10,891	27,437	3.1	26.9	16.7	61.3	31.4	69.1	59.1	37,18	36,05	36,05	

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without

** Median occupied trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDED METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--Con.

[Autorek (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete item-level, percent and median not shown where less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where less than 100. Item-level, percent not shown where less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where less than 100.]

卷之三

卷之三

- - -

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE:
1950--Con.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20 percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 100; For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units						Renter-occupied unit-arm
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—						
	Total Median number	Median number of rooms	In one- dwelling unit, detached structures*	With hot water, with private toilets	Without private toilets	Total Number of dwellings units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With central heating	With mechani- cal refrig- erator*	With most expen- sive room	Owner occupied nonwhite	Percent engaged*	Median value of dwelling units (dol- lars)	Median gross rent per month (dol- lars)		
URBAN PLACES—Con.																	
Bellmead.....	6,354	4.7	76.9	20.1	85.2	2,6	6,032	17,512	2.5	9.8	1.3	60.6	23.1	82.5	36.8	7,991	
Bedford Beach.....	8,410	4.7	76.9	20.1	85.2	2.6	7,938	24,870	2.9	10.5	1.3	63.6	30.4	83.6	71.20	40.48	
Bedford City.....	1,450	4.7	76.9	20.1	85.2	2.6	7,897	25,142	3.0	6.9	1.9	71.3	92.3	65.2	56.51	59.18	
Richmond.....	31,201	3.8	61.6	4.5	93.2	94.4	7,454	22,807	3.0	6.9	1.9	73.9	92.6	65.0	8,977	32.50	
Riverside.....	16,056	4.7	76.9	20.1	88.0	3.5	8,482	88,460	3.2	26.7	11.9	73.9	92.6	42.4	8,944	42.29	
Sacramento.....	44,654	4.7	76.9	20.1	85.2	1.7	15,051	48,467	2.7	9.2	1.7	86.4	39.1	41.2	10,218	40.48	
Salinas.....	4,593	4.7	76.9	20.1	85.2	1.8	4,329	12,199	2.6	7.6	1.3	64.5	44.5	41.9	13,005	44.44	
San Bernardino.....	21,292	4.6	76.5	20.1	89.0	50.4	4,297	12,734	2.5	10.4	5.9	45.6	47.7	81.0	50.8	40.48	
San Bruno.....	3,999	4.9	81.7	52.1	86.1	3.4	4,657	61,322	3.2	4.2	0.6	61.3	38.3	45.1	8,096	38.58	
San Buenaventura.....	5,853	4.3	76.7	21.1	86.3	3.4	5,844	11,385	2.5	10.4	0.6	62.4	38.4	48.4	11,185	51.39	
San Carlos.....	4,493	5.3	76.5	38.0	99.3	3.6	5,646	15,994	2.5	10.4	1.6	62.6	38.6	48.6	10,745	57.23	
San Diego.....	110,005	4.2	62.9	38.0	93.0	3.6	10,290	30,490	3.3	2.9	0.1	88.6	76.2	77.8	13,918	87.44	
San Fernand.....	3,959	4.2	76.8	32.0	87.5	3.4	3,821	12,792	3.0	17.3	1.4	62.6	38.7	48.7	9,693	39.11	
San Francisco.....	265,726	4.5	73.3	14.1	89.5	1.5	257,734	42,322	3.0	22.3	1.3	62.6	38.7	48.7	26,463	52.21	
San Gabriel.....	6,329	5.0	95.1	48.5	93.3	1.3	6,149	20,181	3.0	8.3	0.9	76.8	72.4	48.0	11,797	53.34	
San Jose.....	30,897	4.8	72.0	23.4	91.1	2.0	29,724	90,224	3.0	9.0	1.4	62.3	70.0	86.5	12,760	51.34	
San Leandro.....	8,642	5.0	82.8	47.7	96.8	1.4	8,478	27,203	3.1	5.8	0.4	62.5	72.4	48.0	10,233	43.22	
San Luis Obispo.....	4,964	5.0	73.3	28.4	85.6	1.3	4,805	13,365	2.5	8.9	0.4	62.5	72.4	48.0	9,095	42.34	
San Mateo.....	3,559	5.0	95.0	37.9	94.7	0.9	3,456	11,230	3.1	0.2	0.4	98.1	99.1	99.7	10,000	100.00	
San Pedro.....	1,894	5.2	75.6	56.4	98.3	1.5	1,847	41,005	3.0	4.1	3.5	71.9	95.7	68.3	13,536	76.05	
San Pablo.....	4,497	5.0	80.5	74.7	97.1	2.7	4,262	14,440	2.4	25.0	2.4	63.5	44.6	69.0	7,048	74.37	
San Ramon.....	4,679	4.8	64.6	30.3	91.8	1.9	4,459	12,972	2.4	5.2	0.4	88.5	72.6	48.6	8,988	50.11	
San Simeon.....	13,938	4.6	76.5	29.7	90.6	3.2	15,120	44,986	2.6	7.8	0.4	55.5	45.7	88.1	8,754	34.82	
Santa Barbara.....	15,938	4.4	76.5	29.7	90.6	2.2	15,059	42,317	2.4	9.2	0.9	52.7	29.9	43.2	11,040	42.40	
Santa Cruz.....	3,411	4.9	76.5	47.6	84.5	1.5	3,307	10,813	2.0	11.9	0.6	65.2	79.2	48.6	36.21	40.62	
Santa Fe Springs.....	9,328	4.6	78.4	21.2	89.3	3.2	8,482	21,158	2.4	4.9	0.8	64.4	39.7	75.4	8,178	38.42	
Santa Fe, New Mexico.....	3,267	4.4	76.7	22.5	88.1	2.1	3,151	10,123	2.7	10.8	3.8	50.0	30.6	45.0	4,144	44.97	
Santa Fe, Texas.....	27,070	4.0	51.7	28.8	89.1	4.0	25,851	69,390	2.3	10.3	3.9	40.3	38.6	88.2	13,024	53.39	
Santa Fe, Washington.....	6,6327	4.7	76.8	24.0	73.1	1.2	3,207	10,711	3.0	23.7	0.7	50.5	11.8	68.8	7,600	38.88	
Santa Fe, Wisconsin.....	3,446	4.6	90.1	70.1	74.7	0.9	3,109	10,202	2.9	11.1	0.4	48.3	48.3	88.9	9,609	39.06	
Seattle (urban).....	16,853	4.7	93.0	51.4	92.4	1.1	3,485	12,083	5.3	21.0	1.4	73.1	42.5	54.0	6,102	48.85	
South Bend, Indiana.....	6,525	4.7	92.9	51.3	91.8	2.3	6,520	16,926	2.9	7.0	0.4	69.3	53.5	64.6	8,346	48.40	
South Pasadena.....	5,486	4.8	67.5	49.5	96.5	2.3	5,627	14,967	3.2	2.7	0.2	64.0	45.0	43.8	9,198	52.35	
South San Francisco.....	21,545	4.6	65.5	42.2	80.0	55.1	20,744	65,791	2.6	11.1	1.6	75.1	64.3	50.2	11,237	60.63	
Toronto.....	7,200	4.4	62.2	36.1	76.9	2.0	20,829	12,734	2.4	10.7	1.2	65.8	67.9	88.6	8,379	35.92	
Tulare.....	3,182	4.3	82.3	33.0	93.6	1.4	3,688	12,794	2.0	18.1	0.4	62.6	42.6	42.6	7,528	44.64	
Vallejo.....	8,922	4.3	82.3	33.0	93.6	1.4	8,033	12,734	2.0	22.0	2.4	64.5	54.1	65.1	8,674	36.22	
Ventura.....	3,834	4.6	79.0	34.0	90.1	1.6	3,753	11,220	2.5	9.7	0.4	62.6	32.7	42.6	7,136	36.36	
Whittier.....	4,066	4.8	71.3	10.9	82.0	2.2	3,917	11,088	2.5	11.8	1.2	64.4	32.7	42.6	8,397	36.24	
Whittier.....	8,477	4.8	79.0	35.6	95.1	2.5	8,125	22,807	2.5	11.7	0.2	63.1	50.3	69.1	9,659	34.07	

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without basins.

† Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

‡ Includes occupied trailers.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 6

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

COLORADO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Colorado increased 23 percent--from 354,660 in 1940 to 436,226 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 18 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Colorado was equal to that for the United States, while the percentage gain in population was greater, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

About one-seventh of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Colorado, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 55 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 3 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 46 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 58 percent. Home ownership was somewhat more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Sixty-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	436,226	...	354,660	...	81,566	23.0
Occupied dwelling units...	391,235	100.0	316,000	100.0	75,235	23.8
Owner occupied.....	227,298	58.1	146,455	46.3	80,843	55.2
Renter occupied.....	163,937	41.9	169,545	53.7	-5,608	-3.3

Almost one-fourth of the dwelling units in Colorado were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 28 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (15 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Colorado had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Denver, for example, 82 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 63 percent in Pueblo. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 69 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 16 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 44 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with 29 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 76 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,200. Forty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Colorado. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Colorado will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Material (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 300.]

Area	All dwelling units		Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
	Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures* (dollars)		Median gross monthly rent (dollars)			
	Total number	Median number of rooms	With hot water running, with seasonal non-dilapidated, and bath, and not dilapidated	In one-story detached units built in 1940 or later*	Vacant, with part-time or part-year occupancy, not dilapidated, and not for rent or sale	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.0 or more persons per room	With Owner-occupied by non-white	With mechanical refrigerating	With central heating	With motor vehicles	Percent motor vehicles	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
Colorado.....	436,226	4.2	71.9	22.7	61.1	1.9	393,235	1,227,727	2.9	18.0	1.8	56.1	52.2	77.6	41.7	7,151	36,31	39,25
Urban and rural nonfarm.	378,449	4.1	67.8	24.0	66.5	2.0	340,057	1,060,190	2.8	17.1	1.9	57.4	57.7	78.5	41.7	7,151	36,31	39,25
Urban.....	265,056	4.2	60.5	22.4	75.8	1.7	255,112	777,037	2.7	16.2	2.3	56.7	69.3	82.3	46.5	8,163	38,04	40,36
Rural nonfarm.....	109,393	3.9	85.4	28.0	43.6	1.5	84,945	283,133	2.6	26.1	0.5	22.5	66.9	20.2	40.2	4,060	27.70	35.13
Rural farms.....	61,777	4.5	96.5	15.0	28.5	1.9	51,278	197,537	3.5	23.7	1.2	62.6	15.7	71.4	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Denver.....	180,410	4.2	59.3	27.2	74.4	1.6	172,104	529,770	2.7	14.6	2.9	55.5	73.9	83.3	52.8	9,145	38,94	41.13
Pueblo.....	23,551	4.0	71.7	23.5	60.3	1.2	28,397	82,458	3.0	25.5	2.0	63.7	54.2	77.7	43.4	6,228	31,25	35.51
URBAN PLACES																		
Aurora.....	3,825	4.0	75.3	72.1	86.1	2.7	3,517	11,318	3.1	16.7	0.1	68.2	76.6	90.4	72.0	8,839	67.40	66.24
Boulder.....	5,745	4.5	57.2	18.0	86.9	1.4	5,572	16,347	2.6	8.6	1.1	55.8	69.8	86.5	39.0	8,921	42.79	42,67
Colorado Springs.....	16,541	4.3	63.5	13.8	76.6	2.5	15,661	43,434	2.4	9.9	2.4	55.1	79.7	44.1	38.20	7,915	38,20	38,20
Denver.....	133,690	4.3	51.3	20.6	78.3	1.3	130,306	388,625	2.6	11.9	3.6	51.7	62.0	83.9	51.3	9,657	38.52	42.24
Englewood.....	5,377	4.4	77.3	1.4	5223	1.4	16,695	3.0	11.9	0.1	72.9	77.3	59.3	44.3	6,334	42.55	44.61	
Fort Collins.....	4,588	4.5	63.7	14.7	81.4	0.9	5,518	13,719	2.6	10.7	0.1	61.2	67.5	85.7	40.3	7,812	38.73	40.21
Grand Junction.....	4,707	4.1	67.4	19.4	78.6	1.7	4,480	13,763	2.8	15.0	0.4	60.1	61.6	70.2	40.3	7,559	37.91	40.29
Greeley.....	6,694	4.0	53.2	22.0	77.8	2.4	6,397	19,025	2.6	14.0	0.3	54.7	66.2	80.8	42.1	7,813	37.30	41.05
Leadville.....	19,569	4.1	67.3	16.5	68.5	1.1	16,151	61,561	2.9	24.4	64.6	62.9	81.1	43.1	6,533	32.01	36.34	
Pueblo.....	3,639	4.5	70.0	4.4	59.0	2.3	3,454	11,869	3.1	21.3	1.2	58.7	55.6	70.9	21.3	6,689	29.67	36.28

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 8, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 7

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

CONNECTICUT

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Connecticut increased 25 percent--from 488,543 in 1940 to 611,162 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 17 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Connecticut were slightly greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 3 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 21 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Connecticut, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 60 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 4 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 40 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 51 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 46 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	611,162	...	488,543	...	122,619	25.1
Occupied dwelling units...	569,638	100.0	448,682	100.0	120,956	27.0
Owner occupied.....	290,968	51.1	181,629	40.5	109,339	60.2
Renter occupied.....	278,670	48.9	267,053	59.5	11,617	4.4

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Connecticut were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 27 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (14 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Connecticut had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities. In Stamford, for example, 78 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 46 percent in Ansonia.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 74 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 67 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 85 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$32 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$11,900. Sixty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Connecticut. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Connecticut will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.

Percent not above where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500;

for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm			
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Median value of one-family dwelling-unit structures (dollars)		Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		Renter-occupied nonfarm				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-family detached units	In one-family attached units	With hot water and built in 1940 or later*	In one-family detached units	In one-family attached units	With more than one person per room	With one person per room	Owner-occupied nonwhite	In one-family detached units	In one-family attached units	With central heating	With individual heating*	With central heating	With individual heating*	Percent mortgaged	Percent mortgaged	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of one-family dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Median value of one-family dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)
Concentric.....	611,162	5.0	47.2	12.3	61.6	1.1	569,538	3.1	9.7	2.2	51.1	65.2	93.6	62.8	11,982	31,055	45,116	45,116	31,835	36,046	45,116	45,116		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	590,584	5.0	45.9	17.5	82.3	0.9	552,604	1,860,848	3.1	9.8	2.3	50.2	65.2	93.6	62.8	11,862	31,835	45,116	45,116	31,835	36,046	45,116	45,116	
Urban.....	459,353	4.9	36.0	14.9	84.5	0.9	443,961	1,946,673	3.1	10.2	2.7	45.6	69.4	93.6	65.0	12,131	49,677	45,279	45,279	49,677	52,117	49,677	49,677	
Rural nonfarm.....	131,171	5.4	83.5	27.1	74.3	0.8	105,631	384,175	3.2	8.1	0.7	69.3	65.6	92.9	56.4	11,049	29,587	43,901	43,901	29,587	36,046	44,445	44,445	
Rural farm.....	20,486	6.3	83.7	15.8	66.6	5.0	17,024	61,246	3.4	7.3	0.4	60.2	59.5	91.9	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																								
Bridgeport.....	77,919	4.8	43.6	20.9	88.4	0.9	70,054	251,275	3.2	11.0	2.5	49.1	74.2	94.5	62.0	12,145	32,26	44,22	44,22	31,611	37,458	43,320	43,320	
New Britain-Bristol.....	103,456	4.9	43.9	23.7	88.4	0.9	101,071	360,622	3.1	10.3	3.2	44.5	78.1	94.7	62.0	12,177	49,677	45,279	45,279	49,677	52,117	44,659	44,659	
Hartford.....	10,554	4.8	37.1	20.3	83.3	0.6	42,205	144,233	3.1	11.4	0.8	49.0	61.9	76.4	64.0	10,615	30,448	44,445	44,445	30,448	36,046	44,445	44,445	
New Haven.....	77,482	4.9	38.0	12.3	83.7	0.5	75,108	252,118	3.1	9.6	3.7	46.6	72.9	94.8	62.3	12,368	41,822	53,823	53,823	41,822	47,382	42,761	42,761	
Stamford-New Haven.....	57,961	5.1	55.5	17.9	89.2	1.2	53,999	191,402	3.2	9.5	3.1	56.0	82.0	94.7	66.3	12,368	41,822	53,823	53,823	41,822	47,382	42,761	42,761	
Waterbury.....	44,240	4.9	39.3	15.5	81.0	1.0	42,879	151,242	3.2	11.1	2.1	49.0	55.3	93.8	62.8	11,065	28,15	42,761	42,761	28,15	32,26	42,761	42,761	
URBAN PLACES																								
Austin.....	4,509	4.7	22.1	4.9	68.5	0.3	5,441	18,465	3.2	11.6	6.1	41.2	46.3	91.9	52.6	10,276	22,96	37,458	37,458	31,611	37,458	43,320	43,320	
Baltimore.....	45,588	4.6	43.9	19.7	80.9	0.6	46,000	10,288	3.0	12.8	3.5	34.0	67.6	91.6	57.7	11,633	43,320	44,659	44,659	43,320	44,659	44,659	44,659	
Bristol.....	10,554	4.9	43.9	23.7	87.7	1.1	9,794	35,462	3.1	10.7	0.5	56.2	74.0	96.2	67.5	9,987	31,288	37,458	37,458	31,288	37,458	43,320	43,320	
Danbury.....	6,827	4.8	47.7	4.8	85.6	2.5	6,794	21,250	3.0	9.7	1.6	42.3	68.6	90.8	58.8	10,959	38,01	44,445	44,445	38,01	44,445	38,142	38,142	
Derby.....	2,999	4.7	28.4	8.9	68.8	0.4	2,928	10,108	3.0	12.4	0.6	42.3	68.6	90.9	53.2	11,153	23,757	38,142	38,142	23,757	38,142	38,142	38,142	
Hartford.....	52,429	4.4	10.6	9.3	86.3	1.4	51,404	165,332	3.0	13.4	5.7	22.6	71.3	92.7	72.1	12,809	37,866	48,667	48,667	37,866	48,667	48,667	48,667	
Meriden.....	13,352	5.0	37.2	13.0	83.2	0.4	13,146	42,526	3.0	8.3	0.7	51.4	64.4	94.6	57.3	10,726	28,277	42,611	42,611	28,277	42,611	42,611	42,611	
Middlebury.....	7,522	5.0	37.1	13.0	76.5	1.1	7,309	24,913	3.2	9.6	1.4	48.5	74.5	95.8	51.9	11,679	31,288	46,446	46,446	31,288	46,446	46,446	46,446	
Milford.....	5,302	5.0	46.3	17.6	81.3	1.8	5,157	17,139	3.1	8.3	0.1	55.9	62.8	94.3	61.7	11,233	26,233	41,233	41,233	26,233	41,233	41,233	41,233	
New Haven-Bridgeport.....	21,100	4.6	20.5	15.2	86.8	0.4	20,923	71,910	3.1	12.3	0.1	53.8	55.1	94.9	63.6	12,987	28,744	42,611	42,611	28,744	42,611	42,611	42,611	
New Haven.....	47,385	4.6	17.4	6.8	81.1	0.4	46,891	152,905	3.0	11.0	5.4	31.7	65.8	93.9	94.8	12,187	29,321	43,339	43,339	29,321	43,339	43,339	43,339	
New London.....	9,244	5.0	31.6	5.0	80.6	3.0	8,764	27,674	2.9	7.7	4.3	42.0	70.5	92.5	66.1	13,790	34,861	46,211	46,211	34,861	46,211	46,211	46,211	
Newark.....	14,917	4.8	31.7	8.0	88.0	1.2	14,409	48,493	3.1	10.3	4.1	57.1	82.3	92.5	66.1	13,018	38,94	50,225	50,225	38,94	50,225	50,225	50,225	
New Haven-Bridgeport.....	3,799	5.1	28.4	2.8	74.7	1.1	3,710	22,970	3.2	7.6	1.7	45.3	59.1	88.7	54.3	8,068	26,119	38,465	38,465	26,119	38,465	38,465	38,465	
New Haven-Bridgeport-Torrington.....	5,629	5.1	37.1	20.2	82.3	1.1	5,526	12,183	3.2	12.4	0.2	58.4	54.3	92.9	54.3	9,846	23,251	41,233	41,233	23,251	41,233	41,233	41,233	
New Haven-Bridgeport-Wallingford.....	2,129	4.8	40.6	18.6	85.7	1.0	20,649	71,939	3.1	7.7	0.5	46.9	77.5	92.4	54.7	14,242	40,727	52,761	52,761	40,727	52,761	52,761	52,761	
New Haven-Bridgeport-Wallingford-Torrington.....	8,309	5.0	35.8	13.0	86.4	0.5	8,189	27,496	3.1	7.7	0.5	46.9	77.5	92.4	54.7	14,242	40,727	52,761	52,761	40,727	52,761	52,761	52,761	
New Haven-Bridgeport-Wallingford-Torrington-Milford.....	30,150	4.9	37.0	12.6	85.6	0.5	3,675	11,877	3.1	7.8	0.5	52.2	69.3	95.3	51.2	11,926	28,440	43,555	43,555	28,440	43,555	43,555	43,555	
Manhattan.....	4,165	5.0	43.8	26.6	85.2	1.6	4,049	10,948	3.1	11.6	2.9	42.0	70.5	95.3	63.5	11,233	28,311	42,761	42,761	28,311	42,761	42,761	42,761	
Manhattan.....	4,165	4.9	25.5	5.0	69.9	0.8	4,084	13,082	3.0	8.2	0.4	38.3	49.9	88.0	46.3	9,559	25,931	37,170	37,170	9,559	37,170	37,170	37,170	

¹ Includes occupied buildings.

² Refers to units in 1- or 2-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

April 14, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 8

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

DELAWARE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Delaware increased 28 percent--from 75,567 in 1940 to 97,013 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 19 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Delaware exceeded those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Dwelling units in urban areas constituted 60 percent of all the dwelling units in the State in 1950. Over half the urban total was in the city of Wilmington.

These and other summary data for Delaware, taken from final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

During the decade, home ownership increased markedly in Delaware as it did throughout the rest of the United States. In 1940, 47 percent of the occupied dwelling units in the State were owner-occupied; whereas, by 1950, this proportion had risen to 59 percent. Much of the gain resulted from new construction and some from the sale of existing rental homes for owner-occupancy. Home ownership was more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	97,013	...	75,567	...	21,446	28.4
Occupied dwelling units...	90,290	100.0	70,541	100.0	19,849	28.1
Owner occupied.....	53,247	58.9	32,213	47.1	20,034	60.3
Renter occupied.....	37,143	41.1	37,328	52.9	-185	-0.5

Approximately one-fifth (22 percent) of the dwelling units in Delaware were built between 1940

and 1950. Even though there were more urban than rural-nonfarm units built during the decade, the trend is toward living outside the boundaries of cities. This is evident by the relative increase in new rural-nonfarm housing since 1940 as compared with the relative increase in new urban housing. Around Wilmington particularly, this trend is significant; 23 percent of the units in the Wilmington Standard Metropolitan Area were built during the forties compared with 7 percent within Wilmington city.

Approximately 65 percent of all the occupied dwelling units in Delaware had central heating equipment and 83 percent had mechanical refrigerators. On the whole, both types of equipment were less common among rural units than among urban units.

As measured by the structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, rural housing was of poorer quality than urban housing. In rural areas, 36 percent of the farm units, compared with 57 percent of the nonfarm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 81 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was about \$36 a month. The median price at which owner-occupants of 1-dwelling-unit nonfarm homes estimated their properties would sell was approximately \$9,100. One-half the nonfarm home owners in structures with 1- to 4-dwelling units reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Delaware. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Delaware will be available in May 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 [asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for example, items not shown above base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units					Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—			Owner-occupied nonfarm				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-structure-unit detached structures	In one-structure-unit attached structures	With hot running water, with seasonal private water, with dilapidated, or with dilapidated tanks*	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Occupied by nonwhite	With central heating	With matches, gas, or electric power	With motor vehicles	Median value of dwelling-unit structure (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)			
Dwelling units.....	97,013	5.6	53.3	21.9	69.6	1,4	90,390	307,314	3.1	9.6	12.1	58.9	65.2	83.2	51.0	9,079	35,805	46,003
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	65,956	5.5	42.4	23.1	73.4	1.4	61,136	273,192	3.0	9.6	12.3	58.0	66.3	84.3	51.0	9,070	35,844	46,03
Urban.....	55,453	5.6	42.7	24.3	73.7	1.2	55,600	161,923	3.1	11.2	56.8	60.6	65.9	51.0	9,074	35,844	46,03	
Rural.....	20,503	5.3	42.8	22.9	71.3	1.5	20,328	81,269	2.9	10.4	60.7	46.5	70.9	47.6	7,014	38,32	47,63	
Rural farm.....	32,057	6.3	95.3	95.3	35.8	11.5	34,122	9,254	3.3	10.0	10.6	67.3	73.4	88.8	51.0	7,014	23,52	38,32
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Wilmington.....	78,483	5.5	43.0	22.8	77.7	1.6	75,075	299,207	3.1	9.5	10.4	57.6	76.6	87.3	56.0	9,475	36,87	47,02
URBAN PLACES																		
Wilmington.....	32,280	5.6	5.9	7.1	79.8	1.2	31,387	106,546	3.0	9.5	13.4	51.1	83.3	86.0	51.9	9,274	39,36	47,25

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

4 Counties New Castle County, Del., and Sussex County, Md.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 8, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 10

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

FLORIDA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Florida increased 61 percent--from 590,451 in 1940 to 952,131 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 46 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Florida were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 7 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 25 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Florida, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 109 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 19 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period the proportion occupied by owners increased from 44 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 58 percent. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 55 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units....	952,131	...	590,451	...	361,680	61.3
Occupied dwelling units....	821,501	100.0	519,887	100.0	301,614	58.0
Owner occupied.....	473,124	57.6	226,655	43.6	246,469	108.7
Renter occupied.....	348,377	42.4	293,232	56.4	53,145	18.8

Approximately two-fifths of the dwelling units in Florida were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-

nonfarm dwelling units of which 47 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (29 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Only about 7 percent of the occupied dwelling units in Florida had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Warrington, for example, 34 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 1 percent in North Miami. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 8 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 42 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 23 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 71 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,500. Forty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Florida. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Florida will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

(Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 30-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 300.)

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units without business	
	Total number	Median	In one-numbered dwelling rooms	In one-structure built in 1940 or later*	With bed running water, with private toilet and bath, and not classified for rent or sale	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With more than 1.0 or more than 1 person per room	Ocupied by nonwhite	Owner occupied white	With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration	With more than 1.0 or more than 1 person per room	Ocupied by nonwhite	Owner occupied white	With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration	Median value of one-unit dwelling structures (dollars)	Median monthly gross rent (dollars)	Median contract rent (dollars)	Median monthly maintenance charges
Florida.....	912,131	4.2	73.2	39.2	60.1	5,1	82,301	2,642,939	2.8	18.8	57.6	6.5	71.4	42.1	5,612	38,446	39,35			Route-occupied nonfarm		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	884,039	4.2	73.5	40.0	62.9	5,2	763,299	2,411,894	2.8	17.2	56.7	6.8	73.0	42.1	6,612	38,446	39,35					
Urban.....	643,512	4.3	73.4	37.4	56.7	5,0	560,369	1,721,425	2.7	14.1	55.2	8.0	78.1	42.6	7,643	42,24	42,35					
Rural.....	240,527	4.0	87.8	47.1	41.6	3.8	190,730	693,449	3.9	19.7	60.9	3.2	58.5	28.9	5,808	23,13	23,13					
Farm.....	68,092	4.5	95.9	28.9	23.2	3.8	58,720	231,043	2.9	28.8	69.5	2.5	51.8	***	***	***	***	***				
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																						
Jacksonville.....	91,027	4.6	69.0	35.0	62.0	3.2	85,277	288,769	3.1	16.0	23.4	55.8	11.0	72.6	27.1	6,905	35,11	39,05				
Miami.....	180,658	4.3	63.6	48.2	65.6	8.1	134,452	477,035	2.7	13.2	53.9	2.3	70.9	63.9	5,713	38,45	38,45					
Orlando.....	40,212	4.4	71.6	36.4	65.0	5.3	13,433	110,527	2.7	13.1	61.7	11.7	74.2	40.4	6,725	36,36	36,35					
Tampa-St. Petersburg.....	132,632	4.2	71.6	36.4	65.0	5.3	133,483	399,029	2.7	13.1	61.7	9.4	74.2	40.4	6,725	36,36	36,35					
URBAN PLACES																						
Baldwinton.....	6,123	4.0	75.0	32.5	53.7	5.6	4,822	13,950	2.3	11.8	38.2	63.1	4.9	70.2	29.6	7,717	30,66	31,84				
Bonita Springs-Goleta.....	6,123	4.1	87.9	63.9	63.1	5.1	5,623	20,135	2.4	22.3	37.1	68.2	3.6	63.1	34.1	7,811	26,68	26,81				
Cape Coral-Gulfport.....	7,246	5.0	56.9	43.4	86.3	12.6	5,923	15,216	2.4	9.8	58.8	16.9	82.5	36.5	9,041	44,68	44,71					
Coronado Islands.....	7,246	5.0	56.9	43.4	86.3	12.6	5,923	15,216	2.4	9.8	58.8	16.9	82.5	36.5	9,041	44,68	44,71					
Davison Beach.....	12,478	4.0	56.5	25.4	73.0	7.3	10,108	28,434	2.4	27.7	50.0	3.8	95.4	57.6	16,633	69,49	69,49					
Daytona Beach.....	16,569	3.9	56.0	59.2	83.4	8.8	11,190	35,901	2.5	11.8	37.5	4.1	86.5	49.1	10,459	54,49	53,49					
Fort Lauderdale.....	4,743	4.3	72.9	72.4	53.2	4.7	3,566	12,860	2.6	11.5	36.0	57.8	3.5	80.6	33.7	33,34	37,42	37,42				
Fort Myers.....	4,743	4.3	72.9	72.4	53.2	4.7	3,566	12,860	2.6	11.5	36.0	57.8	3.5	80.6	33.7	33,34	37,42	37,42				
Gainesville.....	6,983	4.4	62.4	52.0	72.4	2.3	5,742	21,338	2.4	11.9	45.3	40.0	5.0	60.0	49.7	8,194	33,03	37,18				
Hialeah.....	7,798	4.4	86.1	75.8	84.0	6.1	5,738	19,286	2.4	13.9	21.1	77.0	1.7	93.6	77.2	5,717	57,74	57,74				
Hollywood.....	6,775	4.2	63.0	58.9	69.7	8.0	4,781	13,154	2.3	10.1	46.5	42.3	4.3	70.8	50.3	10,340	68,70	63,25				
Jacksonville Beach.....	6,645	4.0	55.2	52.5	52.8	5.2	4,703	12,970	2.3	15.7	48.8	12.6	56.5	42.6	63,49	54,99	54,99					
Kay Vant.....	10,770	4.0	64.5	62.4	72.5	5.3	7,075	21,429	2.3	16.8	46.5	11.7	83.9	18.0	5,013	36,34	36,34					
Lake Wales.....	6,259	4.2	66.1	34.4	86.0	8.0	4,970	11,611	2.2	5.9	26.3	14.8	55.4	12.8	7,191	34,38	34,38					
Lake Worth.....	8,752	4.4	56.2	34.2	62.9	6.7	5,742	23,385	2.6	11.0	41.3	2.9	91.9	1.9	31.6	8,429	53,91					
Melbourne.....	21,856	4.3	62.4	56.8	62.4	6.7	15,354	10,465	2.4	12.0	26.9	9.1	91.1	57.4	10,440	51,43	51,43					
Miramar Beach.....	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303	2.4	11.9	48.8	1.2	98.2	84.3	6,004	100,000+	100,000+					
Miramar Beach, Fla., etc.	3,194	4.3	83.8	83.3	91.3	6.1	3,194	11,303</														

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 9, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 11

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

GEORGIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Georgia increased 21 percent--from 796,715 in 1940 to 966,672 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 10 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Georgia were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

About one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Georgia, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 79 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 9 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 31 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 47 percent. Home ownership was somewhat more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-one percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 44 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	
All dwelling units...	966,672	...	796,715	...	169,957 21.3
Occupied dwelling units...	889,269	100.0	752,241	100.0	137,028 18.2
Owner occupied.....	413,696	46.5	231,689	30.8	182,007 78.6
Renter occupied.....	475,573	53.5	520,552	69.2	-44,979 -8.6

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Georgia were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 31 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (16 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

One-seventh of the occupied dwelling units in Georgia had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Atlanta, for example, 42 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 10 percent in Savannah. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 24 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 28 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 9 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 54 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$20 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,200. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Georgia. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Georgia will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$1.00 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown when less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm			
	Percent of dwelling units—					Population in dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—			With median household income per room			Median value of dwelling units		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In single-unit detached structures	In units built before 1940 or later*	With hot running water, with private toilet and bath, and not dilapidated, or for rent or sale	Total number	Median number of persons per room	With one or more persons per room	Ocupied by nonwhite	Owner-occupied	With central heating	With refrigerator	Percent mortgaged	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of dwelling units	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm		
Georgia.....	965,672	4.2	75.3	24.6	35.8	1.6	879,269	3,335,172	3.3	27.2	28.0	46.5	46.2	58.9	40.2	5,235	19.97	27.42		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	72,310	4.1	68.0	27.5	44.7	1.7	677,100	2,373,803	3.1	24.5	27.6	45.1	18.0	63.6	40.2	5,235	19.97	27.42		
Urban.....	453,033	4.1	56.9	23.2	54.4	1.7	428,079	1,494,237	3.1	22.0	29.4	44.1	12.0	45.9	6.363	22.82	28.60	24.62		
Rural nonfarm.....	268,275	4.1	86.7	31.4	28.2	1.7	239,011	879,566	3.3	25.0	29.0	47.0	7.8	55.5	29.0	3,459	14.31	20.99		
Rural farm.....	245,762	4.4	96.9	15.8	9.3	1.3	212,169	961,569	4.0	35.9	29.3	50.9	2.1	43.6	***	***	***	***		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Atlanta.....	192,552	4.3	56.1	29.1	61.9	1.9	185,980	641,769	3.1	19.8	21.9	50.6	41.2	77.9	54.6	8,122	30.12	34.24		
Augusta.....	45,250	4.0	50.7	22.9	53.0	2.1	42,450	161,457	3.2	25.9	33.3	45.3	12.0	43.9	4.984	16.53	25.42	25.42		
Columbus.....	43,726	3.8	53.8	60.7	50.3	2.1	41,553	159,000	3.2	25.5	27.4	57.9	52.8	67.7	25.076	27.79	27.72	27.72		
McGowen.....	38,859	3.8	60.4	30.7	48.3	1.5	31,196	138,052	3.1	25.9	35.6	64.2	15.2	62.6	21.92	25.56	25.56	25.56		
Savannah.....	46,428	4.3	46.1	28.9	53.4	3.2	43,076	146,506	3.1	17.8	21.6	61.8	48.9	61.8	12.40	21.44	21.44	21.44		
URBAN PLACES																				
Albany.....	9,000	3.7	48.7	35.4	52.3	1.6	8,769	30,531	3.1	24.7	42.3	33.0	13.4	59.0	56.1	7,890	20.26	28.86		
Americus.....	3,547	3.8	65.0	19.0	42.2	1.6	3,447	11,258	2.9	22.6	47.5	43.2	5.3	38.5	5.258	11.73	13.26	13.26		
Athens.....	7,499	5.0	59.0	17.0	7.3	3.4	7,343	24,178	2.9	21.9	28.9	23.4	69.8	39.7	5,364	20.80	26.97	26.97		
Atlanta.....	94,300	3.8	39.3	13.7	60.0	2.1	19,965	67,612	2.9	33.1	39.9	42.2	74.0	52.9	8,204	8.204	32.35	32.35		
Augusta.....	20,704	3.8	56.5	8.4	44.3	2.1	19,965	67,612	2.8	24.8	39.2	31.1	63.5	38.6	4,670	15.75	25.86	25.86		
Brunswick.....	5,127	4.3	64.6	33.4	54.1	4.6	4,956	17,576	3.2	19.4	38.3	47.6	1.8	68.7	32.8	3,931	25.57	31.01		
College Park.....	4,282	4.3	65.9	39.0	62.7	1.1	4,166	14,394	3.2	18.7	34.2	33.0	23.8	79.3	52.8	6,575	34.37	38.47		
Dalton.....	22,152	3.8	63.6	26.0	53.8	1.4	22,154	78,096	3.2	27.0	24.6	38.0	19.0	63.5	53.0	7,000	24.30	29.39		
Decatur.....	4,460	5.2	64.3	22.4	58.0	1.2	4,456	15,887	3.2	21.9	7.4	45.8	24.3	68.1	28.0	4,263	19.45	27.87		
Dunwoody.....	6,546	5.2	64.3	29.3	66.4	0.3	6,532	21,046	3.1	9.3	12.8	65.0	53.0	91.0	59.9	10,813	40.52	45.25		
East Point.....	3,493	4.1	66.0	28.3	42.0	2.1	3,080	10,078	3.0	20.4	38.8	51.1	58.0	58.0	40.4	5,237	19.63	26.07		
Gainesville.....	6,248	4.6	57.6	37.6	51.3	1.2	6,222	21,012	3.2	17.0	63.6	37.9	17.0	66.6	82.7	26.46	35.17	35.17		
Griffin.....	4,056	3.6	58.1	12.7	42.1	0.9	4,033	13,786	3.0	25.9	32.1	22.6	13.1	61.8	33.7	6,494	16.98	26.99		
The Gwinnett.....	6,927	3.6	75.7	22.0	31.8	1.2	6,716	26,668	3.4	33.0	42.5	10.6	54.2	44.8	3,415	19.80	29.49	29.49		
Macomb.....	21,198	3.4	47.4	11.8	46.3	0.9	20,733	67,233	2.8	40.1	35.4	63.3	44.7	54.63	5.275	17.56	24.34	24.34		
Marketts.....	6,000	4.4	61.1	20.5	56.2	2.1	5,767	25,397	3.3	15.9	13.2	41.3	25.6	86.4	58.1	7,275	37.68	50.95		
Midway-Buckhead (Union).....	8,899	3.9	66.9	20.5	45.2	1.8	8,778	21,914	2.9	21.2	28.6	47.7	70.9	10.1	3,125	30.64	27.74	27.74		
Montebello.....	3,458	3.9	54.6	20.1	51.3	1.0	3,326	11,511	3.1	20.3	33.5	34.7	57.2	41.0	5,033	18.61	25.51	25.51		
Row.....	8,946	4.0	57.0	21.3	55.5	1.2	8,735	29,167	3.0	20.0	19.6	40.5	70.3	38.7	5,805	25.73	31.01	31.01		
Savannah.....	36,897	4.3	56.7	24.5	53.7	4.0	34,839	116,922	3.1	17.3	38.9	32.7	10.3	60.9	51.7	6,816	20.83	28.35	28.35	
Thomasville.....	4,344	4.4	72.2	16.3	47.2	1.4	4,219	16,164	3.0	55.2	43.4	64.8	5.7	44.8	31.25	2,929	24.45	21.32	21.32	
Valdosta.....	5,978	4.2	72.2	41.1	5.0	1.0	5,362	19,362	3.1	42.5	44.6	5.5	43.5	40.2	3,502	28.70	34.80	34.80		
Waycross.....	5,528	4.5	72.4	18.9	44.4	1.9	5,243	18,568	3.1	19.1	34.3	57.1	6.2	58.9	31.8	3,248	23.91	28.58	28.58	

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Best to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

* Less than 1.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

August 12, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 12

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

IDAHO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Idaho increased 23 percent—from 152,835 in 1940 to 188,328 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 12 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Idaho was equal to that for the United States as a whole, while the percentage gain in population was slightly smaller, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State, and farm dwelling units in rural areas presented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Idaho, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 35 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 58 percent to 66 percent in the ten-year period. This State had one of the highest home ownership rates among all the States in both 1940 and 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-three percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 63 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	188,328	...	152,835	...	35,493	23.2
Occupied dwelling units...	169,110	100.0	141,727	100.0	27,383	19.3
Owner occupied.....	110,694	65.5	82,078	57.9	28,616	34.9
Renter occupied.....	58,416	34.5	59,649	42.1	-1,233	-2.1

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Idaho were built between 1940 and 1950. The

largest concentration of new units was among non-farm dwelling units, of which 30 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

About one-third of the occupied dwelling units in Idaho had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Boise City, for example, 66 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 40 percent in Caldwell and Coeur d'Alene. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 48 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 17 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 68 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 44 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 79 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,900. Thirty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Idaho. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Idaho will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,^a AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units									
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwellings	In structures built in 1940 or later	With hot running water, with private toilet, bath, and shower, and not dilapidated	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Ocupied by nonwhite	Owner occupied by nonwhite	With central air conditioning*	With mechanical refrigerators*	With motorized vehicles*	Median value of dwelling unit (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm				
Idaho.....	188,328	4.1	63.1	27.5	63.6	2.4	169,110	572,854	3.1	22.2	1.0	65.4	31.8	82.5	34.7	5,852	35,91	43.85		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	140,184	4.0	78.2	29.9	67.6	2.8	126,469	408,060	3.0	21.3	0.8	62.9	36.6	82.3	34.7	5,852	35,91	43.85		
Urban nonfarm.....	81,908	4.1	72.8	30.1	79.0	3.0	77,021	242,823	2.9	18.1	0.6	62.5	47.6	87.2	40.9	6,843	39,65	46.65		
Rural nonfarm.....	58,276	3.8	67.4	29.6	51.5	2.5	49,448	165,237	3.0	26.2	1.2	63.5	74.6	23.8	4,214	27,277	37,177			
Rural farm.....	43,144	4.3	97.4	23.6	44.3	1.4	42,661	165,794	3.6	25.2	1.5	73.1	17.4	83.0	***	***	***	***	***	
URBAN PLACES																				
Beloit City.....	11,949	4.3	63.3	27.7	86.8	3.5	11,366	32,932	2.6	8.8	0.8	58.0	65.5	92.9	50.0	8,497	45,43	48.68		
Calgary.....	3,602	4.1	75.2	39.7	76.4	3.7	3,377	10,181	2.9	15.0	0.5	64.5	39.9	86.4	43.5	6,231	36,23	45.07		
Courtice.....	4,200	4.3	62.0	19.5	85.7	2.5	4,011	11,860	2.7	11.1	0.1	70.3	39.6	83.7	44.00	5,000	38,43	44.07		
Dedham Falls.....	5,777	3.6	66.2	31.9	77.0	2.2	5,538	18,726	3.1	27.4	0.6	62.7	59.2	86.4	36.6	8,669	42,73	49.04		
Lethbridge.....	4,506	4.0	64.2	21.5	77.9	1.9	4,345	12,406	2.5	12.3	0.3	54.5	51.3	86.7	37.8	7,300	40,86	46.67		
Moncton.....	2,847	4.3	52.9	29.3	82.6	2.3	2,743	8,245	2.7	38.3	0.2	46.2	45.9	84.6	34.5	8,587	36,30	46.09		
Naples.....	5,348	4.1	75.6	33.2	50.6	1.5	61.7	3.0	16.0	0.2	68.5	45.9	86.9	46.2	6,311	36,75	44.21			
Potter's Landing.....	7,682	3.9	55.3	31.1	87.2	4.4	7,397	24,686	3.1	21.8	2.0	52.8	64.8	80.4	43.9	8,777	43,45	46.84		
Town Falls.....	5,836	4.0	76.9	31.0	75.4	2.0	5,637	17,270	2.8	18.2	0.4	60.4	43.8	88.6	43.6	7,324	42,72	30,723		

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 26, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 13

**HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950**

ILLINOIS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A Bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Illinois increased 17 percent--from 2,280,826 in 1940 to 2,671,647 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 10 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Illinois were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only one-twelfth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-seventh and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Illinois, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 47 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 2 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 40 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 50 percent. Home ownership was most prevalent among rural-nonfarm dwelling units. Seventy percent of the occupied rural-nonfarm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 58 percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units and 46 percent of the urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.....	2,671,647	...	2,280,826	...	390,821	17.1
Rural dwelling units.....	2,582,000	100.0	2,192,724	100.0	389,276	17.8
Nonfarm occupied.....	1,296,249	50.1	882,870	40.3	414,379	46.6
Urban occupied.....	1,287,751	49.9	1,309,854	59.7	-22,103	-1.7

Approximately one-eighth of the dwelling units in Illinois were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 22 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Illinois had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Evanston, for example, 96 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 55 percent in East St. Louis. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 76 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 39 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 42 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 31 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 76 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$42 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$8,600. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Illinois. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Illinois will be available in January 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.70 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;

for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units			Occupied dwelling units—						Occupied dwelling units							
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—		Population in dwelling units	Percent of dwelling units—			With mechanical heating*	Median value of one-family dwelling-unit taxes (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)						
		Median number of rooms	In other dwellings		With hot running water, with private or semi-public facilities, and built-in structures, 1940 or later*	Owner-occupied nonwhite	Owner-central heating										
Illinois.....	2,675,647	4.7	52.2	12,3	67.0	0.9	2,182,000	6,341,171	3.0	12.8	6.6	50.1	68.7	39.9	8,646	61,91	47,19
Urban and rural centers.....	2,644,642	4.6	48.0	12.7	70.4	0.9	2,059,961	5,758,939	2.9	13.1	7.1	49.4	71.4	88.4	8,646	41,91	47,19
Urban.....	2,560,312	4.6	46.4	11.0	72.6	0.9	1,922,563	4,694,024	2.9	13.0	6.1	45.9	70.5	83.4	43,03	47,97	47,97
Rural.....	279,330	4.7	40.6	22.2	42.0	0.8	157,395	1,169,978	3.9	13.4	1.1	69.8	43.7	70.5	26.0	4,949	23,177
Rural towns.....	227,040	6.0	36.5	4.2	218,039	5.3	1,169	1,922,432	0.5	9.3	57.9	58.3	63.2	***	***	***	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																	
Chicago.....	1,650,337	4.5	33.4	11.5	78.2	0.9	1,607,430	5,245,420	3.0	13.8	9.5	41.8	76.9	91.7	48.6	11,977	44,16
Davenport-Hawkeye Islands.....	70,236	4.7	67.2	18.8	66.9	0.6	68,882	223,620	3.0	12.6	1.2	60.6	77.4	93.1	41.3	5,183	40,23
Des Moines.....	31,484	4.7	67.6	19.2	64.0	1.0	30,632	96,765	2.8	12.6	6.6	74.4	88.2	97.4	36.2	5,070	42,53
Fiorina.....	76,075	4.6	74.5	21.7	64.4	1.2	76,119	239,955	2.9	13.5	2.1	63.9	72.9	85.8	42.9	7,794	40,37
Houma-Bogalusa.....	45,493	4.9	68.4	23.3	64.0	0.8	44,197	3,420,470	3.0	12.0	1.9	60.4	45.5	94.5	34.9	3,777	43,80
Springfield.....	43,848	4.5	72.0	15.9	68.3	0.9	40,659	127,532	2.8	12.6	3.3	61.8	70.9	90.0	36.1	6,961	41,62
URBAN PLACES																	
Alton.....	10,121	4.4	56.2	10.2	65.1	1.0	9,901	21,361	2.8	14.9	10.5	56.5	68.1	86.8	39.6	7,888	32,26
Aurora.....	15,122	5.2	65.6	13.6	68.3	1.0	13,432	48,633	3.0	13.6	1.9	64.9	79.7	92.4	44.7	9,413	43,11
Bellville.....	10,039	4.2	60.4	11.0	63.2	0.9	9,632	32,039	3.0	13.6	0.4	63.0	72.9	82.8	42.9	5,289	43,86
Berwyn.....	16,058	5.0	50.4	8.6	57.5	0.4	15,920	31,040	3.0	12.6	5.6	63.1	80.2	94.5	32.9	5,182	53,13
Bloomington.....	16,968	4.9	63.6	14.6	62.0	0.7	10,726	32,369	3.0	12.6	5.6	65.6	85.2	88.9	32.5	7,925	43,13
Blue Island.....	2,097	5.1	55.8	8.0	86.3	0.9	5,035	17,420	3.0	9.2	0.2	61.3	84.6	94.7	27.4	1,301	49,42
Broadmoor.....	4,227	5.1	71.1	11.7	64.0	0.9	4,402	15,315	3.1	13.2	3.2	66.4	76.8	95.4	22.6	2,321	54,34
Cairo.....	4,427	5.1	54.1	6.0	48.5	1.2	4,037	11,926	2.5	13.1	37.4	58.1	61.3	92.9	32.0	1,342	43,80
Calumet City.....	4,693	4.7	54.1	9.0	57.4	1.2	4,037	15,726	3.2	11.9	37.4	58.1	61.3	92.9	32.0	1,342	43,80
Carbondale.....	42,169	4.8	69.3	8.7	70.7	0.6	4,076	11,800	2.6	8.3	0.4	63.4	78.7	85.6	34.6	6,405	34,14
Centerton.....	4,209	4.7	67.6	18.3	61.8	1.5	4,090	9,620	2.8	10.0	6.3	63.5	70.9	85.6	34.6	4,879	38,33
Centralia.....	4,987	4.7	72.3	11.7	64.3	1.5	4,669	13,670	2.9	10.0	8.1	62.2	50.3	78.1	35.4	5,770	40,77
Champaign.....	10,592	4.6	59.6	25.3	77.0	1.1	9,883	31,148	2.9	12.2	7.7	54.9	75.1	88.2	48.4	10,538	47,64
Chicago.....	1,105,119	4.3	71.1	4.8	77.0	0.8	1,077,258	3,497,518	3.0	12.6	12.1	30.4	76.8	90.4	45.0	12,232	44,04
Chicago Heights.....	7,054	4.8	70.1	11.4	67.0	0.8	6,919	24,049	3.2	12.4	14.9	48.6	67.6	86.5	34.4	10,128	35,08
Cleererville.....	20,842	4.8	31.7	90.4	5.0	20,597	66,857	3.1	8.4	0.1	52.9	73.4	95.9	39.7	12,114	46,42	
Collinsville.....	3,869	4.4	76.7	19.5	67.5	0.6	3,753	11,795	2.9	11.3	1.9	72.4	77.7	88.9	36.5	9,925	47,39
Darien.....	2,123	4.8	66.7	7.7	68.1	1.1	2,124	36,562	2.6	9.3	7.1	63.7	71.3	87.4	44.1	3,966	36,62
Dawsonville.....	21,240	4.7	67.4	13.9	64.8	1.1	21,120	64,494	2.7	10.1	4.1	64.7	87.9	97.1	44.0	13,697	40,40
De Kalb.....	5,270	5.0	12.8	12.8	78.1	0.9	5,185	10,534	2.3	9.0	57.8	83.5	90.2	59,546	39,02	44,68	
Des Plaines.....	4,427	5.2	70.9	37.2	90.6	0.9	4,222	14,885	3.4	6.7	1.2	78.7	96.0	96.6	44.9	13,777	48,37
Dickinson.....	3,597	5.2	66.2	12.3	73.5	0.7	3,685	11,236	2.7	7.7	0.1	60.2	85.6	87.5	32.6	9,486	50,39
Douglas Grove.....	3,240	4.5	63.0	10.0	65.9	0.7	3,132	11,762	2.3	7.0	0.1	61.8	85.8	94.5	26.0	8,985	50,39
East Moline.....	2,141	4.4	64.3	4.5	65.0	0.7	2,132	8,332	2.4	7.0	0.1	62.4	85.9	93.9	26.9	7,411	52,02
East St. Louis.....	24,463	4.9	58.8	8.8	52.5	0.6	23,883	89,303	2.5	22.0	32.0	63.4	72.2	93.6	32.9	5,102	52,27
Eldorado.....	11,944	5.0	63.0	10.2	66.2	0.6	11,753	24,830	2.8	6.0	0.7	68.3	70.3	93.6	37.1	10,678	44,24
Elmhurst.....	6,270	5.2	72.3	26.0	66.5	1.1	21,507	13,922	3.0	12.4	0.1	62.5	85.2	95.8	42.5	14,700	44,41
Elgin.....	5,238	5.1	66.3	9.6	67.3	0.6	5,120	14,970	3.2	12.4	0.1	62.6	85.2	95.1	42.5	14,700	44,41
Elmhurst Park.....	20,947	4.9	36.9	10.5	55.5	0.6	20,634	65,108	3.4	12.2	6.9	71.1	84.5	97.8	49,591	49,591	49,591

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

** Includes occupied trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—CONT.

^aIncludes (*). Denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 100. For complete count items, percent not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units									
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				
	Median In- of dwelling number of detached structures*	In non- residential structures	With hot water and privately built toilets	Vacant non- residential structures	Total dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.0 or more than one person per room	Owner occupied non- white	Occupied by non- white	Owner occupied non- white	With central heat- ing	With mechan- ical refrig- erator*	Owner occupied non- white	With central heat- ing	With mechan- ical refrig- erator*	Owner occupied non- white	With rented dwelling units monthly rent less than \$100	Renter- occupied non- farm	
URBAN PLACES—CONT.																				
Beverly Hills, Calif.	2,001	5.1	90.4	69.2	0.6	2,719	10,381	3.7	10.7	0.1	69.8	92.1	98.7	74.0	13,244	47.04	54,481			
Bluffton, S.C.	1,009	4.9	84.3	3.1	0.2	4,631	14,633	8.3	0.1	47.3	85.4	98.1	45.0	11,583	45.87	53,422				
Bluefield, W. Va.	7,197	5.4	63.5	1.1	0.2	3,245	12,021	2.0	2.0	55.2	60.3	73.5	0.2	12,023	38.93	48,72				
Bloomington, Ill.	10,281	5.0	63.6	9.0	1.0	10,029	36,159	7.5	2.2	65.0	72.2	80.7	42.7	36,159	39.22	76,284				
Bronx, N. Y.	9,426	4.0	63.1	18.2	0.7	8,870	29,959	3.0	1.6	4.4	66.4	93.8	41.4	6,423	6,423	6,423				
Brownsville, Pa.	3,003	4.3	63.8	8.6	1.3	3,697	10,668	2.5	13.0	3.2	64.1	77.5	67.4	22.1	4,290	28.43	35,23			
Burke, Md.	4,066	4.8	59.0	13.4	0.6	5,897	16,666	2.3	1.4	52.4	75.0	92.4	52.4	16,666	48.77	58,777				
Cambria City, Pa.	4,014	6.0	70.0	18.9	0.5	4,676	16,469	2.3	1.4	52.4	75.0	92.4	52.4	16,469	58.77	64,468				
Canonsburg, Pa.	5,187	4.5	64.7	7.3	0.5	5,091	15,071	2.5	10.8	5.7	54.3	74.3	76.7	50.7	15,071	58.77	65,071			
Carrollton, Ga.	5,210	4.9	50.0	8.1	0.8	15,197	49,755	3.6	8.7	5.3	58.1	94.1	31.8	9,484	29,86	47,81				
Canton, Ga.	4,277	4.7	55.3	10.9	0.9	7,942	25,003	2.0	10.1	3.6	55.9	83.3	50.3	37.6	9,036	50.31	50,31			
Cartersville, Ga.	4,543	5.4	77.6	11.4	0.6	5,368	16,885	5.1	6.9	6.9	72.3	84.1	56.3	17.6	15,711	59.13	69,713			
Chamblee, Ga.	5,613	5.0	72.6	18.0	0.6	9,201	35,353	11,985	3.2	4.6	5.2	73.4	86.3	52.4	14,363	61.31	69,363			
China Lanes, Ga.	5,284	4.9	63.4	13.6	0.6	3,519	17,855	3.1	8.1	8.1	69.4	92.4	30.9	7,413	42.45	51,408				
Cobb County, Ga.	3,289	4.9	70.0	17.9	0.5	3,215	9,443	2.3	1.4	52.4	75.0	92.4	52.4	9,443	58.77	22,422				
Cochran, Ga.	3,680	4.4	79.2	6.2	0.5	3,200	10,332	2.6	8.7	8.7	65.1	78.7	39.1	6,969	27.63	40,463				
Concord, N. H.	3,865	4.3	69.3	17.5	0.5	3,560	15,071	2.0	10.7	0.7	63.9	74.8	53.4	19.4	4,754	26.42	52,401			
Corona, N. Y.	6,277	5.1	64.7	2.7	0.5	6,651	14,712	17,118	3.2	10.0	7.2	69.5	92.8	50.5	12,718	39,67	45,01			
Decatur, Ga.	4,818	3.8	63.2	16.1	0.5	5,384	13,148	2.0	14.9	0.8	56.8	73.3	10.8	8,869	47.74	47,466				
Douglasville, Ga.	11,072	4.9	62.3	14.3	0.5	11,706	36,263	2.8	7.3	0.6	63.3	89.1	96.0	38.8	36,263	30.17	39,307			
Dunwoody, Ga.	2,219	4.7	67.0	8.6	0.8	3,149	9,476	2.6	8.8	3.4	65.1	69.6	85.5	57.7	5,766	39.63	48,112			
Eastpointe, Mich.	2,529	4.9	71.6	21.7	0.5	5,185	12,369	2.6	10.8	5.4	60.8	74.0	45.3	6,050	31,406	31,406				
El Cajon, Calif.	2,026	5.2	69.7	11.6	0.6	2,026	6,283	3.0	9.3	0.1	50.3	64.6	96.6	43.1	6,283	66,86	58,43			
Farmer City, Ill.	2,168	5.1	70.7	9.6	0.5	5,007	20,053	2.0	12.8	0.3	63.5	73.6	36.3	28,406	38,43	46,465				
Fayetteville, Ga.	4,889	5.9	90.1	32.3	1.0	4,788	16,436	3.5	2.7	***	67.0	94.1	98.9	59.9	17,456	57.88	67,419			
Flowery Branch, Ga.	2,453	4.4	57.1	6.5	0.6	2,229	9,076	2.9	12.0	4.2	62.9	73.3	44.5	7,348	35.37	44,411				
Gainesville, Ga.	11,527	4.4	64.2	8.6	0.9	13,639	39,997	2.8	12.6	4.2	64.1	83.1	46.9	6,617	32.74	33,01				
Georgia Power Co., Atlanta, Ga.	2,046	6.6	72.9	4.8	0.5	2,871	9,903	3.2	11.6	2.7	53.5	65.9	59.5	6,707	25.74	30,000				
Rockford, Ill.	20,177	5.0	50.2	11.6	0.5	24,772	89,232	2.8	6.3	1.0	54.6	87.5	93.8	43.8	11,444	41.32	50,211			
Rockwood, Tenn.	1,933	4.6	63.7	15.5	0.4	14,850	48,265	4.8	1.3	2.2	56.0	86.2	98.0	42.1	49,519	42.10	45,484			
Shoals, Ga.	4,920	5.4	64.2	54.1	0.5	4,297	14,287	3.0	2.7	11.3	49.8	73.7	56.7	12,624	43.16	68,96				
Springfield, Ill.	26,535	4.4	54.6	11.0	0.5	26,340	76,618	2.7	11.3	0.5	52.7	84.8	91.1	45.11	76,618	43.16	52,406			
Stearns, Ga.	4,034	5.2	69.6	17.3	0.6	3,971	12,382	2.8	7.9	0.3	57.4	86.2	91.4	31.4	9,190	49.11	49,111			
Uvalde, Tex.	4,935	4.4	77.6	18.5	0.6	7,647	22,956	4.0	10.4	2.0	52.2	72.3	74.7	47.24	22,956	38,75	47,246			
Waukesha, Wis.	6,137	4.3	55.4	11.2	0.7	6,050	18,204	3.0	12.1	0.9	54.4	79.3	94.5	11,427	18,204	43,777				
West Pointfront, Ga.	11,811	4.7	44.1	11.2	0.6	11,704	30,147	3.0	11.1	4.8	54.4	79.3	94.5	11,811	30,147	34,877				
Wilmette, Ill.	3,966	4.6	64.6	9.8	1.1	3,280	11,325	2.7	9.8	1.7	74.1	81.1	97.1	58.8	14,880	50,80	53,255			
Wood River, Ill.	3,178	4.3	84.7	9.7	1.1	2,957	10,340	3.3	7.3	1.7	74.4	93.2	97.1	58.8	10,340	50,80	53,255			
Wilmington, Del.	5,004	6.6	84.7	15.2	0.5	4,922	17,722	3.5	2.4	0.3	81.3	96.9	98.6	51.0	20,000	67,500	73,110			
Winston-Salem, N. C.	3,312	5.1	81.5	14.4	1.4	3,099	10,093	3.0	14.7	1.7	68.4	80.2	93.6	52.5	10,093	52.5	38,866			

^aRestricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

^bRestricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-6, No. 14

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

INDIANA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Indiana increased 23 percent--from 1,005,952 in 1940 to 1,232,314 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 15 percent.

About one-sixth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Non-farm dwelling units in rural areas represented almost one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Indiana, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 50 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 11 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 53 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 66 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among the urban dwelling units. Seventy-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 61 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units.....	1,232,314	...	1,005,952	...	226,362	22.5
Occupied dwelling units.....	1,169,916	100.0	961,498	100.0	207,418	21.6
Owner occupied.....	766,018	65.5	510,636	53.1	255,382	50.0
Renter occupied.....	402,898	34.5	450,862	46.9	-47,964	-10.6

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Indiana were built between 1940 and 1950. The

largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 25 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (9 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Indiana had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In South Bend, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 39 percent in Elwood. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 66 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 31 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 41 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 31 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 69 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$34 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,200. Forty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Indiana. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Indiana will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.
Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of dwelling units—	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwellings	In two-unit dwellings	In three-unit dwellings	With hot water	Vacant nonresidential	With private toilet	With central heating, dished bath, and not for rent or sale	Totals	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With one or more persons per room	Owner occupied by white	With central heat, back-to-back	With central heat, single-unit	With more than one unit, single-unit	With more than one unit, multiple-unit	Percent unoccupied	Percent with household income less than \$1,000	Median gross monthly rent (dollar)			
Indians.....	1,223,214	4.8	75.9	17.2	5.2	1.1	1,168,916	3,816,485	2.9	13.8	3.9	65.5	54.0	82.6	24.6	6,226	34.26	41.97	38.29	33.58	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,029,139	4.6	71.9	16.7	60.9	1.2	983,897	3,150,228	2.9	14.2	4.6	63.8	53.2	82.1	46.6	6,226	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	36.79	41.97	43.46	
Urban.....	735,244	4.6	64.6	40.7	69.1	0.9	676,010	2,278,710	2.9	14.5	6.3	71.2	62.4	82.7	50.3	6,233	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	36.79	41.97	43.46	
Rural northern.....	299,049	4.6	89.7	25.3	40.7	0.9	267,977	871,358	2.9	15.9	0.2	63.8	70.7	81.1	4.9	4,360	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	36.79	41.97	43.46	
Rural farm.....	139,123	5.8	95.8	9.4	31.3	0.9	188,329	666,257	3.2	11.9	0.2	74.6	80.4	88.4	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																								
Baltimore.....	49,773	4.1	61.9	24.4	62.9	1.1	45,416	177,396	2.9	17.9	5.3	58.9	55.2	77.8	53.7	6,570	33.58	41.97	48.57	33.58	41.97	48.57	44.43	
Port Wmngt.....	47,756	4.5	73.3	18.9	59.3	1.1	46,756	154,627	2.9	12.0	10.5	58.5	70.5	81.9	57.4	8,134	34.36	41.97	38.41	34.83	34.36	41.97	44.43	
Indianapolis.....	171,642	4.6	64.6	20.3	69.0	1.1	167,714	57,756	2.9	14.6	0.8	54.7	62.0	82.4	50.6	6,287	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Columbus.....	171,642	4.6	64.6	20.3	69.0	1.1	167,714	57,756	2.9	14.6	0.8	54.7	62.0	82.4	50.6	6,287	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Memphis.....	64,308	4.7	67.9	17.4	59.1	0.8	47,715	15,206	2.9	14.4	3.2	57.6	62.9	84.0	51.3	6,640	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
St Louis.....	60,723	4.6	74.0	20.7	63.6	1.5	27,308	87,942	2.9	33.3	4.8	63.6	71.9	84.2	45.1	7,666	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Tampa Bay.....	34,988	4.4	77.5	10.6	49.8	1.3	35,210	100,943	2.7	12.3	4.0	63.4	61.2	77.6	47.2	4,554	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
URBAN PLACES																								
Anderson.....	15,702	4.4	62.9	12.5	70.9	1.4	12,164	45,713	2.7	11.4	4.0	57.3	62.7	82.7	47.2	6,638	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Bloomington.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	3.8	73.7	1.1	51.7	6,842	2.7	6.0	0.3	69.4	72.6	81.7	42.8	4,730	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Bedford.....	4,150	4.5	72.9	17.1	73.7	1.1	51.7	6,842	2.7	6.0	0.3	69.4	72.6	81.7	42.8	4,730	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Bethesda.....	7,036	4.1	62.9	16.7	69.0	1.1	6,022	18,022	2.9	14.6	0.8	54.7	62.0	82.0	40.4	6,670	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Cambridge.....	6,408	4.7	67.9	17.4	59.1	0.8	47,715	15,206	2.9	14.4	3.2	57.6	62.9	84.0	51.3	6,640	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Grand Central.....	4,168	4.8	64.9	11.7	61.1	1.1	4,066	12,308	2.7	10.0	1.2	60.6	67.6	84.5	51.3	6,756	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Balt Chicago.....	11,677	4.2	65.4	26.4	69.1	1.1	11,352	51,277	2.8	20.3	17.1	65.1	79.3	84.8	48.6	6,599	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Balt Newark.....	11,677	4.2	65.4	26.4	69.1	1.1	11,352	51,277	2.8	20.3	17.1	65.1	79.3	84.8	48.6	6,599	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Baltimore.....	11,677	4.2	65.4	26.4	69.1	1.1	11,352	51,277	2.8	20.3	17.1	65.1	79.3	84.8	48.6	6,599	34.26	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.26	41.97	43.46	
Bethesda.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.97	36.79	34.83	34.36	41.97	43.46	
Brentwood.....	4,150	4.5	73.7	2.1	73.7	1.1	3,949	12,403	2.9	17.2	6.1	54.7	77.3	84.8	48.6	4,790	34.36	41.						

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For computed-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm		
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit structures	In attached-detached houses	With hot water, with or without central heating, and built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied nonwhite	With central heating	With mechanical refrigerating	With permanent engagement	Median value of one-family dwelling unit structure* turns** (dollars)				
URBAN PLACES—con't.																		
New Albany.....	9,326	4.3	65.6	10.4	59.8	0.7	9,173	29,149	2.9	17.5	4.4	37.1	47.9	81.0	44.3	5,785	27,877	35,12
McCourtney.....	5,294	4.6	65.4	13.2	67.8	2.0	5,790	18,130	2.8	12.2	1.5	63.5	60.8	78.7	56.5	6,282	36,08	41,46
Perry.....	4,329	5.3	70.3	5.4	71.2	1.9	4,375	13,191	2.7	6.6	**	67.7	65.9	85.9	42.4	5,215	45,777	43,37
Ridgefield.....	12,467	4.8	56.6	10.5	65.9	0.9	12,224	38,937	2.9	12.9	6.3	52.0	67.2	83.7	71.37	4,475	37,441	44,75
Salemville.....	3,988	4.7	74.7	4.4	59.1	0.8	3,931	11,956	2.6	11.5	2.4	74.6	59.4	73.2	52.0	5,139	24,422	35,07
South Bend.....	35,255	5.0	62.8	18.1	82.8	1.2	34,426	112,618	3.0	7.5	5.0	70.1	85.4	92.8	45.4	6,331	43,450	48.72
Terre Haute.....	22,027	4.5	67.8	6.3	57.7	1.5	21,333	62,126	2.6	10.1	5.4	59.7	70.0	78.3	54.1	4,903	29,119	33,85
Valparaiso.....	3,464	4.8	64.5	18.7	82.2	0.8	3,387	10,660	2.9	7.4	0.1	63.6	72.5	82.5	53.0	5,042	25,373	43,13
Vincennes.....	6,122	4.2	75.7	8.1	63.4	0.8	5,968	18,583	2.7	14.7	0.1	61.2	62.8	84.2	54.7	6,268	25,373	39,51
West Baden.....	3,449	5.2	72.7	12.1	65.2	0.8	3,376	10,500	2.8	9.3	0.2	66.0	63.2	83.4	24.7	5,290	34,34	43,62
Washington.....	3,232	4.5	80.9	11.7	50.9	0.2	3,187	11,483	2.8	1.0	0.1	64.3	70.3	78.6	39.7	4,563	30,27	38,90
West Lafayette.....	2,610	4.9	57.2	18.4	82.6	1.1	2,565	7,728	2.6	54.8	0.2	54.7	83.6	92.7	41.8	6,694	49,37	51,75

1. Includes occupied trailers.

2. Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3. Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 20, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 15

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

IOWA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in the series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Iowa increased 12 percent--from 726,654 in 1940 to 811,912 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 3 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Iowa were considerably smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted almost three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Iowa, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 37 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 52 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 63 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership was more prevalent among nonfarm homes. Sixty-six percent of the occupied nonfarm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the farm dwelling units. This is in contrast to the pattern for the United States as a whole and for most States, where the proportion of home ownership among farm dwelling units was greater than that among nonfarm dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	
All dwelling units....	811,912	...	726,654	...	85,258 11.7
Occupied dwelling units....	780,167	100.0	701,824	100.0	78,343 11.2
Owner occupied.....	494,826	63.4	361,477	51.5	133,349 36.9
Renter occupied.....	285,341	36.6	340,347	48.5	-55,006 -16.2

More than one-tenth of the dwelling units in Iowa were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 14 percent were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-nonfarm dwelling units was 13 percent and among rural-farm dwelling units, 6 percent.

Almost three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Iowa had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Sioux City, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 60 percent in Muscatine. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 74 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 38 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. Fifty-nine percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 31 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 67 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$35 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$6,300. Thirty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Iowa. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Iowa will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 500; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100;

Percent not shown where base is less than 1. For complete count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 10;

for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units			Occupied dwelling units—						Occupied dwelling units—						Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—			Population			Percent of dwelling units—			With mechanical heating, air conditioning, or central air conditioning			Percent of dwelling units—			Median value of structures* (dollars)	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit detached structures built in 1940 or later?	With hot water, running water, private bathroom, toilet, and bath, not dilapidated, not for rent or sale	Total number	Median	With 10 or more persons per room	Owner occupied by non-white	With central heating, air conditioning, or central air conditioning	With mechanical refrigerating, air conditioning, or central air conditioning	Owner occupied by non-white	With central heating, air conditioning, or central air conditioning	With mechanical refrigerating, air conditioning, or central air conditioning	Owner occupied by non-white	Median contract rent, monthly rent, and net rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
Iowa.....	811,912	5.3	80.4	11.2	51.4	0.8	780,167	2,538,841	2.9	10.3	0.7	63.4	57.3	82.3	32.3	34,80	42,53
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	593,773	4.9	74.1	13.5	59.1	0.8	573,187	1,737,037	2.7	11.6	0.9	63.9	64.4	81.9	32.3	6,302	42,53
Urban.....	390,779	4.8	67.6	12.5	58.2	0.8	382,363	1,189,733	2.8	11.6	1.3	63.7	74.1	81.4	32.4	3,840	42,53
Rural nonfarm.....	202,992	5.3	86.4	42.9	43.9	0.7	190,626	967,864	2.6	9.9	0.2	70.2	44.8	77.3	18.2	7,272	44,26
Rural farm.....	216,141	6.6	97.5	30.5	5.7	205,986	781,784	3.5	8.4	0.1	56.6	57.7	83.6	38.6	4,587	36,55	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																	
Cedar Rapids—Tipton—Wilton.....	32,723	4.9	72.8	14.0	64.3	0.7	31,821	1,009,911	2.6	10.8	0.7	65.9	70.5	86.4	41.1	8,395	40,27
Davenport—Rock Island—Moline.....	75,326	4.7	67.7	13.5	68.8	0.6	68,925	223,620	2.9	11.6	1.2	60.8	77.4	91.1	41.5	9,013	45,21
Des Moines.....	75,039	5.3	70.9	12.8	66.2	0.7	70,704	218,356	2.9	11.7	1.4	60.7	76.3	83.6	32.9	7,269	40,32
Sioux City.....	31,945	4.8	70.3	8.6	61.2	0.9	31,253	1,001,522	2.9	12.5	1.4	60.7	76.3	83.6	32.2	7,036	42,52
Waterloo.....	29,938	4.7	71.2	22.3	61.8	0.9	29,189	96,233	3.0	13.1	1.8	65.0	74.8	84.3	48.4	7,938	39,08
URBAN PLACES																	
Ames.....	6,130	4.1	54.3	32.9	79.1	1.6	5,976	17,920	2.8	13.7	0.5	50.2	71.4	82.3	47.9	10,219	33,91
Bloomfield.....	4,016	5.2	75.0	5.8	70.8	1.0	5,935	11,792	2.6	6.7	0.1	70.4	74.0	86.9	31.3	5,759	42,23
Burlington.....	9,900	4.9	66.4	14.7	69.6	0.7	9,688	29,923	2.8	8.0	1.3	64.0	80.3	86.9	35.0	4,908	42,80
Cedar Falls.....	22,600	4.9	64.6	21.2	77.8	0.7	3,923	12,091	2.8	8.0	1.3	64.2	76.7	86.9	34.7	3,926	44,46
Oskaloosa.....	22,849	4.7	67.7	13.3	72.3	0.6	22,480	69,843	2.8	10.9	0.9	65.7	78.4	87.4	44.7	9,133	41,98
Charles City.....	3,248	4.9	70.6	6.7	63.2	0.4	3,174	10,038	2.9	12.4	0.1	66.4	67.9	74.5	31.9	6,735	35,86
Clarke.....	4,579	4.8	70.6	15.0	69.4	0.7	4,307	29,181	2.8	9.1	0.6	65.9	82.7	87.3	33.0	6,708	47,08
Coon Rapids—Blomberg—Hawthorne.....	13,865	4.7	75.1	12.6	75.1	0.7	13,201	41,188	2.7	11.7	1.4	72.9	82.3	86.4	42.4	5,992	42,91
Davenport.....	22,498	4.7	66.4	14.6	70.4	0.7	22,303	70,632	2.7	10.6	1.1	63.7	82.1	86.9	34.3	10,144	45,63
Des Moines.....	57,644	4.6	66.9	14.6	70.3	1.1	56,256	171,157	2.7	10.6	1.1	63.6	81.6	86.9	34.1	7,489	42,34
Dubuque.....	13,639	4.9	69.0	8.6	70.3	0.7	13,431	45,918	3.1	13.6	0.1	55.0	68.0	87.9	33.2	9,177	32,56
Fort Dodge.....	7,704	4.7	74.3	0.4	7.6	0.4	7,634	24,286	2.9	13.9	0.6	59.8	84.1	82.3	35.0	7,951	45,02
Fort Madison.....	4,579	4.8	64.8	9.9	67.5	1.0	4,452	11,716	2.7	11.3	2.0	64.0	68.3	79.2	31.1	6,710	33,38
Grinnell.....	6,683	4.4	59.6	18.0	67.2	0.3	6,634	20,450	2.7	11.0	0.5	50.9	83.6	88.3	10,572	44,67	45,88
Iowa City.....	5,303	4.4	62.3	7.8	60.3	1.3	5,126	15,802	2.7	11.1	1.8	65.0	72.1	89.9	37.5	5,456	33,90
Keokuk.....	2,566	4.4	56.1	12.0	55.1	0.7	2,526	12,000	2.7	11.7	1.4	62.3	74.3	86.9	34.7	2,911	41,01
Marshalltown.....	8,252	4.7	69.0	10.5	66.8	0.5	8,229	19,245	2.7	29.3	1.0	64.1	83.2	86.2	39.5	7,449	37,97
Menomonie—Cottage Grove—Waukesha.....	6,355	4.7	67.8	7.2	57.8	0.6	6,256	20,623	2.6	13.4	0.1	62.3	73.9	86.2	38.5	6,085	42,99
Muscatine.....	3,703	4.7	12.0	7.2	11.8	0.6	3,633	11,394	2.6	12.0	0.4	68.9	72.7	86.7	32.7	3,607	39,59
Oakdale—Oskaloosa—West Burlington.....	3,913	4.8	70.4	10.7	68.5	1.0	3,835	10,800	2.5	10.3	0.6	64.5	66.0	85.1	44.4	3,413	35,13
Ottumwa.....	2,957	4.4	70.8	13.2	54.3	1.3	10,630	24,890	2.8	14.0	1.4	66.0	61.2	80.7	36.2	5,187	32,54
Sioux City.....	29,917	4.6	65.3	8.6	59.5	0.8	24,747	80,724	2.8	13.0	1.2	63.1	64.5	81.5	36.7	36,90	37,37
Waterloo.....	19,894	4.6	66.8	19.9	64.6	0.9	19,507	63,503	2.9	13.4	2.7	65.2	81.5	83.6	49.7	8,247	40,36

* Restricted to 1-4 dwelling-unit properties.

** Includes occupied trailers.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 9, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 16

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

KANSAS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Kansas increased 15 percent--from 545,721 in 1940 to 625,148 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 6 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Kansas were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Almost one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Kansas, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 44 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 16 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 51 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 64 percent. Home ownership was about equally prevalent among urban dwelling units as among rural-farm dwelling units, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in Pittsburg, 67 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with 47 percent in Manhattan.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	625,148	...	545,721	...	79,427	14.6
Owner occupied.....	586,650	100.0	511,109	100.0	75,541	14.8
Renter occupied.....	375,033	63.9	260,495	51.0	114,538	44.0
	211,617	36.1	230,614	49.0	-38,997	-15.6

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Kansas were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 20 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Kansas had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Topeka, for example, 74 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 21 percent in Coffeyville. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 56 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 20 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 52 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 25 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 75 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$35 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,500. Thirty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Kansas. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Kansas will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown when less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units			Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		
	Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—			Median value of one-dwelling-unit residential structures (dollars)		
	Median number of rooms	In one-story dwellings and flats	Vacant running water, with private toilet and bath, not dilapidated	Total number of dwelling units built in 1940 or later*	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Occupied by nonwhite	Owner-occupied	With mechanical heating	With central heating	With motorized refrigerator	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)
Kansas.....	625,248	4.8	80.1	15.8	57.8	1.9	586,690	1,843,008	2.8	11.6	3.6	63.9
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	482,796	4.6	74.9	18.5	67.3	2.0	459,891	1,399,590	2.7	12.2	4.5	63.8
Urban.....	427,187	4.5	69.2	19.9	74.7	2.2	314,408	901,873	2.7	12.3	6.0	62.4
Rural nonfarm.....	135,609	4.8	80.1	15.4	51.7	0.6	45,473	437,726	2.6	12.0	12.0	67.3
Rural farm.....	142,352	5.7	97.4	6.8	25.4	1.5	126,769	443,409	3.2	9.6	0.5	64.5
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS												
Topeka.....	33,917	4.7	71.4	16.6	67.7	1.4	32,774	99,965	2.7	11.0	7.0	65.4
Wichita.....	73,829	4.3	63.6	36.3	77.8	4.0	69,426	216,902	2.8	13.1	4.1	57.5
URBAN PLACES												
Arkansas City.....	4,425	4.8	76.7	77.8	4.7	0.7	4,329	15,786	2.6	10.1	6.1	27.6
Atchison.....	4,097	4.8	71.4	2.4	54.1	0.6	4,018	12,502	2.7	10.4	51.3	77.0
Chautaukla.....	3,975	4.8	74.1	71.2	62.8	1.6	3,477	9,977	2.5	7.5	65.4	45.0
Gardiner.....	3,735	4.3	73.5	12.6	71.0	3.0	5,764	16,926	2.6	10.3	11.7	59.6
Dodge City.....	3,701	4.6	80.1	11.1	76.4	1.1	3,985	11,000	2.8	10.2	48.2	48.0
El Dorado.....	5,120	4.7	75.2	8.9	78.1	2.0	5,554	10,642	1.7	9.7	60.0	43.5
Emporia.....	3,722	4.7	64.5	74.2	65.5	1.0	4,996	14,750	2.6	9.6	61.3	83.7
Garden City.....	3,427	4.4	65.5	65.5	65.9	0.9	3,630	10,100	2.4	9.1	58.5	77.4
Great Bend.....	4,084	4.2	68.5	34.9	76.2	1.8	3,269	10,762	2.9	10.2	48.0	81.3
Hutchinson.....	11,221	4.3	69.7	11.9	74.5	1.6	3,944	12,312	2.9	16.7	2.9	57.8
Junction City.....	4,024	4.7	79.3	3.8	82.7	2.7	3,852	10,852	4.3	12.7	2.5	61.9
Kansas City.....	39,377	4.8	48.4	26.4	70.0	1.9	31,963	11,153	2.5	7.5	9.0	49.0
Lawrence.....	6,347	4.5	63.3	65.9	64.1	0.6	4,403	13,244	2.8	21.1	44.1	58.1
Lawrence-Olathe.....	6,990	4.3	70.4	7.3	60.8	1.7	38,963	12,250	2.9	16.1	19.5	63.0
Manhattan.....	5,251	4.1	42.1	42.1	16.2	1.0	5,137	6,698	2.7	15.2	9.7	54.6
Newton.....	3,386	4.9	75.9	9.6	85.3	2.1	3,066	11,225	2.7	13.1	2.4	47.1
Parsons.....	4,854	4.8	75.2	2.6	69.2	1.6	3,288	9,667	2.6	9.0	63.9	44.2
Pittsburg.....	6,455	4.6	77.7	8.4	76.8	2.1	6,488	13,143	2.5	9.4	66.4	42.3
Salina.....	6,551	4.5	62.7	20.1	76.8	1.6	6,593	18,540	2.5	8.2	16.6	44.9
Topeka.....	57,727	4.7	64.5	14.5	72.5	1.3	53,350	25,655	2.8	10.6	60.5	82.7
Wichita.....	3,446	4.9	59.9	34.4	80.5	4.5	23,389	16,652	2.6	63.0	74.1	44.6
WinfIELD.....	2,177	7.4	75.2	2.2	54,278	16,533	2.7	11.6	4.4	89.7	56.5	7,929
					3,357	9,399	2.5	2.2	7.3	62.7	30.6	5,376

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 28, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 17

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

KENTUCKY

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Kentucky increased 12 percent--from 729,206 in 1940 to 820,141 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 3 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Kentucky were considerably smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

About three-tenths of all dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another three-tenths and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Kentucky, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 36 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 11 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 48 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 59 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 52 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units....	820,141	...	729,206	...	90,935	12.5
Occupied dwelling units....	778,754	100.0	698,538	100.0	80,216	11.5
Owner occupied.....	456,797	58.7	335,350	48.0	121,447	36.2
Renter occupied.....	321,957	41.3	363,188	52.0	-41,231	-11.4

Almost one-fifth of the dwelling units in Kentucky were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 27 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (16 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

About one-fourth of the occupied dwelling units in Kentucky had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Fort Thomas, for example, 93 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 18 percent in Madisonville. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 46 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 6 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 23 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 66 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$25 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,300. Thirty-six percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Kentucky. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Kentucky will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 600.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units—										Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm						
	Total number of rooms	Median number of rooms	In enclosed dwelling-unit structures	In detached dwelling-unit structures	With hot water running, vacant private or rented, dilapidated, and uninhabited, or for rent or sale	Total number	Median number of persons per room	With 1½ or more persons	Ocupied by nonwhite	Owner-occupied by nonwhite	With central heating*	With mechanical heating*	With central heating*	With mechanical heating*	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of dwelling units and structures† (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)					
Kentucky.....	520,141	4.3	78.7	18.7	35.5	1.1	778,754	2,865,393	3.2	25.1	7.3	58.7	24.8	65.9	35.5	5,283	24,665	30,777				
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	522,421	4.1	70.8	19.7	47.7	1.3	545,419	1,692,511	3.1	23.5	9.4	53.0	33.1	72.3	35.5	5,283	24,665	30,777				
Urban.....	522,319	4.2	70.7	19.5	46.7	1.2	585,197	1,046,972	2.9	18.7	12.0	52.2	45.9	81.3	43.2	6,786	30,444	35,110				
Rural nonfarm.....	240,720	4.1	86.1	14.1	22.7	1.4	225,222	565,537	3.3	30.3	5.6	54.0	14.9	59.2	22.8	6,920	31,713	29,961				
Rural farm.....	240,720	4.6	96.7	16.4	16.4	0.7	235,395	972,488	3.7	28.9	2.5	71.9	5.5	50.6	***	***	***	***				
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																						
Lexington.....	27,905	4.4	66.6	18.1	65.9	0.9	27,124	89,526	2.9	16.8	18.7	49.0	41.6	77.5	48.9	8,698	33,556	36,724				
Louisville.....	170,858	4.2	61.5	21.2	64.5	1.1	165,112	558,304	3.0	20.3	11.5	55.6	49.3	84.2	22.1	7,232	32,444	36,622				
URBAN PLACES																						
Ashland.....	9,239	4.8	74.1	14.3	81.1	1.3	8,996	30,829	3.1	15.3	2.4	62.1	40.9	86.6	53.0	6,398	33,136	39,96				
Bowling Green.....	5,581	4.0	57.9	16.5	59.6	2.1	5,388	16,789	2.8	17.5	15.1	45.8	32.9	72.7	35.4	5,940	29,399	32,956				
Covington.....	20,501	3.7	39.9	1.9	77.3	1.4	20,021	63,413	2.8	20.7	5.6	49.0	64.7	92.0	54.3	6,920	32,123	36,650				
Fort Thomas.....	3,321	5.3	74.0	10.9	96.4	0.5	3,272	10,367	2.9	4.4	0.1	74.4	93.1	47.1	12.911	48,400	58,423					
Frankfort.....	3,734	4.0	41.6	9.1	71.4	1.0	3,656	10,683	2.6	14.9	12.4	37.4	29.1	79.1	30.4	7,002	30,444	35,99				
Henderson.....	5,849	3.8	66.7	21.5	56.8	1.2	5,222	16,634	2.8	21.0	15.3	54.4	35.8	75.2	30.2	4,655	27,261	33,553				
Kentuckyville.....	4,154	3.9	64.1	10.8	46.2	0.6	4,107	12,245	2.6	17.9	31.3	48.6	29.9	66.4	25.8	6,573	25,188	29,725				
Lexington.....	15,978	3.9	54.3	7.0	63.4	0.9	15,642	48,426	2.7	18.7	27.2	38.7	31.9	71.7	40.8	7,210	31,226	34,911				
Paducah.....	111,669	4.0	50.0	12.6	67.6	1.1	108,825	356,751	2.9	21.3	47.8	50.2	83.7	51.1	32,482	36,134						
Richmond.....	3,742	4.2	73.9	23.3	64.0	1.4	3,590	10,963	2.8	14.3	17.2	59.6	17.8	77.9	27.2	5,547	35,754	35,655				
Middleborough.....	3,823	4.4	81.6	26.1	43.4	1.6	3,659	14,282	3.4	24.7	8.6	57.3	22.7	66.5	22.7	3,374	26,322	34,07				
Portsmouth.....	9,835	3.6	36.5	1.5	69.1	1.4	9,566	30,472	2.9	23.4	4.8	49.9	61.1	88.9	51.5	6,417	31,520	31,077				
Quincy.....	10,074	4.0	67.1	16.1	61.7	1.1	9,867	32,303	3.0	22.3	8.5	53.6	76.1	89.4	67,783	31,777	31,244					
Richmond.....	10,588	4.0	64.4	11.9	44.7	1.1	10,027	32,602	2.8	16.0	52.8	66.2	22.7	74.7	35,544	19,659	27,648					
Rutherford.....	2,997	4.1	63.3	21.9	50.3	1.3	2,910	9,113	2.8	16.9	18.2	43.6	28.8	59.4	13.5	4,915	26,10	30,507				

¹ Totalized to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 1, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 18

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

LOUISIANA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Louisiana increased 26 percent--from 619,233 in 1940 to 777,672 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 14 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Louisiana was slightly greater than that for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units, while the percentage gain in population was the same as that for the United States.

Almost one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented two-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Louisiana, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 67 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 4 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale or existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 37 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 50 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 47 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	777,672	...	619,233	...	158,439	25.6
Rented dwelling units...	724,945	100.0	592,528	100.0	132,417	22.3
Owner occupied.....	364,945	50.3	218,447	36.9	146,498	67.1
Renter occupied.....	360,000	49.7	374,081	63.1	-14,081	-3.8

Three-tenths of the dwelling units in Louisiana were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 36 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (24 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Louisiana had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In New Orleans, for example, 19 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 6 percent in Lafayette. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 23 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 58 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$22 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,100. Thirty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Louisiana. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Louisiana will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[asterisk (*) denotes statistic based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units—				Owner-occupied nonfarm Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm Median gross monthly rent (dollars)					
	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—										
	Total number of rooms	In one-number dwelling-unit detached in structures;	With hot water, running nonprivate, and heated, dilapidated, and not dilapidated	Total number of persons per room	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied nonwhite	With multi-unit residential building*	With central heating	Owner-completed	With multi-unit residential structure*	Percent mortgaged ²							
Louisiana.....	775,072	4,1	73,1	30,0	45,5	1,7	724,945	2,616,079	3,2	26,2	30,8	50,3	10,7	62,5	37,1	5,341	22,21	26,74	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	632,395	4,1	57,0	31,3	47,4	1,8	504,75	2,049,547	3,1	21,6	29,5	49,8	12,4	66,1	37,1	5,141	22,21	26,74	
Urban.....	432,996	4,2	57,0	29,4	52,3	1,8	342,61	1,420,538	3,0	21,6	29,5	47,0	15,2	71,2	43,9	5,679	24,39	28,30	
Rural nonfarm.....	199,399	3,9	92,2	36,0	52,3	1,2	173,140	629,111	3,2	29,9	30,5	46,6	5,4	53,4	21,0	2,629	12,29	17,13	
Rural farms.....	145,137	4,2	96,7	26,4	52,3	1,1	130,192	566,352	3,8	36,0	36,9	52,9	3,1	44,0	***	***	***	***	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Baton Rouge.....	45,937	4,2	78,7	47,1	63,8	2,9	43,142	150,645	3,1	19,9	31,6	58,3	13,6	74,9	51,6	7,162	32,69	37,89	
New Orleans.....	207,086	4,3	33,5	23,8	46,2	0,2	197,311	662,096	3,0	22,6	27,7	58,3	20,1	73,4	48,0	8,936	24,13	28,30	
Shreveport.....	52,477	4,1	77,9	26,1	53,3	1,1	50,358	177,266	3,0	21,3	34,3	50,1	10,8	67,7	53,8	7,376	25,46	28,02	
URBAN PLACES																			
Alexandria.....	10,918	4,3	74,5	26,3	59,8	2,1	10,416	24,399	3,0	18,5	40,6	50,0	10,0	68,0	39,2	7,264	22,72	27,40	
Baton Rouge.....	3,750	3,9	83,6	37,4	45,3	1,3	3,640	12,513	3,1	26,7	34,6	60,3	1,8	59,5	39,8	3,648	22,15	26,46	
Baton Rouge.....	37,120	4,2	75,6	46,2	70,8	1,3	35,077	119,532	3,1	17,4	27,4	56,6	14,2	78,9	53,4	5,638	23,84	28,30	
Bogalusa.....	5,224	4,0	87,8	21,9	46,5	0,1	5,090	17,259	3,1	24,1	32,4	50,5	11,5	63,4	31,5	3,520	15,59	23,30	
Bossier City.....	4,775	3,6	99,5	56,7	70,7	1,3	4,688	5,431	3,1	22,1	34,6	44,9	11,5	83,3	56,1	6,922	50,74	47,33	
Crowley.....	3,789	4,1	88,4	21,0	46,9	1,0	3,654	12,700	3,1	23,0	25,1	58,7	5,6	65,1	27,4	2,706	22,36	26,97	
Ouachita.....	4,109	3,8	52,6	28,5	43,8	1,3	3,980	13,733	3,1	26,5	28,7	49,4	17,0	69,2	37,0	3,706	27,70	31,94	
Shreveport.....	5,259	4,2	70,4	27,4	51,4	1,5	5,126	11,977	3,1	24,4	27,1	51,8	10,4	64,4	37,0	4,150	28,21	31,00	
Houma.....	3,259	4,2	51,8	43,5	59,4	1,5	3,152	11,059	3,2	24,6	28,6	52,0	5,5	53,2	37,4	3,150	28,21	31,00	
Lafayette.....	2,918	3,9	52,3	35,3	59,4	1,2	2,918	11,192	3,1	18,9	25,6	59,4	11,0	77,4	48,7	3,154	31,54	32,76	
Lake Charles.....	12,303	4,3	78,0	42,5	62,2	1,5	11,902	45,198	3,1	21,1	23,1	42,2	48,3	6,4	63,4	53,6	6,208	17,80	21,20
Monroe.....	12,003	3,8	70,0	29,1	48,4	1,4	11,642	37,326	2,8	22,1	22,4	46,9	14,9	53,6	33,1	2,653	22,63	27,47	
New Iberia.....	17,362	4,2	78,9	30,3	47,0	1,5	16,676	4,636	3,2	22,4	24,7	55,2	8,4	69,3	42,3	5,691	22,63	27,47	
New Orleans.....	173,648	4,3	26,1	17,6	63,0	2,1	165,927	545,227	2,1	32,1	33,0	59,7	13,0	72,3	42,3	7,475	23,14	27,53	
Opelousas.....	2,350	4,2	65,4	20,4	59,7	1,2	21,237	11,554	2,1	40,3	42,0	58,5	6,3	63,5	29,7	3,630	24,33	28,42	
Pearl River.....	2,284	4,2	72,7	32,0	59,7	1,2	21,737	9,069	3,0	17,5	21,5	51,5	12,2	68,9	29,7	3,640	24,33	28,42	
Shreveport.....	36,299	4,2	71,1	41,3	59,7	1,2	37,239	12,251	2,9	18,2	30,6	49,1	13,2	72,9	55,3	5,816	26,89	28,75	
West Monroe.....	3,149	4,2	77,0	27,2	62,0	1,4	3,071	10,149	3,0	19,8	20,9	50,5	3,5	72,0	52,3	6,211	23,38	23,67	

¹ Includes occupied trailers.² Restricted to units 4-6 dwelling-unit properties.³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

June 11, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 19

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MAINE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Maine increased 19 percent--from 260,659 in 1940 to 311,441 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 8 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Maine were smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban dwelling units constituted almost one-half of all the dwelling units in the State and rural-nonfarm dwelling units represented more than two-fifths. About one-third of the rural-nonfarm dwelling units were vacant; most of these were seasonal units.

These and other summary data for Maine, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 27 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase, from 57 percent in 1940 to 63 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Eighty-seven percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, compared with 50 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TERURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	311,441	...	260,659	...	50,782	19.5
Occupied dwelling units...	254,443	100.0	218,968	100.0	35,475	16.2
Owner occupied.....	159,824	62.8	125,390	57.3	34,434	27.5
Renter occupied.....	94,619	37.2	93,578	42.7	1,041	1.1

Approximately one-eighth of the dwelling units in Maine were built between 1940 and 1950. The

largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 15 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

Almost one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Maine had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between rural-farm and urban dwelling units. In Biddeford, for example, only 34 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, compared with 80 percent in Portland. In occupied rural-farm units, 31 percent had central heating equipment, compared with 61 percent in occupied urban dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 55 percent of the nonfarm units as compared with only 29 percent of the farm units were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: no running water, private flush toilet and bath; 71 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$28 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,900. Thirty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Maine. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Maine will be available in July 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only one dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 [Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 26-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;
 for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units						Occupied dwelling units						Percent of dwelling units—						Occupied nonfarm		Median rent per month (dollars)	Median contract rent per month (dollars)	Median value of dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Median nonfarm household size	Median nonfarm monthly rent (dollars)		
	Percent of dwelling units—			Population in dwelling units			With median number of rooms			With median number of rooms			Owner- occupied nonfarm white			With median number of rooms			With median number of rooms								
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In dwelling units	In dwelling units	Total number	Median number of rooms	With private bath	With dashed and bath, and not dashed	With private bath	With private bath	With dashed and bath, and not dashed	With private bath	With dashed and bath, and not dashed	With private bath	With dashed and bath, and not dashed	With private bath	With dashed and bath, and not dashed	With private bath	With dashed and bath, and not dashed	With private bath	With dashed and bath, and not dashed						
Nonfarm.....	31,442	5.3	67,6	12.0	224,443	881,015	3.1	12.1	0.2	62.8	48.1	71.1	0.3	51.8	46.2	62.8	48.1	71.1	0.2	62.8	48.1	71.1	0.3	51.8	46.2	27.95	41.35
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	276,099	5.1	65.2	12.6	223,630	760,329	3.0	12.1	0.3	59.4	50.5	72.4	0.3	51.8	46.2	59.4	50.5	72.4	0.3	59.4	50.5	72.4	0.3	51.8	46.2	27.95	41.35
Urban.....	143,414	5.1	46.8	10.4	133,920	449,704	3.0	10.4	0.2	61.0	50.1	78.0	0.2	54.5	43.0	61.0	50.1	78.0	0.2	54.5	43.0	61.0	0.2	50.1	43.0	29.31	49.33
Rural nonfarm.....	132,645	5.1	85.0	15.2	89,680	310,545	3.1	14.6	0.4	63.8	73.3	54.4	0.4	73.3	63.8	63.8	73.3	54.4	0.4	73.3	63.8	63.8	0.4	54.4	63.8	30.18	35.43
Rural farm.....	35,382	6.7	86.7	7.5	30,683	120,486	3.5	12.0	0.2	67.3	87.3	30.8	0.2	63.2	***	63.2	87.3	30.8	0.2	63.2	87.3	30.8	0.2	63.2	87.3	30.8	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																											
Portland.....	37,801	5.2	42.8	12.2	61.3	1.8	34,994	114,736	3.0	8.9	0.3	46.0	77.6	79.1	51.6	8.243	33.01	45.27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	
URBAN PLACES																											
Auburn.....	7,282	5.0	44.3	9.7	71.6	0.7	6,889	22,509	3.0	10.7	0.1	53.0	59.5	61.2	46.2	6,885	29.90	42.42	Bethel.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,689	36.31	49.33	
Augusta.....	5,946	5.0	48.1	11.2	69.3	1.1	5,586	18,379	3.0	9.1	0.2	47.5	64.7	61.2	43.0	7,689	33.42	45.29	Binghamton.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,689	36.31	49.33	
Bangor.....	6,897	5.3	48.1	11.2	75.6	1.3	6,842	28,386	3.0	9.3	0.6	52.6	72.5	75.0	33.8	7,689	33.42	45.29	Bismarck.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,689	36.31	49.33	
Bethel.....	3,583	5.5	67.1	17.0	71.2	3.6	3,188	10,434	3.0	7.8	0.6	60.2	69.1	80.6	31.4	4,218	34.46	44.46	Binghamton.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,689	36.31	49.33	
Biddeford.....	6,361	5.2	30.8	9.9	68.7	1.2	5,823	20,360	3.1	10.6	***	34.8	33.6	62.8	42.4	7,687	26.41	39.42	Bismarck.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,689	36.31	49.33	
Leviston.....	11,734	4.7	25.5	10.2	68.5	1.5	11,372	38,702	3.1	22.5	0.4	54.7	50.8	61.0	46.7	7,687	29.75	40.36	Portland.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,687	36.31	49.33	
Portland.....	29,071	5.0	30.4	5.9	79.3	2.2	23,108	73,159	3.4	22.5	0.4	37.2	47.6	74.0	45.2	6,777	26.11	38.30	Saco.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,687	36.31	49.33	
Saco (uninc.).....	3,263	5.3	56.7	7.0	70.5	1.6	2,311	10,113	3.0	9.7	0.1	57.0	79.2	86.0	36.2	6,741	26.11	38.30	South Portland.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,687	36.31	49.33	
South Portland.....	6,115	5.1	45.0	7.0	51.9	1.4	5,331	10,913	3.0	8.9	0.1	56.1	79.2	85.4	35.32	6,741	26.11	38.30	Weston.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,687	36.31	49.33	
Weston.....	5,119	4.9	33.4	5.9	65.0	1.2	5,023	21,520	3.4	9.6	0.2	61.7	79.2	85.4	41.6	7,324	33.45	47.25	Waterville.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,687	36.31	49.33	
Waterville.....	3,601	5.3	54.6	15.2	73.1	0.5	3,206	12,165	3.2	9.5	***	53.7	60.0	88.7	39.2	7,345	23.25	40.40	Waterville.....	47.1	5.0	48.1	9.7	7,687	36.31	49.33	

1. Includes occupied trailers.

2. Restricted to units in 1- or 2-dwelling-unit properties.

3. Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 21

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MASSACHUSETTS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Massachusetts increased 15 percent—from 1,221,252 in 1940 to 1,400,185 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 9 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Massachusetts were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 2 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-sixth of the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Massachusetts, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 46 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 2 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 38 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 48 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 43 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.....	1,400,185	...	1,221,252	...	178,933	14.7
Rent occupied dwelling units.....	1,305,194	100.0	1,120,694	100.0	184,500	16.5
Owner occupied.....	626,838	47.9	426,785	38.1	198,053	46.4
Renter occupied.....	680,356	52.1	693,909	61.9	-13,553	-2.0

Approximately one-tenth of the dwelling units in Massachusetts were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 21 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among urban dwelling units.

About seven-tenths of the occupied dwelling units in Massachusetts had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Newton, for example, 95 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 29 percent in Fall River. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 72 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 61 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, housing in urban areas was of better quality than housing in rural areas in the State. In rural areas, 69 percent of the nonfarm units and 66 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 81 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$32 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$9,100. Sixty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Massachusetts. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Massachusetts will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units		Occupied dwelling units—										Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm			
			Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—										
	Total number	Median number of dwellings per room	In one-dwelling houses	In two-dwelling houses	In three-dwelling houses	In four-dwelling houses	In five-dwelling houses	In six-dwelling houses	In seven-dwelling houses	In eight-dwelling houses	In nine-dwelling houses	In ten-dwelling houses	With one or more persons per room	With central heating	With motorized refrigerators			
Massachusetts.....	1,400,185	5.2	40.6	9.5	79.2	1.0	1,025,194	4,453,319	3.1	9.8	1.5	47.9	71.4	87.2	62.6	9,144	31.61	46.89
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,375,573	5.2	39.3	9.4	78.4	1.0	1,084,112	4,378,514	3.1	9.8	1.5	47.3	71.6	87.2	62.6	9,144	31.61	46.89
Urban.....	1,127,070	5.1	31.4	9.2	81.4	1.0	776,445	3,086,866	3.1	9.7	1.7	43.3	72.4	87.4	64.0	7,553	31.87	47.09
Rural nonfarm.....	242,503	5.4	51.4	81.2	21.1	0.5	177,648	3,297,448	3.1	9.7	1.7	65.5	72.7	86.0	57.1	7,839	27.91	39.19
Rural farm.....	24,612	6.4	81.3	11.5	65.7	5.7	21,128	76,805	3.5	9.8	0.6	82.7	61.4	86.5	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Boston.....	667,412	5.2	33.0	8.2	87.8	1.0	646,126	2,241,182	3.2	9.6	2.2	44.5	82.3	88.2	68.0	10,878	36.53	51.51
Brockton.....	36,595	5.2	50.0	8.5	62.3	1.3	37,585	126,010	3.0	9.5	0.6	59.0	71.4	86.2	63.0	7,506	27.07	43.10
Fall River.....	42,082	4.8	4.8	8.8	40.7	1.3	39,714	126,363	3.1	9.6	11.0	34.0	72.2	85.7	70.8	7,836	21.93	34.78
Lawrence.....	37,955	5.2	29.4	6.1	36.7	0.8	36,999	122,158	3.1	7.6	0.3	39.3	53.8	87.3	60.1	9,210	26.10	42.08
New Bedford.....	37,659	5.2	43.9	7.5	62.1	0.7	36,178	127,178	3.2	9.6	49.1	60.6	81.1	55.9	6,460	21.20	34.06	
Pittsfield.....	43,241	5.0	33.2	6.0	48.1	0.9	41,127	134,655	3.0	8.8	2.1	41.0	89.1	93.7	48.6	7,189	22.23	36.36
Providence.....	119,627	5.3	46.4	10.0	88.3	0.9	119,997	64,896	3.1	9.6	1.5	53.1	80.1	91.3	60.5	9,688	32.32	48.63
Quincy.....	116,392	5.1	38.0	12.8	70.5	0.9	113,531	68,391	3.1	7.6	1.5	47.4	74.4	86.4	65.6	9,134	29.64	44.43
Wellesley.....	76,566	5.1	35.7	9.5	75.3	0.9	76,442	256,069	3.1	21.6	0.6	46.1	63.7	90.2	67.7	9,232	30.24	36.24
URBAN PLACES																		
Adamsville (uninc.).....	3,486	5.5	26.4	4.0	72.7	0.6	3,426	11,443	3.1	6.1	0.1	45.3	43.8	87.2	42.6	8,226	18.64	33.46
Arlington.....	7,256	5.1	48.4	8.7	73.4	0.7	7,068	23,289	3.0	8.1	0.2	52.5	69.3	84.7	51.9	8,213	27.46	43.12
Beverly.....	8,829	5.3	4.8	11.4	90.4	1.8	8,393	28,014	3.1	12.1	0.2	26.6	63.1	86.9	68.1	9,214	32.44	47.99
Bowling Green.....	222,079	4.7	10.6	3.7	91.0	0.9	218,103	72,781	3.1	12.7	0.2	26.6	73.4	83.0	67.3	9,450	35.35	48.83
Brockton.....	119,634	4.9	4.9	83.3	1.9	124,324	60,971	2.9	7.9	0.8	47.4	70.9	86.0	64.3	7,796	26.62	43.04	
Canton (edge).....	33,437	4.6	9.6	9.8	83.9	1.0	32,676	29,676	2.9	11.5	4.7	21.9	71.1	87.3	61.2	9,980	36.46	49.93
Chelmsford.....	10,729	4.8	7.2	1.8	9.5	0.5	10,588	37,331	3.2	12.6	0.6	25.6	67.0	83.1	70.0	7,409	28.59	45.95
Concord (edge).....	12,778	4.9	34.2	15.9	84.9	0.6	12,388	45,391	3.4	9.4	0.1	47.3	58.7	65.2	73.85	26.65	40.39	32.46
Gloucester (uninc.).....	3,566	5.2	34.2	4.6	70.5	2.0	3,543	12,065	3.2	9.0	0.2	50.0	55.6	83.6	46.3	7,760	23.37	40.24
Haverhill.....	12,770	5.2	21.0	3.0	94.8	2.5	12,444	45,393	3.4	10.3	1.6	42.5	87.8	92.4	71.2	8,641	33.74	51.28
Holliston.....	23,239	4.9	14.6	4.5	37.3	0.9	32,658	109,203	3.1	12.1	0.4	26.4	70.3	87.6	65.6	7,942	20.67	34.45
Leominster.....	12,698	5.0	5.6	3.4	91.6	1.2	12,349	44,111	3.1	9.3	0.1	44.6	55.6	87.8	60.3	8,006	24.85	39.04
Marlboro.....	5,595	5.1	51.4	5.6	64.4	1.0	5,564	17,856	2.9	9.3	0.1	51.7	49.1	85.5	64.6	8,448	24.96	39.04
Greenfield.....	4,839	5.2	43.9	5.9	50.8	0.9	4,867	14,687	3.0	9.8	0.8	33.7	59.6	87.7	52.7	7,726	25.86	41.97
Haverhill (uninc.).....	14,890	4.7	4.9	4.9	94.0	1.5	4,728	14,764	2.8	8.8	0.4	44.3	62.6	89.3	62.6	7,926	33.62	44.05
Holyoke.....	11,466	4.9	3.2	3.2	51.3	0.6	11,426	45,393	2.9	9.9	0.5	48.4	70.4	81.6	54.3	6,577	26.19	41.40
Lancaster.....	7,159	4.9	4.9	2.3	70.3	2.2	7,042	25,833	3.0	10.9	0.2	25.0	56.6	85.7	65.7	10,342	27.33	37.92
Lowell.....	27,554	5.2	29.3	4.0	70.1	0.9	27,050	91,322	3.1	9.8	0.2	39.7	56.5	85.9	62.9	8,619	25.18	42.91
Lynn.....	29,864	4.9	28.1	3.4	56.7	0.9	29,365	94,643	3.0	9.3	0.7	29.6	86.8	90.1	56.4	7,726	22.56	44.66
Malden.....	17,123	5.1	23.4	4.4	52.1	0.5	16,867	59,353	3.1	9.4	1.1	45.5	66.0	86.5	65.4	8,103	34.96	47.64
Marlborough.....	4,724	5.2	42.3	7.5	70.8	0.5	4,567	12,310	3.1	9.1	0.5	56.7	67.0	85.1	51.3	7,627	35.86	47.36
Medford.....	17,487	5.7	33.5	4.6	56.2	0.5	17,057	65,013	3.1	9.7	1.2	57.4	92.8	93.0	71.2	10,577	39.37	43.15

- = less than 0.1.

* Restricted to 1-to-4-dwelling-unit properties without

Student occupied trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS^a FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—CONT.

[Material (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for example items, percent not shown where base is less than 600.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units							
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—							
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In single-unit dwellings	With non-institutional unit	Vacant water, with private toilet and built-in bath, dilapidated, for rent or sale later*	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Owner occupied	Occupied by non-white	With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration	Present month-gaged*	Median value of one-family monthly rent (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm			
URBAN PLACES—Con.																		
Malvern.....	7,762	6.2	62.8	6.9	92.8	0.8	7,632	3.2	4.5	0.1	73.4	95.0	92.5	67.3	12,002	43.84		
Midland (uninc.).....	4,242	5.0	30.3	5.1	74.7	1.7	4,127	1.6	11.3	0.1	43.2	60.0	53.6	87.5	8,805	28.79		
New Bedford.....	13,939	5.0	31.0	5.2	64.2	1.0	13,665	1.0	65.8	0.8	24.5	75.9	48.0	7.96	22,077	45.64		
Newburyport.....	4,701	5.7	47.7	3.9	69.5	1.8	4,222	1.3	75.9	2.9	55.9	72.9	50.5	4.472	42,252	42.27		
Newton.....	22,149	6.4	63.7	7.1	93.7	1.1	21,652	78.9	96.3	0.5	70.7	94.6	94.6	69.5	16,102	47,422		
North Adams.....	6,519	5.4	28.4	3.2	6.4	4.6	6,406	71.8	3.0	0.6	41.9	55.9	56.3	22.8	7,792	41.49		
Northampton.....	6,885	5.3	45.9	5.4	86.4	0.6	6,655	21,482	3.4	0.1	54.1	78.9	75.9	64.0	8,260	31.23		
Pawtucket.....	6,617	5.1	50.7	7.4	85.6	0.6	6,405	22,187	3.4	0.1	60.0	75.4	65.5	64.4	8,243	43.40		
Pittsfield (uninc.).....	15,772	5.3	42.2	10.0	88.9	0.8	15,333	51,961	3.1	7.4	1.1	51.0	81.4	82.1	9,932	22,977	34.35	
Plymouth (uninc.).....	3,965	5.1	35.5	2.7	83.1	1.4	3,426	10,363	2.9	6.4	1.0	44.3	53.5	84.9	48.7	7,640	30.25	
Quincy.....	26,945	5.3	47.9	9.2	92.7	2.0	23,625	82,356	3.4	7.9	0.1	58.4	91.5	90.3	67.8	10,423	39.95	
Revere.....	10,360	5.0	34.2	5.5	86.5	0.7	9,941	36,418	3.5	12.5	0.2	49.2	83.6	91.7	67.8	10,423	54.17	
Salem.....	12,103	5.1	23.0	3.0	80.5	0.9	11,830	40,194	3.1	10.0	0.4	43.7	73.5	85.8	70.9	12,997	34.71	
Saunderstown (uninc.).....	28,537	5.0	48.9	0.8	88.4	0.7	27,945	100,417	3.3	11.0	0.3	34.5	82.4	87.3	69.6	7,456	36.56	
Southington (uninc.).....	51,079	5.1	19.1	74.2	74.2	1.0	48,984	16,518	3.1	7.0	0.1	32.8	48.3	89.3	52.6	11,040	43.32	
Springfield.....	47,434	5.1	30.3	10.4	88.2	0.9	46,708	126,633	3.0	7.6	3.2	42.3	84.2	90.9	71.0	9,324	47.45	
Tarzwell.....	11,078	5.3	35.9	4.4	58.8	1.1	10,674	36,192	3.1	8.2	0.9	51.9	57.5	86.0	6,643	25,10	41.42	
Wellesley (uninc.).....	12,232	5.5	37.7	14.4	87.9	0.9	12,017	42,981	3.3	10.5	0.1	48.1	85.7	88.5	71.9	10,974	38,729	
Westfield.....	3,382	5.0	24.7	9.7	53.6	0.6	3,185	12,019	3.4	10.0	7.1	0.1	33.8	30.4	87.4	51.2	9,709	33.82
Woburn.....	5,328	5.4	48.9	12.8	87.8	1.1	5,059	20,239	3.4	11.5	0.1	60.3	68.9	88.5	55.7	7,633	25.21	
Worcester.....	55,792	5.3	25.5	6.9	81.8	10.1	52,262	28,977	3.3	11.5	0.3	63.9	85.9	91.2	77.1	28,413	60,932	
								54,981	0.7	26.1	0.8	36.5	83.9	86.5	91.2	72.3	30,397	47,116

^a Includes occupied trailers.

* Restricted to 1-to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

^b Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 15, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 22

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MICHIGAN

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Michigan increased 30 percent--from 1,519,378 in 1940 to 1,971,842 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 21 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Michigan were greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Approximately one-tenth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 23 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Michigan, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 56 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 7 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 55 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 63 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty-four percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 63 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units.....	1,971,842	...	1,519,378	...	452,464	29.8
Occupied dwelling units.....	1,790,702	100.0	1,396,014	100.0	394,688	28.3
Owner occupied.....	1,208,975	67.5	773,755	55.4	435,220	56.2
Renter occupied.....	581,727	32.5	622,259	44.6	-40,532	-6.5

Twenty-three percent of the dwelling units in Michigan were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 33 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (13 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Michigan had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Ann Arbor, for example, 95 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 62 percent in Saginaw. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 78 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 43 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 46 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 38 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 84 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$40 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,500. Forty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Michigan. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Michigan will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units—									
	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—									
	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit structures detached from other dwellings*	Total number of persons	Vacant non-institutional dwellings, with hot water, with central heating unit, built in bath, dated, or sold late*	Population number of persons	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by non-white	Owner occupied	With mechanical heating	Median value of dwelling units**	Percent mortgaged***	Renter-occupied nonfarm						
MICHIGAN.....	1,971,462	5.1	71.9	22.6	70.4	1.3	1,799,702	6,136,272	3.1	10.9	5.4	67.5	68.2	87.0	43.6	74.96	40.29	47.36
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,764,929	5.0	69.1	23.8	76.3	1.2	1,654,620	5,642,938	3.1	11.0	5.9	65.6	71.1	88.6	43.6	74.96	40.29	47.36
Urban.....	1,312,533	5.1	61.4	20.8	83.5	1.2	1,277,137	4,251,532	3.1	10.2	5.2	62.8	77.8	81.0	45.2	61.82	43.6	48.02
Rural nonfarm.....	451,498	5.0	67.8	32.7	43.5	1.1	419,406	1,119,496	2.1	10.4	0.9	45.2	80.2	33.4	45.2	54.06	43.6	40.74
Barrel farm.....	207,813	6.3	95.5	13.2	37.5	1.7	186,082	693,334	3.4	10.8	0.9	83.8	42.8	78.9	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREA																		
Bay City.....	26,417	5.3	79.6	20.6	67.7	1.3	24,809	87,456	3.2	10.9	0.4	75.7	56.3	88.6	30.0	6,178	35.52	43.11
Detroit.....	635,027	5.0	59.6	46.0	82.9	1.2	628,932	3,695,406	3.2	11.0	9.2	61.8	79.2	51.8	49.97	49.96	42.81	49.44
Flint.....	60,652	5.0	70.8	21.0	67.8	1.0	55,846	266,778	3.2	12.0	4.6	69.8	79.2	64.8	45.26	49.48	42.81	49.44
Grand Rapids.....	65,865	5.3	76.9	16.1	75.2	1.0	65,439	280,726	3.0	17.3	2.4	69.9	72.7	60.9	45.26	49.48	42.81	49.44
Jackson.....	31,254	5.4	74.3	14.3	63.5	0.9	30,034	100,075	2.1	24.4	2.4	74.6	72.0	87.4	36.9	6,137	34.97	45.55
Kalamazoo.....	83,933	5.1	75.3	20.3	69.8	1.3	76,664	18,413	2.9	8.5	1.6	66.3	75.7	90.0	45.3	73.15	44.36	45.45
Lansing.....	50,933	5.1	75.3	23.0	69.1	1.0	49,511	161,329	3.0	11.4	4.6	72.2	53.0	87.7	34.2	7,042	44.36	45.36
Marquette.....	30,493	5.0	77.1	17.3	62.4	0.9	42,931	150,389	3.2	11.4	4.6	72.2	53.0	87.7	34.2	6,403	33.73	43.36
Saginaw.....	46,493	5.0	77.2	17.3	62.4	0.9	42,931	150,389	3.2	11.4	4.6	72.2	53.0	87.7	34.2	6,403	33.73	43.36
URBAN PLACES																		
Adrian.....	5,732	5.2	60.5	17.5	77.1	1.2	5,271	2.9	9.8	**	63.9	74.8	88.6	41.1	7,279	40.90	47.47	
Ashland.....	2,925	5.7	68.8	10.9	63.1	1.7	2,844	9,427	3.0	11.8	6.7	63.1	73.1	81.1	28.8	6,166	32.09	42.13
Alpena.....	2,323	5.2	96.4	77.2	97.5	5.4	3,122	11,789	3.7	8.2	**	94.3	73.7	99.2	77.1	1,218	49.97	42.13
Allendale Park.....	1,001	5.4	69.8	7.9	74.7	1.4	3,823	13,029	3.1	8.6	**	70.9	76.9	90.1	20.9	5,141	34.71	41.28
Amherst Harbor.....	1,773	4.9	86.5	13.4	86.5	0.8	11,510	21,777	3.7	7.8	5.3	95.1	95.1	100.0	55.51	14,131	54.40	55.51
Battle Creek.....	15,609	5.0	57.3	8.2	64.5	1.5	15,139	46,688	2.8	8.2	6.5	85.0	88.4	45.9	7.28	44.85	49.69	42.87
Benton Harbor.....	1,426	5.3	63.0	11.6	78.5	1.6	15,304	51,774	3.1	9.7	0.6	65.9	83.9	90.0	28.1	6,165	35.94	39.39
Bethel.....	6,013	4.7	75.5	8.7	75.3	1.5	8,034	18,118	3.0	10.2	8.2	55.6	81.2	90.0	41.6	17,728	44.39	39.39
Bethel Park.....	5,911	5.2	68.3	17.9	81.2	1.7	4,829	17,912	3.0	9.6	9.0	91.1	92.8	97.9	51.42	9,292	51.42	43.36
Bentonville.....	4,398	5.6	68.3	9.0	93.0	3.7	15,431	31,927	3.2	3.5	3.5	77.3	95.2	97.0	74.0	13,640	51.42	43.36
Cadillac.....	2,389	5.2	78.1	12.4	69.0	2.4	10,320	3.0	9.8	**	72.1	64.0	84.3	41.1	4,803	33.97	43.51	
Dearborn.....	2,082	5.1	69.0	41.7	70.7	0.9	26,692	93,062	3.0	9.1	**	74.5	87.8	95.7	38.8	1,121	46.04	54.67
Eastpointe.....	2,459	5.0	67.2	17.4	70.4	1.0	2,624,144	1,764,207	3.1	12.6	5.3	94.3	95.0	99.0	50.0	9,357	43.19	49.35
East Tawas.....	1,000	5.0	70.0	10.0	70.0	1.0	1,000	5,582	3.1	12.6	5.3	94.3	95.0	99.0	50.0	1,121	47.88	48.30
East Lansing.....	5,183	4.9	43.3	5.1	81.8	1.5	3,794	1,193	3.0	5.0	4.5	44.2	70.3	88.0	50.2	1,257	40.45	40.65
Eaton Rapids.....	4,694	4.8	58.0	19.8	61.9	0.5	4,428	17,099	3.5	20.2	27.8	52.9	64.1	87.3	42.7	6,941	34.48	40.73
Farmington Hills.....	4,887	5.0	65.8	10.0	65.8	0.7	14,656	14,656	3.0	10.4	0.4	59.8	67.7	70.4	23.6	7,922	34.48	40.73
Fenton.....	6,631	5.0	81.1	31.1	95.0	0.4	15,636	15,636	3.0	10.4	0.4	65.3	72.8	75.2	20.7	8,077	34.48	40.73
Flint.....	4,258	5.0	68.2	13.9	64.5	0.3	6,637,777	15,934	3.0	9.8	0.4	68.0	72.7	75.2	49.27	8,593	34.48	40.73
Grand Rapids.....	55,362	5.4	54.8	7.7	62.0	1.0	54,110	21,025	2.9	5.9	3.0	61.2	70.3	91.3	40.3	8,498	33.28	40.82
Grosvenor Pointe Park.....	3,000	6.6	65.5	11.5	98.1	3.2	3,004	13,038	3.1	2.1	5.3	65.9	73.0	93.3	24.9	20,000	42.00	53.35
Grosvenor Woods.....	1,006	5.1	97.0	76.7	98.4	1.7	1,006	10,362	3.1	12.1	12.1	64.9	71.7	98.5	54.85	12,360	42.00	53.55
Hart Park.....	12,080	4.7	28.5	0.9	11,931	0.7	42,251	3,121	12.1	8.6	12.1	64.9	71.7	98.5	54.85	12,360	42.00	53.55
Hazel Park.....	14,648	4.8	85.9	25.7	86.6	2.4	17,726	3,153	12.1	8.6	12.1	64.9	71.7	98.5	54.85	12,360	42.00	53.55
Hillside Park.....	14,648	4.9	85.0	0.1	88.4	0.7	43,962	2.6	6.9	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	5.6	37.0	93.5	34.44	40.73

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without laundry.

** Includes occupied trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--Con.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500; for sample items, percent not shown where base is from 500 to 5,000.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units									
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				
	Total number	Median	In one-number-of-rooms	In one-number-of-rooms	Vacant non-occupying	With hot running water, with seasonal or permanent fixtures	In one-number-of-rooms	In one-number-of-rooms	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Population in dwelling units	
URBAN PLACES—CONT.																				
Bal. and Inlet Area.....	4,930	5.6	69.5	12.4	86.4	1.2	4,810	15,251	2.9	4.4	0.2	69.7	82.2	91.2	30.4	7,211	35.45	44.93		
Braddock.....	4,157	5.3	77.6	5.4	78.9	3.8	16,385	3.8	6.0	6.0	0.1	68.0	59.2	86.3	7.7	7,275	36.23	45.38		
Brookwood.....	3,678	5.3	77.0	5.4	61.9	0.7	3,267	11.1	3.0	6.6	0.1	68.0	64.6	83.3	18.4	4,364	23.79	30.25		
Jacksonville.....	16,451	5.2	5.2	4.1	75.3	1.1	16,014	49,773	2.8	10.3	5.4	6.5	83.0	88.1	94.6	6.1	81,931	41.60	45.09	
Kalamazoo.....	17,514	5.2	53.6	4.8	75.4	1.6	51,492	2.7	10.6	5.4	5.1	56.2	83.6	89.8	3.9	84.8	44.84	45.07		
Lansing.....	28,887	5.0	66.0	13.4	81.9	0.7	28,472	89,663	6.8	6.8	6.4	64.4	87.0	88.8	47.2	7,336	44.63	48.79		
Lincoln Park.....	8,769	4.7	85.3	5.6	88.5	0.9	8,135	29,255	3.4	11.2	**	83.9	85.8	86.0	6.8	4,644	44.12	45.29		
Livonia.....	5,025	5.0	66.2	52.6	81.2	1.4	4,822	17,410	3.4	12.8	0.2	58.2	54.2	53.6	5.6	4,930	43.32	45.24		
Marquette.....	4,742	5.0	63.4	12.9	77.3	1.2	4,250	12,500	3.1	12.4	0.2	58.2	87.3	87.5	6.6	36,453	42.65	45.65		
Metuchen.....	3,441	5.1	67.7	1.9	82.7	0.9	3,289	5,967	3.1	12.4	0.1	67.8	65.2	80.2	20.4	5,427	27.69	42.05		
Midland.....	4,445	5.1	79.1	31.6	85.3	1.5	4,043	14,195	3.4	8.3	0.1	69.2	69.0	94.8	44.1	9,260	60.43	47.37		
Monroe.....	6,154	5.1	79.7	7.9	81.9	0.8	5,206	26,026	3.0	15.0	0.2	65.0	82.1	91.9	42.0	37,702	47.57	44.92		
Mount Pleasant.....	5,965	5.1	83.8	10.2	85.0	0.7	5,072	31,300	3.0	11.7	0.4	65.0	82.1	91.9	42.0	8,056	44.36	48.06		
Newark.....	2,420	4.9	83.4	16.2	78.4	1.7	2,101	9,746	3.0	10.7	0.4	59.2	63.4	82.8	3.1	6,831	44.38	48.06		
Pawtucket.....	1,628	4.8	63.4	12.2	78.4	3.3	1,793	47,223	2.9	8.9	3.4	63.4	63.8	85.0	30.3	5,181	39.47	47.74		
Paterson.....	3,239	4.8	74.7	16.6	74.7	2.0	3,040	32	13.8	18.4	0.2	75.3	75.3	75.3	34.7	3,746	34.38	47.74		
Philadel. Brights.....	5,409	5.1	74.6	14.6	72.7	1.1	5,112	12,980	2.9	8.3	2.6	71.1	77.2	90.6	41.2	7,226	39.61	45.86		
Williamsburg.....	20,520	5.1	69.7	11.4	76.6	0.6	19,504	50,932	1.1	13.5	0.1	69.1	70.7	86.5	36.2	55,747	38.19	45.73		
Others.....	20,510	4.8	63.9	12.7	76.6	0.9	18,054	61,621	3.1	13.5	0.2	63.6	85.3	86.7	45.5	7,000	41.76	43.36		
Port Huron.....	21,290	5.4	71.3	10.0	82.8	1.2	20,818	35,245	2.9	6.9	3.2	67.7	76.4	90.4	37.1	6,503	39.65	46.64		
River Rouge.....	5,533	4.8	77.8	13.9	85.2	0.3	5,440	19,913	3.3	16.1	24.0	50.2	70.4	83.4	42.3	6,940	38.48	44.34		
Southgate.....	2,553	4.6	92.6	50.4	85.7	1.1	2,479	14,791	3.4	15.1	3.9	89.6	47.1	90.5	57.4	4,720	53.92	55.96		
Royal Oak.....	2,893	5.1	88.2	49.7	95.7	2.2	1,284	45,402	3.4	7.3	0.1	85.7	87.9	93.3	70.9	6,980	43.72	55.44		
Saginaw.....	27,323	5.2	87.4	10.6	74.3	0.9	26,698	90,242	3.0	10.0	7.0	65.5	61.7	88.6	34.4	6,728	37.46	44.20		
St. Clair Shores.....	5,844	4.8	89.0	45.6	90.1	3.6	5,462	19,790	3.4	11.3	1.0	85.3	72.0	95.1	57.0	6,626	26.30	68.00		
St. Joseph, Mich.....	3,327	5.1	60.7	15.9	87.6	1.0	3,228	9,912	2.8	4.8	0.3	62.3	86.0	93.5	36.7	7,764	41.35	45.56		
South Ste. Marie.....	5,290	4.8	64.1	12.3	84.9	1.9	4,964	17,277	3.2	13.6	1.1	61.2	84.3	94.8	36.7	5,684	39.48	43.47		
Springfield, Mass.—Leverett (cont'd.).....	4,110	4.6	83.4	43.9	84.9	1.5	4,022	13,149	3.1	8.8	0.3	79.2	92.1	92.1	42.1	6,623	35.43	52.44		
Troy.....	4,373	5.4	77.1	13.4	85.2	1.1	4,204	13,430	2.9	8.3	0.2	69.2	83.3	83.4	31.4	5,962	31.45	42.02		
Traverse City.....	3,176	2.8	66.7	25.1	76.0	1.0	3,029	12,225	2.7	7.5	0.2	67.3	72.9	83.0	41.9	4,627	41.05	48.21		
Wixom (uninc.).....	10,533	5.1	67.1	22.6	74.1	1.0	14,121	76,061	1.3	14.9	2.3	58.7	67.3	84.9	44.7	9,326	47.74	50.11		
Wyandotte.....	5,226	4.7	61.9	16.5	75.8	1.6	5,112	76,061	2.9	13.1	16.8	54.4	76.3	90.0	41.9	6,149	41.9	47.74		

* Restricted to 1-to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

† Includes occupied trailers.

‡ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 23

**HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE; APRIL 1950**

MINNESOTA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Minnesota increased 19 percent--from 773,042 in 1940 to 918,434 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 7 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Minnesota were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Minnesota, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 39 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 13 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 55 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 66 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-six percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 61 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	918,434	...	773,042	...	145,392	18.8
Occupied dwelling units...	845,265	100.0	728,359	100.0	116,906	16.1
Owner occupied.....	561,177	66.4	402,318	55.2	158,859	39.5
Renter occupied.....	284,088	33.6	326,041	44.8	-41,953	-12.9

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Minnesota were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 24 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (10 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Minnesota had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In St. Paul, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 52 percent in Brainerd. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 78 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 30 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 37 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 20 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 74 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,500. Forty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Minnesota. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Minnesota will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Present not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, present and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units			Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		
				Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—			Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit or detached structure*	With hot water, with private supply built in 1940 or later*	Vacant nonseasonal not dilapidated, and not for rent or sale	Median number of persons per room	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With central heat,* piped	Owner-occupied nonwhite	With mechanical refrigerating or air conditioning	Percent mortgaged*
Minnesota.....	916,434	4.9	73.0	16.4	52.7	1.0	845,265	2,876,145	3.1	13.0	0.8	66.4
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	722,283	4.6	66.4	18.2	63.7	0.9	662,006	2,137,234	2.9	12.8	1.0	63.4
Urban.....	656,859	4.7	56.5	15.4	73.0	0.9	647,057	1,535,90	2.9	11.8	0.9	78.3
Rural nonfarm.....	235,594	4.3	87.0	26.2	36.5	1.0	185,039	602,024	2.9	15.6	1.0	44.6
Rural farm.....	189,151	6.1	97.1	9.5	183,169	1.2	183,169	738,931	3.7	13.6	0.1	73.5
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS												
Duluth-Superior-Pt. Lk., Minn.-Ia.-Wis.	84,901	4.6	65.0	11.6	56.5	0.9	75,520	243,140	2.9	11.4	0.4	63.6
Minneapolis-St. Paul.....	337,792	4.7	53.8	16.9	75.2	1.0	327,793	1,065,115	2.9	11.8	1.3	80.8
URBAN PLACES												
Albert Lea.....	4,211	4.5	54.0	17.6	65.6	0.6	4,148	13,136	2.9	12.6	0.2	62.4
Austin.....	6,719	4.6	65.5	44.7	85.4	1.0	6,618	22,710	3.2	14.3	0.2	67.1
Bendigo.....	3,340	4.6	75.0	44.9	75.0	2.4	3,056	9,048	2.8	16.4	0.6	62.8
Duluth.....	31,949	4.6	73.0	16.5	63.0	1.3	31,048	21,411	3.0	13.8	0.4	68.2
Fergus Falls.....	44,996	4.8	54.7	7.4	72.5	0.9	31,312	98,792	2.9	9.1	0.4	61.8
Faribault.....	3,793	4.9	63.8	12.1	54.9	0.4	3,731	12,485	2.9	13.2	0.2	74.4
Hibbing.....	2,293	4.6	65.2	65.2	65.2	0.7	3,733	10,326	3.0	13.8	0.2	63.9
International Falls.....	9,953	4.5	63.1	14.6	54.7	0.6	4,935	11,803	3.0	11.9	0.2	65.3
Minneapolis-Lake City.....	5,404	4.9	64.9	18.1	67.7	0.5	4,935	17,932	3.0	11.5	0.4	61.7
Montgomery.....	1,974	4.7	64.4	7.4	77.7	0.8	1,597	345	2.8	10.8	1.5	52.6
Northfield.....	3,918	4.0	59.3	32.1	66.8	0.8	3,864	13,471	3.2	22.6	0.1	60.5
Osceola.....	3,072	5.1	65.9	11.4	65.3	0.6	3,007	9,568	2.9	9.5	0.1	63.0
Red Wing.....	3,412	5.0	58.8	6.7	65.3	0.6	3,366	10,022	2.7	7.6	0.2	61.3
Ridgedale.....	4,925	4.6	64.4	13.6	62.1	0.4	4,914	20,946	3.6	13.1	0.4	62.7
Robbinsdale.....	3,143	4.8	68.8	49.8	90.4	0.9	4,291	17,000	3.6	10.7	0.1	63.2
St. Cloud.....	8,448	4.5	60.4	23.5	78.5	0.9	8,277	2,917	3.0	11.5	0.2	62.0
St. Paul.....	7,247	4.8	69.9	44.2	82.1	0.5	6,925	25,402	3.3	17.3	0.2	69.1
South St. Paul.....	6,970	4.8	65.5	69.1	94.7	0.3	6,613	22,613	3.4	7.4	1.9	91.9
Washington.....	9,374	4.7	64.6	48.6	86.6	0.6	9,374	22,593	2.9	11.4	0.4	65.0
Virginia.....	3,980	4.5	64.7	6.5	64.7	0.6	4,455	15,640	3.0	11.4	0.4	68.2
Waconia.....	7,350	4.8	64.1	7.1	61.7	0.5	3,934	12,076	2.8	8.1	0.1	53.9
Waseca.....	7,255	4.8	64.1	2.7	67.9	0.5	7,225	23,254	2.9	12.3	0.2	64.6
1 Industrial occupied trailers.												
2 Restricted to units 1½ stories or more.												
3 Restricted to dwelling-units without business.												

¹ Industrial occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units 1½ stories or more.

³ Restricted to dwelling-units without business.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 4, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 24

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MISSISSIPPI

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Mississippi increased 9 percent--from 557,246 in 1940 to 609,329 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period decreased slightly--0.2 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in Mississippi were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and an increase of 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted 55 percent of the dwelling units in the State, and rural-farm dwelling units represented the remainder.

These and other summary data for Mississippi, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 49 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 19 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 33 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 48 percent. For the State as a whole, home ownership was about equally prevalent among urban dwelling units as among rural dwelling units, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in McComb, 63 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with only 36 percent in Greenwood.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	609,329	***	557,246	***	52,083	9.3
Occupied dwelling units...	554,765	100.0	534,956	100.0	19,809	3.7
Owner occupied.....	265,061	47.8	178,118	33.3	86,943	48.8
Renter occupied.....	289,704	52.2	356,838	66.7	-67,134	-18.6

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Mississippi were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm houses of which 31 percent were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among urban dwelling units was 29 percent and among rural-farm dwelling units, 21 percent.

Only 7 percent of the occupied dwelling units in Mississippi had central heating equipment. There was some variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Biloxi, for example, 32 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 3 percent in Hattiesburg. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 26 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 7 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 53 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$19 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$4,200. Twenty-nine percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Mississippi. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Mississippi will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.55 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	Total number	All dwelling units		Occupied dwelling units						Occupied nonfarm nonfarms									
		Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of occupied nonfarm nonfarms									
		Median number of rooms	In one-story dwelling-unit structures* built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With one or more persons per room	Owner occupied	With median rental value	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent	Median monthly rent (dollars)							
Mississippi.....	609,329	4.0	85.2	25.8	25.2	1.5	54.4	76.5	2,128,171	3.3	32.1	42.3	47.8	7.0	46.6	29.4	4,159	18,81	24,62
Urban and rural non-farm.....	333,597	3.9	75.8	30.1	40.6	2.1	307,729	1,032,204	3.0	25.3	36.0	49.2	11.1	56.9	29.4	4,159	18,81	24,62	
Urban.....	183,172	3.9	66.1	29.2	52.5	2.6	173,843	576,304	3.0	23.1	38.1	48.5	15.1	61.9	36.2	5,186	22,96	28,14	
Rural non-farm.....	150,425	3.9	87.4	31.2	133,416	1.4	455,900	3.1	28.2	33.2	46.0	20.4	19.0	20.4	5,186	12,98	18,09		
Rural farm.....	275,732	4.0	96.6	20.6	6.6	0.8	267,306	1,050,967	3.8	40.7	50.1	46.0	2.1	53.8	***	***	***	***	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Jackson.....	40,111	4.1	67.9	39.3	53.0	3.2	37,599	125,143	3.2	28.6	40.8	46.8	24.7	61.8	57.8	7,876	30.13	34.41	
URBAN PLACES																			
Biloxi.....	8,336	3.9	62.6	38.6	67.3	2.1	7,980	26,372	3.0	20.7	13.7	46.1	32.3	71.3	27.9	5,233	40.34	36.62	
Clarkdale.....	5,210	3.4	69.2	28.6	45.7	2.1	4,937	16,162	2.9	25.9	34.8	43.0	12.0	51.0	44.2	5,005	11,35	30.90	
Columbus.....	4,919	3.6	66.4	18.6	44.4	1.2	4,764	15,962	3.0	26.9	45.0	45.0	5.7	45.9	30.1	5,006	11,38	30.92	
Greenville.....	5,027	3.3	70.5	21.0	36.7	1.5	5,122	29,297	2.9	42.6	52.5	52.5	4.9	45.4	44.2	5,291	13,38	23.25	
Hattiesburg.....	5,025	3.4	66.9	23.3	52.2	1.3	5,447	17,644	2.9	24.3	49.1	49.1	12.2	60.5	48.0	7,178	13,45	26.92	
Grenwood.....	5,162	3.4	66.9	23.3	52.2	1.3	5,447	17,644	2.9	24.3	49.1	49.1	12.2	60.5	48.0	7,178	13,45	26.92	
Gulfport.....	6,889	4.1	75.8	38.6	62.7	3.9	6,354	20,953	3.0	18.3	20.2	57.5	23.1	71.5	33.6	4,839	32.12	32.31	
Hattiesburg.....	8,963	4.5	68.9	32.6	61.7	4.1	8,412	27,868	3.0	16.4	30.1	57.8	3.3	65.2	45.1	5,368	23.30	23.09	
Jackson.....	28,388	4.1	58.6	41.1	60.3	3.7	26,978	92,937	3.1	21.1	37.8	45.2	29.4	68.0	36.3	8,198	30.79	34.90	
Lauder.....	7,418	4.0	62.9	26.1	49.3	1.3	7,211	28,653	3.1	22.6	36.6	47.8	16.4	63.1	33.4	5,676	13,08	23.65	
McComb.....	5,393	4.6	65.6	22.0	69.4	2.9	5,265	10,302	2.9	13.2	22.9	62.5	5.3	72.6	28.7	5,621	27.07	30.45	
Meridian.....	12,981	4.0	52.9	22.2	54.4	1.5	12,567	40,400	2.9	19.6	35.5	45.8	17.2	62.4	36.2	5,337	20.86	23.87	
Mobile.....	7,051	3.5	58.7	28.5	43.8	3.1	6,603	22,017	3.0	27.6	46.1	39.2	10.4	51.2	34.7	5,937	18.73	24.20	
Montgomery.....	3,909	4.1	65.0	61.3	70.9	14.0	3,976	10,709	3.3	21.8	22.8	43.7	4.4	69.1	23.4	4,336	30.39	36.90	
Pascagoula.....	3,621	4.0	61.6	20.1	54.6	3.0	3,545	9,049	3.9	18.4	30.7	43.7	16.3	65.0	23.6	5,627	18.63	21.95	
Tupelo.....	8,960	3.6	62.2	11.7	43.6	1.3	8,687	27,127	2.7	20.4	30.7	41.9	13.5	56.0	33.2	4,508	12.97	21.05	

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 24, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 25

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

MISSOURI

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Missouri increased 11 percent--from 1,140,493 in 1940 to 1,268,354 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 4 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Missouri were considerably smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about one-fifth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Missouri, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 46 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 15 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 44 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 58 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 51 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.....	1,268,354	...	1,140,493	...	127,861	11.2
Owner-occupied.....	1,197,597	100.0	1,068,642	100.0	128,955	12.1
Renter-occupied.....	691,256	57.7	472,950	44.3	218,306	46.2
	506,341	42.3	595,692	55.7	-89,351	-15.0

Approximately one-seventh of the dwelling units in Missouri were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 21 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 12 percent of the farm units.

Almost one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Missouri had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Kansas City, for example, 83 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 50 percent in Springfield. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 66 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 10 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 30 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 68 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$30 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,400. Forty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Missouri. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Missouri will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

THE JOURNAL

ESTATE PLANNING 28

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

August 13, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 26

**HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950**

MONTANA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Montana increased 10 percent—from 177,443 in 1940 to 194,256 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 6 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Montana were considerably smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted more than three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Montana, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 27 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940 and the proportion of home ownership increased from 52 percent to 60 percent in the ten-year period. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-five percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 53 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

**TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)**

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units..	194,256	...	177,443	...	16,813	9.5
Occupied dwelling units..	175,470	100.0	159,963	100.0	15,507	9.7
Owner occupied.....	105,744	60.3	83,126	52.0	22,618	27.2
Renter occupied.....	69,726	39.7	76,837	48.0	-7,111	-9.3

Approximately one-fifth of the dwelling units in Montana were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm

houses in rural areas, where 25 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-farm and urban dwelling units was about 16 percent.

Two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Montana had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Great Falls, for example, 76 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 35 percent in Anaconda. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 57 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 20 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 65 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 27 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 78 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$35 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,800. Twenty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Montana. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Montana will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 [Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For completed-current items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units						Occupied dwelling units						Occupied dwelling units—						Owner-occupied nonfarm						Renter-occupied nonfarm								
	Percent of dwelling units—						Population in dwelling units			Median number of persons per room			With hot running water, with private toilet			Median number of persons per room			With mechanical heating			Median value of dwelling unit			Median monthly rent (dollars)								
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In structures detached from buildings*	Total number	Median number of rooms	In structures built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Median number of rooms	In structures built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Median number of rooms	In structures built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Median number of rooms	In structures built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Median number of rooms	With central heating	With electric heat*	With gas heat*	With oil or kerosene heat*	With coal heat*	With wood heat*	With other heat*	With no heat	With no central heating	With no electric heat*	With no gas heat*	With no oil or kerosene heat*	With no coal heat*	With no wood heat*	With no other heat*	
Montana.....	134,256	4.0	78.0	19.1	56.3	3.7	175,470	566,284	2.9	19.0	2.1	60.3	40.0	77.0	27.2	5,797	25.35	40.85															
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	130,760	3.9	72.2	20.0	64.7	1.8	139,345	431,488	2.8	18.1	1.9	56.4	45.1	79.4	27.4	5,797	35.35	40.85															
Urban.....	84,397	4.0	60.9	16.5	77.7	1.6	81,559	243,032	2.7	14.6	0.7	53.0	56.7	84.5	31.9	6,944	38.14	42.82															
Rural.....	66,363	3.8	86.6	24.5	48.0	2.0	58,186	189,456	2.9	23.1	3.6	61.2	29.0	72.4	20.7	4,426	28.59	36.42															
Rural farm.....	43,496	4.4	98.0	16.3	26.9	1.5	36,125	134,796	3.4	22.5	2.8	75.0	20.1	67.9	***	***	***	***															
URBAN PLACES																																	
Anchorage.....	3,461	4.2	70.4	3.1	64.9	0.5	3,449	30,958	2.6	14.7	1.0	54.2	25.3	61.8	26.2	3,723	31.16	38.45															
Billings.....	10,552	3.9	55.7	22.0	57.4	0.7	10,642	30,642	1.7	14.7	1.2	49.3	42.5	65.0	32.9	6,396	41.31	49.01															
Bismarck.....	3,532	4.1	55.9	20.8	64.5	1.6	3,416	18,198	2.1	12.2	0.2	49.6	68.3	84.0	32.9	38,742	45.01	50.75															
Butte.....	11,563	3.9	51.1	21.1	62.2	1.9	11,035	30,904	2.5	11.6	0.8	46.8	42.9	74.0	22.7	5,106	50.55	57.15															
Great Falls.....	12,586	3.8	53.6	21.6	76.9	1.4	12,177	36,886	2.7	17.3	0.6	48.6	76.0	90.5	36.8	8,943	42.14	44.81															
Helena.....	5,925	4.1	51.7	16.0	80.3	2.0	16,541	5,709	2.5	10.9	1.5	48.3	61.9	86.0	37.8	7,499	39.43	44.91															
Missoula.....	6,923	4.1	67.3	15.7	82.4	1.3	6,659	20,120	2.6	12.5	0.3	58.4	52.7	86.2	40.3	7,169	39.99	47.07															

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.
 3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 10, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 27

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEBRASKA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Nebraska increased 8 percent--from 387,368 in 1940 to 417,245 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 1 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Nebraska were considerably smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Nebraska, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 41 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 19 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 47 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 61 percent.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	417,245	...	387,368	...	29,877	7.7
Occupied dwelling units...	394,148	100.0	360,744	100.0	33,404	9.3
Owner occupied.....	238,810	60.6	159,966	47.1	68,844	40.5
Renter occupied.....	155,338	39.4	190,778	52.9	-35,440	-18.6

About one-eighth of the dwelling units in Nebraska were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest

concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 15 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (5 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Nebraska had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Lincoln, for example, 88 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 56 percent in North Platte. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 75 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 24 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 52 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 27 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 77 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$37 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,900. Thirty-two percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Nebraska. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Nebraska will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.45 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 25-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm Renter-occupied nonfarm								
	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units													
	Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of dwelling units—			With hot water running non- seasonal and bath dilapi- dated, or later*		With median number of persons per room											
Median number of rooms	In one- dwelling units	In dwelling- units detached from other structures ¹	Total number of dwelling units	Total number of dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Owner- occupied by non- white	With median number of persons per room	With median number of persons per room	With median number of persons per room									
Nebraska.....	417,245	5.0	80.3	11.5	56.5	1.1	394,148	1,279,013	2.9	12.6	1.5	60.6	51.5	82.1	32.0	5.918	36.71	42.50	
Urban and rural non-farm.....	298,228	4.8	73.3	14.0	68.3	1.1	287,929	887,459	2.7	13.9	1.7	62.8	63.7	84.9	32.0	5.912	36.71	42.50	
Urban.....	192,610	4.6	66.0	13.2	77.4	1.2	187,985	591,707	2.5	15.4	1.6	60.1	74.6	83.4	40.6	7,042	43.42	45.08	
Rural nonfarm.....	105,618	5.0	86.4	13.7	51.7	1.0	100,010	296,122	2.3	11.2	0.4	67.1	36.6	78.4	23.7	4,048	34.51	34.51	
Rural farm.....	119,017	6.0	97.9	5.4	26.9	1.0	106,153	593,184	3.4	9.1	0.3	54.6	23.7	74.4	***	***	***	***	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Lincoln.....	37,116	4.8	62.8	14.6	74.5	1.2	35,991	109,578	2.7	10.0	0.9	57.3	80.0	90.8	42.0	7,134	40.89	42.12	
Omaha.....	107,782	4.8	71.1	12.2	73.1	0.7	105,407	346,076	3.0	12.6	4.3	63.4	77.3	90.0	43.6	7,137	41.64	46.69	
URBAN PLACES																			
Beatrice.....	3,989	4.6	70.0	10.6	67.2	1.0	3,893	11,576	2.7	10.5	0.2	60.1	66.1	84.6	37.9	6,212	38.07	44.44	
Fremont.....	4,794	4.6	68.4	17.3	78.3	0.5	4,726	14,174	2.7	10.3	0.1	63.4	68.8	91.8	45.5	7,534	43.35	46.79	
Grand Island.....	7,237	4.3	63.8	16.2	76.4	1.3	7,055	21,772	2.7	11.9	0.4	59.2	73.2	85.4	43.3	6,732	39.11	43.05	
Hastings.....	6,801	4.5	59.9	23.1	85.6	4.4	6,288	19,544	2.8	11.2	0.8	58.0	72.0	89.6	37.4	6,150	36.89	40.53	
Kearney.....	3,859	4.4	66.2	15.4	70.6	1.6	3,689	11,079	2.7	12.6	0.1	59.0	56.5	83.4	33.0	6,024	37.65	37.94	
Lincoln.....	31,467	4.7	59.2	13.0	80.9	1.3	30,683	91,908	2.7	9.2	1.1	58.0	88.1	92.5	43.0	7,407	42.63	43.06	
Norfolk.....	3,529	4.8	76.7	11.1	68.7	0.2	3,509	10,928	2.8	12.6	0.3	63.0	72.0	84.9	31.7	6,044	37.48	45.60	
North Platte.....	4,201	4.2	69.3	24.0	79.2	2.3	4,177	15,170	2.8	15.4	0.6	56.6	56.4	88.0	50.0	7,018	43.74	50.87	
Ogallala.....	73,741	4.8	64.2	10.7	80.4	0.7	72,707	236,491	2.9	11.5	6.0	60.0	86.1	91.9	44.5	7,756	42.64	47.60	
Omaha.....	4,221	4.0	59.4	23.3	84.6	2.7	4,022	12,704	2.9	14.9	1.4	54.2	61.4	85.0	45.2	8,013	42.24	44.74	

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- or 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

⁴ Includes occupied trailers.

⁵ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

May 15, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 28

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEVADA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Nevada increased 54 percent--from 36,770 in 1940 to 56,515 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 45 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Nevada were far above those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Dwelling units in urban areas constituted 56 percent of all the dwelling units in the State. About two-thirds of the urban total was in the cities Las Vegas and Reno.

These and other summary data for Nevada, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 59 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the home ownership rate showed only a slight increase in the 10 years, 46 percent in 1940 to 49 percent in 1950. There was a considerably higher rate of home ownership in rural-farm than in nonfarm housing. Home ownership was found in almost three-fourths, 72.3 percent, of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units compared with less than one-half, 46.9 percent, of the occupied nonfarm dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	56,515	...	36,770	...	19,745	53.7
Occupied dwelling units...						
Owner occupied.....	50,241	100.0	33,291	100.0	16,930	50.9
Renter occupied.....	24,484	48.7	15,353	46.1	9,131	59.5
Total.....	25,727	51.3	17,938	53.9	7,819	43.6

About two-fifths of the dwelling units in the State were built since 1940. The largest concentration of new units was in Las Vegas, where almost three-fifths of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas where one-fourth were built during the decade.

Approximately one-fourth of all the dwelling units in Nevada had central heating equipment. However, a wide variation in the proportion with central heating was shown by the various areas throughout the State. In Las Vegas, for example, only 8 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, compared with 67 percent in Reno.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, farm housing was of poorer quality than nonfarm housing in the State. Three-fourths of the nonfarm units, compared with one-half of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had all of the following plumbing facilities: hot running water and private flush toilet and bath. Eighty-three percent of the urban units met this standard.

Median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$42 a month. The median value of 1-dwelling-unit owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$6,900. About two-fifths of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Nevada. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Nevada will be available in June 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE; 1950
 [Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 100;
 for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units—			
	Percent of dwelling units—		Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median total number of persons per room	With hot running water, not dilapidated, dated, and not dilapidated	With one or more persons per room	Median value of owner-occupied farm			
	Median	With hot running water, not dilapidated, dated, and not dilapidated										
Nebraska.....	36.5(5)	3.8	72.8	39.6	72.7	2.6	50,201	151,031	2.6	16.3	5.2	48.7
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	52,028	3.8	71.0	40.9	75.7	3.4	46,623	137,904	2.6	15.9	4.8	46.9
Urban.....	31,549	3.9	69.0	42.6	82.9	2.4	29,973	86,733	2.5	13.6	4.5	48.0
Rural nonfarm.....	20,479	3.7	74.1	38.2	64.6	5.0	16,650	51,171	2.6	20.0	5.3	45.0
Rural farm.....	4,487	4.3	93.3	24.9	49.8	6.3	3,618	13,127	3.3	22.7	10.6	72.3
URBAN PLACES												
Las Vegas.....	8,676	3.6	65.3	58.9	75.7	1.8	8,264	23,398	2.4	15.8	11.6	48.2
Reno.....	11,424	4.0	64.6	31.5	87.3	2.3	10,949	30,161	2.4	8.7	1.5	48.3

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

May 27, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-B, No. 29

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW HAMPSHIRE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New Hampshire increased 21 percent--from 158,044 in 1940 to 190,563 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 8 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in New Hampshire were smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban dwelling units constituted about one-half of all the dwelling units in the State and rural-nonfarm dwelling units represented about two-fifths. More than one-third of the rural-nonfarm dwelling units were vacant; most of these were held by the owners for seasonal use.

These and other summary data for New Hampshire, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 31 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 52 percent in 1940 to 58 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Eighty-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, compared with 48 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	190,563	100.0	158,044	100.0	32,519	20.6
Occupied dwelling units...	155,203	100.0	132,936	100.0	22,267	16.8
Owner occupied.....	90,213	58.1	68,705	51.7	21,508	31.3
Renter occupied.....	64,990	41.9	64,231	48.3	759	1.2

Approximately one-eighth (12 percent) of the dwelling units in New Hampshire were built between

1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm houses, where 15 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

Almost three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in New Hampshire had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between rural-farm and urban dwelling units. In Berlin, for example, only 44 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, compared with about 86 percent in Portsmouth. In occupied rural-farm dwelling units, 42 percent had central heating equipment, compared with 64 percent in occupied urban dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities or the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About two-thirds (66 percent) of the nonfarm units compared with 47 percent of the farm units were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 78 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$27 a month. The median value of 1-dwelling-unit owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$6,200. Forty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New Hampshire. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New Hampshire will be available in June 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.25 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 (Invertak (*) denotes statistic based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100.)

Area	Occupied dwelling units—										Owner-occupied nonfarm units	Renter-occupied nonfarm units		
	All dwelling units					Occupied dwelling units—								
	Percent of dwelling units—		Median number of rooms	Median number of rooms in owner- occupied dwelling units	Total number of rooms in owner- occupied dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by non- white	Owner occupy- ing paid room	With mechan- ical heat- ing				
New Hampshire.....	190,363	5.2	GL.4	31.8	64.8	1,6	155,203	509,826	3.0	8.9	0.2	58.1		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	176,961	5.1	59.0	12.2	65.4	1,4	142,049	463,201	2.9	8.9	0.2	55.6		
Urban.....	91,861	5.0	78.9	9.7	77.1	1,7	69,154	289,018	3.0	8.4	0.3	57.5		
Rural nonfarm.....	85,100	5.1	61.1	15.2	53.1	1,1	73,815	146,147	2.9	8.7	0.1	63.6		
Rural farm.....	13,982	6.8	69.0	8.1	42.7	3.9	13,154	46,927	3.2	8.3	0.4	69.2		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS														
Hanover.....	27,292	4.9	31.8	9.9	72.2	1.4	26,060	84,217	2.9	9.1	0.3	42.3		
Brown places.....	4,687	4.8	31.5	2.5	80.3	0.4	4,623	16,259	3.2	12.9	0.6	48.2		
Berlin.....	4,064	4.9	39.2	10.4	80.8	1.7	3,904	12,697	3.3	9.1	0.6	48.8		
Clarendon.....	8,011	5.3	48.4	5.0	83.3	1.5	7,643	24,105	2.9	6.0	0.1	54.6		
Concord.....	4,860	5.4	44.3	4.5	87.7	0.6	4,790	13,322	3.0	8.5	0.1	47.7		
Dover.....	4,299	4.8	44.1	3.3	86.0	1.6	4,178	13,004	2.9	7.3	0.1	54.3		
Lebanon.....	2,247	4.8	49.8	6.5	76.7	1.2	2,197	7,497	2.9	6.3	0.4	53.6		
Manchester.....	22,547	4.9	36.7	9.2	76.4	1.4	26,533	7,497	2.9	6.3	0.4	50.8		
Nashua.....	10,240	5.0	42.9	10.9	81.3	0.9	10,137	31,453	3.0	8.7	0.2	44.6		
Portsmouth.....	5,943	5.0	42.9	19.5	86.3	2.6	5,665	18,428	3.0	8.7	0.4	45.3		
Seabrook.....	4,429	5.2	56.7	17.0	72.5	2.8	4,377	13,439	2.9	7.8	0.2	45.7		

Percent computed without regard to size of unit or number of occupants of unit.

Percent computed on basis of 20-percent sample proportions.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 26, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 30

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW JERSEY

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New Jersey increased 23 percent--from 1,223,887 in 1940 to 1,501,473 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 16 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in New Jersey was equal to that for the United States, while the percentage gain in population was slightly greater, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

Only 2 percent of all the dwelling units in the state were located on farms in rural areas. Non-farm dwelling units in rural areas represented 14 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for New Jersey, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 68 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 3 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 39 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 53 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 51 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TEMUR IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units.....	1,501,473	...	1,223,887	...	277,586	22.7
Occupied dwelling units.....	1,373,637	100.0	1,100,260	100.0	273,377	24.8
Owner occupied.....	729,993	53.1	433,878	39.4	296,115	68.2
Renter occupied.....	643,644	46.9	666,382	60.6	-22,738	-34.1

Approximately one-seventh of the dwelling units in New Jersey were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 25 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (14 percent) was among urban dwelling units.

About 80 percent of the occupied dwelling units in New Jersey had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In East Orange, for example, 96 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 38 percent in Hoboken. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 81 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 64 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, housing in urban areas was of better quality than housing in rural areas. In rural areas, 68 percent of the nonfarm units and 62 percent of the farm units had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated. In urban areas, 87 percent of the units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$39 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$10,400. Fifty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New Jersey. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1950 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New Jersey will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

Percent and dollars statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent and dollars statistics based on 100 percent sample. Percent and dollars where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Median value of one-unit dwelling unit rent (dollars)						
	Total number	Median number of dwelling units detached from structures*	In one-unit houses	With hot water running, private tanks, and hot water tank or later**	With hot water running, not seasonal, not dilapidated, for real or sale	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Occupied by nonwhite	With central heating	With mobile trailer or trailer	With mobile trailer or trailer, owner-occupied	With mobile trailer or trailer, rented	Median gross monthly rental (dollars)	Median gross monthly rental (dollars)					
New Jersey.....	1,250,072	5,0	46,7	15,2	87,5	1,2	277,657	4,686,596	3,1	10,2	5,2	53,1	79,7	82,6	51,3	10,479	39,12					
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	1,466,429	5,0	45,7	15,2	86,0	1,2	244,722	4,554,244	3,1	10,2	5,2	52,7	51,3	52,6	39,12	10,792	48,92					
Urban.....	1,259,410	4,9	39,4	13,7	86,5	1,2	201,654	4,022,910	3,2	10,2	5,4	50,5	48,7	52,6	38,355	8,355	45,33					
Rural nonfarm.....	207,049	4,9	50,4	25,2	84,3	1,0	145,138	491,334	3,1	11,8	5,0	70,7	88,3	43,6	32,63	7,300	49,17					
Rural farm.....	35,014	6,0	87,5	15,6	61,7	3,0	24,845	104,354	3,3	10,3	5,4	64,0	85,6	48,8	***	7,810	48,07					
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS														***								
Athens City.....	48,604	5,3	49,3	9,4	88,4	1,9	40,648	126,161	2,8	15,3	14,2	54,7	64,7	87,1	41,1	7,627	42,80					
New York-Northeastern New Jersey (New Jersey portion).....	982,669	6,8	38,5	14,6	85,2	1,1	989,201	3,256,462	3,2	10,1	4,7	47,9	96,1	54,6	12,226	39,35	49,01					
Trenton.....	66,784	5,6	35,8	14,3	84,6	0,8	59,383	212,139	3,3	9,3	6,5	63,6	88,2	51,4	7,810	42,00	49,17					
URBAN PLACES														***								
Ashbury Park.....	5,398	4,6	44,5	5,6	80,5	2,7	4,934	15,662	2,8	10,0	17,6	39,4	77,7	83,8	44,6	9,798	44,43					
Atlantic City.....	22,618	2,6	92,0	2,3	19,87	2,4	2,6	23,4	50,3	33,5	90,3	85,3	44,8	7,124	40,57	44,79						
Bayonne.....	21,134	1,3	4,9	85,0	0,4	75,514	3,4	24,3	2,1	33,3	66,7	87,0	48,7	10,068	36,51	42,62						
Belleville.....	8,964	4,8	44,8	16,1	93,4	0,5	8,856	31,768	3,4	2,3	56,9	86,8	75,9	11,122	7,810	45,91						
Bergenfield.....	5,510	5,1	69,1	44,7	98,8	2,2	5,3,30	17,658	2,2	5,6	0,2	71,8	96,0	75,2	22,31	7,810	77,97					
Bloomsfield.....	3,776	5,2	44,0	16,2	98,1	1,1	15,20	47,707	3,2	6,9	5,4	92,4	97,6	63,8	12,237	48,69	58,03					
Bogota.....	5,609	5,5	44,0	11,4	72,9	1,1	5,408	17,923	3,0	6,9	11,4	60,1	78,0	41,2	5,200	23,79	41,31					
Brentwood.....	3,335	5,2	44,0	11,4	72,9	1,0	3,204	11,701	3,0	6,9	11,4	60,1	80,6	79,4	3,8	5,763	41,31					
Burlington.....	35,210	5,6	80,0	12,0	80,5	1,0	3,4,477	11,251	3,3	9,1	12,4	65,1	87,0	85,7	4,533	5,763	41,31					
Cambria City.....	3,293	5,6	74,8	11,1	85,8	1,1	3,4,477	12,256	3,3	10,1	12,1	62,3	70,6	85,7	4,533	5,763	41,31					
Cartersville.....	81,9	4,9	41,9	8,7	81,9	0,3	5,542	12,674	3,4	14,9	2,1	53,2	69,3	93,4	10,763	27,76	42,22					
Chestnut Park.....	5,201	4,6	28,6	5,4	94,4	0,8	5,233	17,092	3,1	9,7	0,1	45,8	97,9	48,0	13,491	44,73	50,36					
Closter.....	10,709	4,6	19,1	23,8	94,4	0,8	19,179	64,279	3,2	6,9	0,2	61,7	88,7	77,0	11,653	36,48	42,62					
Collingswood.....	6,079	5,5	44,1	15,1	94,0	2,1	4,483	15,697	3,2	6,5	0,1	98,7	92,4	92,4	9,280	36,20	63,49					
Dover.....	2,302	5,2	55,6	11,3	88,8	0,6	3,246	10,912	3,1	6,5	0,6	55,1	85,0	91,5	10,264	31,77	51,69					
Dumont.....	3,626	5,2	76,4	43,3	98,5	1,2	3,748	13,002	3,3	5,7	0,1	77,4	98,9	99,7	12,253	76,0	71,79					
East Orange.....	26,881	4,7	20,9	7,3	92,1	1,2	26,379	76,150	2,7	6,9	1,2	31,2	95,7	96,8	12,669	55,187	63,23					
East Paterson.....	4,564	3,4	50,8	70,5	97,6	1,7	4,453	15,377	3,3	9,1	1,1	62,8	93,0	99,0	70,0	11,326	78,02					
Elizabeth.....	30,361	4,7	22,4	6,7	84,7	0,8	31,811	109,552	3,2	12,0	1,7	38,5	74,1	93,5	42,2	31,79	44,96					
Englewood.....	7,053	5,0	44,7	19,6	93,6	1,5	6,381	22,458	3,0	14,2	0,1	50,7	92,0	52,1	14,767	31,73	63,40					
Fair Lawn.....	7,290	5,0	75,3	61,8	98,8	2,3	23,180	31,807	3,3	7,8	0,2	73,6	91,9	77,0	7,171	31,73	50,36					
Fair Lawn-Belleville.....	3,010	4,7	47,0	11,1	92,5	2,0	3,904	11,458	3,0	8,4	0,2	55,7	91,1	94,7	14,982	31,73	57,45					
Garfield.....	8,171	4,7	22,3	7,7	92,7	0,5	8,031	17,488	3,1	7,8	0,9	47,5	59,3	92,7	35,0	31,79	42,22					
Glen Cove.....	3,956	5,9	38,6	5,8	85,9	0,4	3,877	14,215	3,4	7,8	0,4	70,0	84,3	92,1	45,5	30,50	42,94					
Hackensack.....	8,229	4,7	44,1	17,6	98,1	1,1	8,383	27,972	3,1	7,9	0,2	48,4	89,6	92,1	12,273	45,53	56,01					
Hasbrouck Heights.....	2,482	6,3	68,2	6,4	98,1	0,8	10,277	2,9	1,8	1,7	4,9	86,7	92,8	97,5	13,364	31,73	57,45					
Harrison.....	2,697	4,8	12,6	6,9	77,0	0,3	3,074	13,305	3,4	16,0	1,2	29,7	52,5	92,0	36,5	28,63	41,98					
Hawthorne.....	4,046	5,2	66,2	16,8	93,5	1,4	4,551	14,774	3,1	6,3	0,1	72,9	92,3	97,0	28,63	21,507	45,07					
Hoboken.....	14,507	4,1	0,9	63,7	0,8	14,506	47,938	3,0	12,0	1,5	0,8	12,4	88,5	88,5	8,810	8,810	45,07					

* Restricted to units in 1-to-4-dwelling-unit properties.

† Includes occupied trailers.

‡ Restricted to units in 1-to-4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

MARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STAN
CROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--^c

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of occupied nonfarm		Median gross monthly rent (dollar)		Median gross monthly rent (dollar)		Median gross monthly rent (dollar)		
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit detached houses	In one-dwelling-unit attached houses	With hot running water, with bathroom, and bath, and not dilapidated	Total number	Median number of rooms	With 1 or more persons per room	With 1 or more persons per white room	Owner occupied	With central heating	With mobile home	With one- or more detached garages	Median value of one- or more detached garages ^a (dollar)	Median gross monthly rent (dollar)									
URBAN PLACES—Con't.																								
Drexelton.....	18,552	4.8	21.1	7.4	97.2	0.5	18,385	58,688	3.0	6.8	0.2	37.8	91.4	96.3	50.4	11,977	46.3	38	97.10	11,711	44.7	38	97.10	
Elkton.....	86,009	4.8	8.0	3.1	80.7	0.5	84,946	79,195	3.1	16.8	0.5	55.7	86.0	97.0	51.1	7,653	42.3	38	51.63	42.3	40.6	38	51.63	
Kent City.....	11,418	5.0	28.4	4.3	70.7	0.5	11,334	39,916	3.2	10.0	0.5	60.7	87.2	97.3	51.2	11,857	47.0	38	53.34	47.0	40.6	38	53.34	
Linden.....	8,456	4.8	48.7	5.0	83.6	0.5	8,372	39,337	3.2	10.9	0.5	60.7	87.2	94.7	50.7	9,799	37.10	38	45.35	37.10	37.10	38	45.35	
Lodi.....	4,364	4.8	42.6	3.2	88.9	1.2	41,725	15,123	3.2	13.8	0.6	65.6	79.9	94.7	60.7	9,799	37.10	38	45.35	37.10	37.10	38	45.35	
Long Branch.....	7,615	5.0	55.6	1.5	90.0	2.4	7,676	22,631	3.1	10.0	6.3	61.3	86.0	88.2	46.0	9,624	50.14	38	56.90	50.14	43.63	38	56.90	
Macungie.....	2,901	5.0	65.2	0.4	92.8	0.4	2,868	10,033	3.1	8.5	4.6	94.0	96.3	97.0	59.0	14,600	43.92	38	51.92	43.92	43.70	38	51.92	
Millville.....	5,321	5.5	54.3	13.9	70.2	1.4	4,988	15,940	2.9	6.3	0.7	68.7	86.6	95.2	42.0	5,265	41.10	38	47.70	41.10	43.70	38	47.70	
Moneta.....	12,892	5.5	58.8	4.8	91.0	1.0	12,659	42,263	3.0	7.7	17.0	51.2	81.6	96.3	56.4	12,727	53.65	38	53.65	53.65	51.40	38	53.65	
Morristown.....	5,007	5.1	33.7	9.8	41.9	0.9	4,939	16,408	3.0	9.4	8.2	86.2	94.8	94.8	48.8	12,764	44.01	38	51.40	44.01	43.70	38	51.40	
Newark.....	126,398	4.6	44.6	10.0	76.5	0.7	122,511	417,172	3.1	15.1	14.7	65.8	88.7	92.7	48.2	10,822	35.89	48.80	48.80	10,822	35.89	48.80	48.80	
New Bern.....	10,388	4.6	44.6	10.0	76.5	0.7	10,414	34,780	3.1	11.5	8.4	61.0	80.8	86.0	36.5	9,778	40.39	50.26	50.26	9,778	40.39	50.26	50.26	
North Arlington.....	1,000	4.6	42.6	4.3	82.0	3.6	4,690	15,923	3.2	8.0	0.0	44.7	97.1	96.8	68.0	12,068	56.92	48.64	48.64	12,068	56.92	48.64	48.64	
North Easton.....	3,861	5.0	47.0	4.3	86.0	1.9	3,791	12,663	3.2	5.7	0.4	64.6	95.0	97.0	62.4	12,763	48.67	56.12	56.12	12,763	48.67	56.12	56.12	
North Falloway.....	7,460	5.5	57.7	15.5	96.0	0.8	7,293	26,842	3.2	8.6	1.4	61.6	95.1	96.4	63.9	12,718	45.51	52.42	52.42	12,718	45.51	52.42	52.42	
Orange.....	10,881	4.4	23.2	6.9	83.6	0.8	10,742	36,533	3.1	14.0	15.9	31.8	77.8	85.3	26.1	11,988	41.11	51.24	51.24	11,988	41.11	51.24	51.24	
Passtime.....	17,745	4.4	47.7	15.8	77.1	0.9	17,593	55,995	3.0	11.2	4.2	75.4	89.5	94.2	41.2	12,717	51.33	43.75	43.75	12,717	51.33	43.75	43.75	
Patterson.....	42,925	4.7	47.7	15.8	84.7	0.7	42,288	125,438	3.0	12.5	4.6	76.0	85.0	91.6	41.2	12,720	51.42	43.76	43.76	12,720	51.42	43.76	43.76	
Parth Amory.....	12,097	4.6	27.8	6.0	87.6	0.5	11,795	40,564	3.2	13.8	2.0	40.2	83.8	94.6	39.6	12,720	51.42	43.76	43.76	12,720	51.42	43.76	43.76	
Philadelphia Park.....	5,515	5.0	59.7	9.0	76.0	0.5	5,453	15,656	3.1	35.7	0.5	54.2	78.3	88.7	35.4	12,677	40.82	48.82	48.82	12,677	40.82	48.82	48.82	
Fairmount Park.....	12,620	4.7	43.7	11.5	83.9	2.2	12,727	41,299	3.1	8.7	2.0	61.0	82.7	92.6	55.6	12,189	47.46	56.37	56.37	12,189	47.46	56.37	56.37	
Frankford.....	3,859	5.6	78.2	4.7	83.7	1.1	3,755	11,893	3.1	14.9	14.9	51.8	84.2	92.0	40.2	10,937	46.25	59.23	59.23	10,937	46.25	59.23	59.23	
Glenside.....	2,622	5.5	39.3	14.9	91.8	0.5	2,578	8,107	2.9	5.3	8.7	39.6	94.5	95.6	42.0	7,986	50.76	54.46	54.46	7,986	50.76	54.46	54.46	
Habayra.....	51,261	5.5	57.7	16.3	91.4	1.5	5,089	21,016	3.2	8.5	4.6	64.0	86.2	90.3	45.3	10,296	41.28	56.16	56.16	10,296	41.28	56.16	56.16	
Heald.....	3,930	5.6	50.7	16.6	91.4	1.9	3,787	12,400	3.1	7.3	7.1	52.8	80.3	94.9	45.3	10,651	46.16	56.16	56.16	10,651	46.16	56.16	56.16	
Hillside.....	3,662	5.4	50.7	16.6	91.4	1.9	3,583	11,705	3.1	6.3	0.0	64.0	98.0	98.5	57.6	11,921	48.83	53.33	53.33	11,921	48.83	53.33	53.33	
Hillwood.....	5,444	5.4	61.3	17.5	97.3	1.5	5,368	11,927	3.1	10.6	8.0	61.0	98.2	99.0	57.6	11,921	48.83	53.33	53.33	11,921	48.83	53.33	53.33	
Holmesburg.....	5,134	5.6	62.3	17.5	97.3	1.5	5,060	11,265	3.1	8.2	5.2	57.9	94.6	95.8	62.4	11,769	48.83	53.33	53.33	11,769	48.83	53.33	53.33	
Roseville Park.....	5,130	5.6	62.3	17.5	97.3	1.5	4,964	11,488	3.2	5.8	0.2	65.0	97.0	98.0	53.2	11,728	48.83	53.33	53.33	11,728	48.83	53.33	53.33	
Shady Hill.....	2,622	5.5	62.3	17.5	97.3	1.5	2,546	7,277	3.0	4.4	1.8	65.0	97.0	98.0	53.2	11,724	48.83	53.33	53.33	11,724	48.83	53.33	53.33	
Sayreville.....	2,940	4.9	74.7	29.4	79.8	3.4	2,793	11,206	3.5	14.0	0.4	63.8	69.3	70.1	94.3	38.7	38.87	38.87	38.7	38.87	38.87	38.87	38.87	
Somerville.....	3,537	5.4	50.7	16.6	92.6	1.1	3,476	11,746	3.4	7.3	3.8	48.3	74.9	80.7	55.1	11,719	50.61	60.26	60.26	11,719	50.61	60.26	60.26	
South Orange.....	4,429	5.5	50.7	16.6	92.6	1.1	4,117	77.4	3.4	2.9	2.2	66.4	76.7	80.9	51.6	20,000	61.78	61.78	61.78	20,000	61.78	61.78	61.78	
South River.....	3,109	5.2	50.7	16.6	92.6	1.1	3,097	11,203	3.5	10.6	1.4	67.1	74.9	80.7	54.8	23,110	41.93	52.00	52.00	23,110	41.93	52.00	52.00	
South....	5,149	6.0	50.7	16.6	92.6	2.3	4,742	11,484	3.2	5.8	0.2	65.4	76.7	80.9	51.6	18,660	44.47	54.47	54.47	18,660	44.47	54.47	54.47	
Trenton.....	32,896	5.7	51.5	11.5	84.0	0.7	32,287	11,289	3.3	10.5	7.8	52.0	79.4	88.6	44.5	6,635	39.70	46.35	46.35	6,635	39.70	46.35	46.35	
Union City.....	16,024	5.5	51.5	11.5	84.0	0.7	15,834	11,289	3.3	10.7	0.1	67.0	74.9	80.7	44.5	8,542	35.47	42.50	42.50	8,542	35.47	42.50	42.50	
Verona.....	3,004	5.6	51.5	11.5	84.0	0.7	2,995	10,225	3.3	3.9	1.0	74.9	70.1	79.7	44.5	12,718	56.61	66.22	66.22	12,718	56.61	66.22	66.22	
Westfield.....	6,264	6.4	51.5	11.5	84.0	0.7	6,076	21,068	3.4	4.6	3.8	64.4	69.3	74.7	44.5	15,608	61.59	60.51	60.51	15,608	61.59	60.51	60.51	
West New York.....	12,119	6.4	51.5	11.5	84.0	0.7	11,947	37,494	3.4	12.0	0.5	68.4	74.7	80.7	44.5	14,236	49.37	50.00	50.00	14,236	49.37	50.00	50.00	
West Orange.....	8,160	5.5	51.5	11.5	84.0	0.7	8,059	28,403	3.4	6.4	0.2	66.7	74.7	80.7	44.5	14,236	49.37	50.00	50.00	14,236	49.37	50.00	50.00	
Woodbury.....	3,545	5.5	51.5	11.5	84.0	0.7	3,401	10,794	3.4	9.5	0.5	65.7	72.9	76.7	44.5	9,647	52.05	54.47	54.47	9,647	52.05	54.47	54.47	

* Restricted to units with 1 to 4 dwelling units without business.

† Includes occupied trailers.



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 31

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW MEXICO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New Mexico increased 37 percent--from 145,642 in 1940 to 199,706 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 28 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in New Mexico were greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Almost one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented three-tenths and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for New Mexico, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 40 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a very small increase in the 10 years, from 57 percent in 1940 to 59 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 56 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	199,706	...	145,642	...	54,064	37.1
Occupied dwelling units...	176,993	100.0	129,475	100.0	47,518	36.7
Owner-occupied.....	104,131	58.8	74,150	57.3	29,981	40.4
Renter occupied.....	72,862	41.2	55,325	42.7	17,537	31.7

About two-fifths of the dwelling units in New Mexico were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest

concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 45 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (27 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-fourth of the occupied dwelling units in New Mexico had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Albuquerque, for example, 56 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 12 percent in Hobbs. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 36 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 6 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 37 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 24 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 68 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$39 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,700. Thirty-six percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New Mexico. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New Mexico will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.

Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units		Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—		Percent of dwelling units—			Percent of dwelling units—			Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures* (dollars)	Median monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross tract monthly rent (dollars)	
	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit detached structures built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by one or more persons while owner occupied	With central heating	With central heat, beat, bath, and hot water, with private toilet, and bath, not dilapidated, and not for rent or sale				
New Mexico.....	199,706	3.7	78.2	39.7	2.9	176,993	659,051	3.3	5.6	58.8	23.9	63.5
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	164,003	3.7	75.7	42.2	3.2	147,077	527,766	3.2	4.6	56.2	27.5	36.2
Urban.....	104,599	3.8	72.3	45.3	2.7	96,748	331,766	3.1	22.0	27.1	35.5	34.7
Rural nonfarm.....	59,404	3.4	81.8	37.4	3.6	50,289	198,000	3.5	43.7	31.8	31.7	30.7
Rural Farm.....	35,703	3.7	89.6	27.2	1.8	29,916	131,289	3.8	43.9	71.6	6.0	44.6
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREA												
Albuquerque.....	43,443	3.9	74.3	54.2	68.4	3.9	40,200	139,363	3.1	27.1	2.3	62.0
URBAN PLACES												
Albuquerque.....	30,632	4.0	70.1	53.0	75.7	4.4	28,524	93,722	3.0	22.5	1.7	60.7
Carlisle.....	3,673	3.6	76.4	56.7	73.6	5.4	5,202	17,760	3.1	27.8	3.4	53.3
Clyde.....	5,692	3.9	74.3	42.7	74.7	5.7	5,148	17,075	3.0	21.5	3.7	55.2
Hobbs.....	4,651	3.3	81.9	48.4	64.5	2.5	4,200	13,651	3.1	29.8	10.5	53.5
Las Cruces.....	3,622	3.5	65.7	37.4	53.6	3.2	3,346	12,158	3.2	34.5	2.6	52.0
Roswell.....	6,084	3.8	78.1	44.9	68.5	2.4	7,623	25,161	3.0	24.1	3.6	53.7
Santa Fe.....	7,468	3.7	65.1	66.9	51.5	1.4	7,071	25,955	3.3	33.8	0.9	54.4

¹ Includes occupied dwellings.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

¹ Includes occupied dwellings.
² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties without business.
³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 15, 1963

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 32

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NEW YORK

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in New York increased 15 percent--from 4,032,460 in 1940 to 4,633,806 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 10 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in New York were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 4 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 13 percent of the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for New York, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 47 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 5 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 30 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 38 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 32 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units..	4,633,806	...	4,032,460	...	601,346	14.9
Occupied dwelling units..	4,325,139	100.0	3,662,113	100.0	663,026	18.1
Owner occupied.....	1,638,860	37.9	1,111,388	30.3	527,479	47.5
Renter occupied.....	2,686,279	62.1	2,550,725	69.7	135,554	5.3

Approximately one-tenth of the dwelling units in New York were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 20 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than eight-tenths of the occupied dwelling units in New York had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Rochester, for example, 90 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 64 percent in Buffalo. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 36 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 48 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 66 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 49 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 88 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$41 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$10,200. Fifty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for New York. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for New York will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 26-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-item, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;

For sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	Occupied dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units								
	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm						
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-family detached houses	In other structures built in 1930 or later	Vacant water-heat units	Total number of dwelling units	Population number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner occupied white	With institutional heating	With refrigerators	Percent mortgaged	Median value of owner-occupied structures (dollars)	Median contract rent (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm				
New York.....	4,639,806	4.5	32.7	31.1	83.5	1,142,925	1,193,139	26,159,766	3.0	12.4	5.4	37.9	87.2	90.2	56.7	10,152	41,407	48,30	
Urban and rural non-farm.....	4,165,675	4.5	30.4	11.2	94.9	1,424,174	1,554,234	13,739,390	3.0	12.6	5.6	36.4	83.4	90.2	56.7	10,152	41,407	48,30	
Boroughs.....	3,187,123	5.4	32.0	10.0	95.8	1,423,333	1,423,562	12,442,767	3.0	13.2	6.1	37.4	83.5	86.5	43.2	7,239	41,43	48,26	
Bureau tract.....	181,131	7.42	68.7	6.6	49.1	1,529,555	1,529,576	5,24	6.1	9.6	6.1	47.5	88.4	88.4	***	***	***	***	
Rural farms.....	1,187,123	5.4	32.0	10.0	95.8	1,423,333	1,423,562	12,442,767	3.0	13.2	6.1	37.4	83.5	86.5	43.2	7,239	41,43	48,26	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
All New England and Far West.....	162,114	5.6	42.6	10.6	79.4	1,011,713	1,044,479	2,9	6.3	1.5	32.9	70.3	92.3	48.3	9,899	33,71	45,07	48,20	
Albany-Binghamton-Binghamton.....	54,323	5.3	42.9	10.3	80.0	1,031,040	1,044,730	3.1	8.0	0.4	34.4	80.7	89.4	49.2	9,125	35,21	43,45	48,20	
Baltimore-Washington-Baltimore.....	217,423	5.3	42.9	10.3	80.0	1,140,713	1,140,730	3.1	8.0	0.4	34.4	80.7	89.4	49.2	9,125	35,21	43,45	48,20	
New York-Northeastern New Jersey.....	1,019,876	4.3	32.2	12.2	87.8	1,377,300	1,381,276	12,387,201	3.0	14.0	6.8	31.7	86.5	90.2	63.1	11,344	42,82	49,27	49,27
Newark.....	2,942	4.4	18.6	11.3	88.2	1,283,615	9,284,824	3.0	15.4	7.6	26.1	89.4	92.4	68.2	11,238	42.90	49.33	49,33	
New Jersey portion.....	992,669	4.8	32.5	14.6	86.5	1,958,261	1,958,462	3.0	10.1	4.6	47.9	94.1	94.6	54.6	12,226	39,35	49,01	49,01	
Rochester-Buffalo-Buffalo.....	147,923	5.5	54.3	10.9	88.5	1,161,485	1,165,559	3.0	17.8	8.7	88.4	92.6	93.0	59.3	9,739	40,44	49,01	49,01	
Syracuse-Cambridge-Syracuse.....	101,296	5.4	52.0	11.4	78.0	968,833	968,346	3.1	7.7	1.3	56.8	90.5	99.1	96.1	37,33	46,70	49,70	49,70	
Gates-Rensselaer-Gates-Rensselaer.....	86,149	5.4	52.0	11.4	78.0	79,948	867,453	3.0	6.6	0.7	57.4	73.1	88.0	38.3	7,690	29,93	42,27	42,27	
URBAN PLACES																			
All New England and Far West.....	42,444	5.2	18.3	4.3	79.6	0.8	43,626	127,762	2.8	7.3	3.7	36.6	73.6	90.1	54.4	11,790	39,03	47,47	47,47
Aberdeen.....	9,965	5.6	24.7	3.8	79.6	1,045,447	1,045,473	3.0	4.8	0.4	45.2	80.8	90.0	48.7	11,764	36,55	48,66	48,66	
Albion.....	10,649	5.0	43.6	2.4	84.0	1,040,410	1,040,410	3.0	4.8	0.7	45.2	80.8	90.0	48.7	11,760	31,15	48,22	48,22	
Albion-Bethel-Bethel.....	5,311	5.4	42.9	4.6	85.3	1,040,410	1,040,410	3.0	5.6	0.3	60.9	83.4	88.4	68.2	8,225	38,40	47,16	47,16	
Bethel.....	3,065	5.1	42.4	6.1	78.2	0.6	5,393	11,923	3.0	10.1	6.3	70.4	93.0	94.0	59.2	9,107	32,15	43,97	43,97
Bessemer.....	5,266	5.4	52.0	4.1	78.2	0.6	5,393	11,923	3.0	10.1	6.3	70.4	93.0	94.0	59.2	9,107	32,15	43,97	43,97
Bluffton-Brownsville-Brownsville.....	166,743	5.4	51.1	5.5	80.9	0.7	164,488	174,533	2.9	8.0	0.8	45.3	87.5	93.4	48.4	9,523	35,38	43,47	43,47
Brownsville.....	6,396	5.8	51.6	1.8	66.9	0.2	6,236	20,931	3.1	6.4	0.1	36.1	39.3	90.4	30.8	7,839	19,40	43,09	43,09
Cambridge-Cambridge.....	5,532	5.5	50.0	11.8	87.4	0.2	5,397	17,417	3.0	58.3	94.2	94.2	84.0	36.9	57.0	36,37	43,76	43,76	43,76
Cortland-Cortland.....	5,973	5.6	47.1	7.8	85.9	0.8	5,443	16,958	2.8	6.2	0.3	52.7	80.0	88.6	43.8	8,470	36,39	45,05	45,05
Dunkirk.....	5,621	5.6	54.4	5.9	88.4	0.6	5,621	17,729	3.0	5.6	0.2	55.4	54.9	54.9	38.6	7,423	35,52	43,52	43,52
Erie-Erie.....	13,836	5.4	52.9	6.5	84.4	1.0	14,677	46,603	2.8	6.9	1.9	53.4	84.0	87.9	38.6	8,443	35,26	45,69	45,69
Fredonia-Fredonia.....	4,456	5.5	43.9	6.5	84.4	0.5	4,502	17,543	3.1	7.4	0.5	51.1	83.3	89.7	38.6	7,923	35,26	45,69	45,69
Floral Park-Floral Park.....	7,792	5.6	63.9	9.3	91.2	3.4	7,131	24,290	3.1	4.5	0.5	65.2	93.7	96.1	69.2	12,089	47,57	52,56	52,56
Frederick-Frederick.....	4,336	5.6	50.9	8.2	79.3	1.1	4,233	13,721	3.0	5.5	0.3	56.5	73.9	90.5	37.3	7,111	32,11	43,27	43,27
Garden City-Garden City.....	5,024	5.4	68.7	6.0	87.9	1.6	4,107	13,972	3.0	1.2	0.1	81.0	98.7	98.7	20,000	87,79	97,63	97,63	
Gates-Gates.....	5,433	5.6	54.8	7.4	84.0	0.6	4,606	16,919	3.0	5.6	1.5	53.8	92.0	92.0	38.6	8,169	47,68	51,89	51,89
Glen Falls-Glen Falls.....	6,433	5.4	54.4	23.4	82.0	0.4	6,433	17,704	3.4	12.7	7.5	88.7	89.6	90.7	66.7	9,431	41,89	45,16	45,16
Gloversville-Gloversville.....	8,053	5.6	37.4	2.2	85.1	1.0	23,031	7,639	2.6	3.9	1.0	32.5	72.0	85.2	49.7	7,910	26,93	44,43	44,43
Hempstead-Hempstead.....	6,982	5.1	58.9	29.0	87.1	0.6	6,453	26,277	2.1	7.9	0.8	34.0	72.0	85.2	49.7	10,033	56,145	62,25	62,25
Hornell-Hornell.....	4,728	6.0	50.1	2.1	90.8	0.5	4,192	16,768	2.8	4.7	0.3	57.5	72.7	86.9	35.9	27,79	34,98	41,13	41,13
Watertown-Watertown.....	3,453	5.2	18.0	1.3	66.5	0.3	3,475	10,881	2.8	6.6	0.0	38.1	50.7	50.7	34.5	9,037	34,5	40,13	40,13

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without boundaries occupied trailers.

* Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--CON.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 25-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 100; for example items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units					Median value of one-family dwelling structures* (dollar)						
	Total number	Median	In one-family unit	In other units	With hot running water	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median ratio of number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied nonwhite	With mechanical heating*	With central heating	With motorized relaying**	Percent mortgaged	Median value of one-family dwellings owned (dollar)							
URBAN PLACES—CONT.																						
Ithaca.....	7,148	5.1	41.7	4.7	87.1	0.7	7,032	23,725	2.7	45.3	3.2	88.4	93.5	43.8	9,279	51,154						
Jeanston.....	16,262	5.2	40.8	2.6	45.2	0.8	14,332	45,285	2.7	52.9	4.0	48.6	88.2	36.6	7,434	33,304						
Johnston City.....	5,818	5.3	41.7	8.4	87.6	0.7	5,737	18,774	3.0	52.9	0.1	91.9	46.8	89.7	34,358	43,320						
Johnstown.....	33,612	5.3	40.8	3.2	52.6	0.7	32,726	10,720	2.8	4.6	0.4	71.7	51.0	67.5	6,975	43,466						
Kensico.....	6,184	5.3	59.3	9.5	93.2	0.3	6,111	15,970	3.1	2.2	0.0	71.5	98.5	71.1	11,755	48,538						
Kings Park (uninc.).....	1,483	5.3	48.2	1.2	85.9	0.8	1,482	27,793	2.9	11.0	0.2	70.1	92.6	54.5	10,422	48,33						
Kittanning.....	8,932	5.3	79.3	16.8	85.9	0.8	8,755	61.2	2.0	56.3	7.0	84.2	49.6	91.6	9,537	29,446						
Lackawanna.....	7,620	5.1	28.7	17.9	84.7	0.7	6,634	26,317	3.0	17.2	9.5	40.0	67.7	28.6	8,664	36,56						
Lockport.....	7,676	5.7	53.4	85.9	1.2	7,480	26,445	3.0	5.6	0.8	62.6	83.2	89.4	38.8	71.5	41,455	50,124					
Long Beach.....	8,977	4.8	45.9	15.1	98.8	5.0	8,832	15,487	3.1	15.0	0.7	94.5	99.1	70.1	15,294	47,899						
Lynbrook.....	5,186	5.8	71.1	12.8	97.2	0.7	5,095	17,428	3.2	3.6	0.3	77.0	98.8	97.6	73.0	13,536						
Maspeth.....	1,174	5.3	49.6	12.2	96.0	0.7	4,310	34,455	3.2	12.8	0.4	82.4	94.6	67.6	16,197	57,487						
Massapequa.....	3,699	5.3	52.3	21.2	98.0	0.5	3,651	13,247	3.3	11.3	0.4	55.1	70.1	43.3	6,988	28,57						
Mathews.....	6,339	5.3	44.0	3.3	80.4	0.7	6,178	18,748	2.7	5.6	2.2	54.3	82.7	86.0	4,281	47,751						
Mendon.....	4,239	5.3	72.5	35.4	91.0	4.2	4,133	14,666	3.4	7.1	0.2	76.5	97.4	76.0	9,261	34,30						
Mineola.....	4,239	5.3	59.5	19.2	84.0	0.7	4,166	14,666	3.4	10.0	0.2	76.5	97.4	76.0	12,418	51,31						
Mount Vernon.....	23,913	4.2	23.0	6.8	91.3	3.6	20,976	69,856	2.1	14.7	8.9	33.6	97.7	84.7	65.8	49,844						
Newark.....	2,430	5.8	64.4	8.3	80.9	0.9	2,329	7,297	2.0	10.7	0.7	60.7	84.1	34.7	8,852	36,968						
Newburgh.....	16,232	5.6	46.6	3.9	79.3	0.6	16,426	30,153	2.0	8.7	0.4	40.6	63.5	65.0	19,701	43,130						
New Rochelle.....	14,766	5.6	53.9	6.2	91.3	0.6	14,546	56,980	3.2	9.4	0.4	44.6	55.5	64.4	19,532	56,133						
New York City.....	2,433,945	3.9	51.7	1.1	43.7	91.9	7,533	9,980	3.0	16.7	9.2	89.3	90.6	69.1	11,919	42,488						
Niagara Falls.....	26,202	4.9	43.6	18.0	94.2	0.8	25,754	89,752	3.2	10.5	3.0	49.8	84.5	93.1	52.2	38,62						
North Tonawanda.....	7,140	5.2	58.5	19.9	84.8	0.6	6,980	24,452	3.4	9.6	0.1	64.7	68.9	63.6	45.9	37,776						
Olcott.....	6,094	5.3	73.3	7.9	87.4	0.6	5,999	13,479	2.4	7.7	0.2	61.6	70.4	65.0	44,776	47,779						
Olean.....	6,814	5.8	67.6	7.9	87.4	0.6	6,707	22,764	2.1	6.5	0.8	48.8	62.0	63.1	33.1	37,341						
Orchard Park.....	3,619	5.2	52.4	4.7	85.2	1.4	3,530	11,122	2.3	5.3	0.6	63.1	65.0	39.3	6,595	43,933						
Ossining.....	4,323	5.7	45.7	3.0	85.9	1.1	4,217	12,681	2.7	4.2	0.4	55.1	79.2	87.9	41,377	41,377						
Ozone Park.....	4,373	4.7	37.4	5.5	87.4	0.7	4,261	13,483	2.4	5.6	0.4	47.8	86.0	93.0	43,345	44,466						
Outwood.....	6,438	6.4	70.2	3.1	80.6	0.7	6,187	21,417	3.1	6.3	0.4	67.7	86.2	86.9	34.2	51,56						
Pearl Harbor.....	5,110	4.8	36.0	4.4	85.7	1.1	4,940	16,295	3.0	9.7	0.7	45.2	78.2	88.7	11,075	47,333						
Plattburgh.....	4,660	5.0	35.8	5.8	84.7	1.1	4,554	15,650	3.1	12.6	0.2	43.9	53.7	86.7	40.0	8,982						
Port Chester.....	6,736	4.3	31.7	4.9	83.2	1.5	6,594	23,562	2.4	17.1	5.2	39.2	76.1	65.8	15,451	48,499						
Poughkeepsie.....	12,639	5.1	42.3	2.3	87.7	0.6	12,510	79,664	2.0	6.8	0.4	52.9	69.7	72.5	32,445	44,422						
Roseau Ave.....	10,223	5.1	52.1	4.2	83.5	0.6	9,918	31,608	2.0	6.8	0.4	54.6	69.7	72.5	17,942	49,446						
Rockville Center.....	6,659	6.4	75.7	18.5	97.9	1.2	6,344	21,942	3.3	3.5	2.6	76.2	96.1	98.5	18,303	59,633						
Rye.....	10,902	5.1	45.8	20.8	82.3	10,339	36,245	3.2	11,207	3.3	7.8	2.4	53.0	85.1	84.5	33,772	46,36					
Sayville.....	3,492	5.6	67.2	13.9	96.9	0.6	3,411	23,233	2.0	10.7	0.2	50.5	94.5	66.9	16,959	32,699						
Schenectady Springs.....	3,492	5.6	84.9	2.0	4,466	14,123	2.4	5.6	4.4	90.5	70.4	86.6	38,9	1,065	14,374	44,277						
Schenectady.....	3,323	5.0	81.8	15.0	95.8	0.6	3,088	15,084	2.7	7.7	0.2	49.0	94.4	67.9	11,903	30,113						
Schenectady.....	28,216	5.6	87.5	5.2	85,51	1.2	28,461	85,612	2.9	5.6	1.2	69.6	93.4	94.0	47,96	90,893						

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

** Includes occupied trailers.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--Con.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For completed-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent base is shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units						Own-occupied farm	Renter-occupied farm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					With one or more persons non-white			With one or more persons non-white					
	Total number	Median number of dwelling rooms	In enclosed structures built in 1940 or later*	With hot water, with private or professional tenant, built, and not for rent or sale	Vacant non-institutional dwellings, dated, and not for rent or sale	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons	Occupied by non-white	Owner occupied	With central heating, refrigerating, etc.	With mechanical refrigerating, etc.	Percent mortgaged*	Percent engaged*				
URBAN PLACES--Con.																			
Syracuse.....	64,459	5.4	34.8	4.6	82.7	0.9	63,204	205,859	3.0	6.5	1.7	47.6	85.6	90.3	63.9	10,210	34,58		
Tonawanda.....	4,454	5.5	56.0	12.6	89.4	1.2	4,364	14,530	3.1	6.8	**	59.3	71.9	94.5	41.6	8,019	47,23		
Troy.....	21,013	5.8	50.6	20.6	4.4	78.0	0.6	20,586	66,124	2.9	6.3	1.3	39.5	52.7	91.0	38.3	8,803	37,04	
Bills.....	50,703	5.2	48.5	24.8	4.0	78.7	0.9	29,856	93,654	2.9	6.6	1.3	43.7	60.0	89.3	43.2	26,778	42,49	
Valley Stream.....	6,160	5.4	79.2	36.7	81.4	2.6	7,792	26,632	3.3	5.8	0.1	63.8	89.3	99.0	83.5	3,110	5,608		
Watertown.....	10,598	5.8	43.1	3.6	81.1	0.9	10,382	33,182	2.8	5.8	0.2	49.3	79.4	80.7	44.8	7,940	33,98		
White Plains.....	4,481	6.0	39.2	26.1	0.7	4,424	77.0	15,129	3.1	5.9	0.2	45.7	54.0	92.3	37.1	7,328	27,02		
Yonkers.....	12,288	4.5	39.2	7.3	91.1	2.7	11,960	40,336	3.1	12.6	7.4	42.3	90.3	94.7	67.4	17,715	54.11		
	46,435	4.5	24.0	9.8	87.0	2.3	44,909	149,372	3.1	11.8	2.7	33.9	81.9	94.0	66.6	15,973	44,39		

*Includes occupied trailers.

†Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

*Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 2, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 33

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NORTH CAROLINA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in North Carolina increased 29 percent--from 820,888 in 1940 to 1,068,367 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 14 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in North Carolina was greater than that for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units, while the percentage gain in population was the same as that for the United States.

About three-tenths of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-third and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for North Carolina, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 58 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 2 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 42 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 53 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Fifty-nine percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 47 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TERURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units	1,058,367	...	820,888	...	237,479	28.9
Occupied dwelling units	994,356	100.0	789,659	100.0	204,697	25.9
Owner occupied.....	530,371	53.3	335,063	42.4	195,308	58.3
Renter occupied.....	463,985	46.7	454,596	57.6	9,389	2.1

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in North Carolina were built between 1940 and 1950.

The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 36 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (19 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

About one-seventh of the occupied dwelling units in North Carolina had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Raleigh, for example, 56 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 9 percent in Kannapolis. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 28 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 32 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 56 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$21 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$4,900. Thirty-six percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for North Carolina. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for North Carolina will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 Percent not shown when less than 0.1. The word "standard" denotes counties and cities having more than 10,000 population.
 [Footnote (*).] Includes statistics based on 90-percent sample.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete count items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm										
	Percent of dwelling units—					Present of dwelling units—					Present of dwelling units—					Present of dwelling units—					Present of dwelling units—										
	Total number	Median number of dwelling units	In detached rooms	With hot water, running, or steam heat	Vacant winter, with seasonal occupancy	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied by white-collar workers	With central heating	With individual heating	Median number per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied by white-collar workers	With central heating	With individual heating	Median number per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied by white-collar workers	With central heating	With individual heating	Median number per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied by white-collar workers	With central heating	With individual heating				
North Carolina.....	1,058,367	4,5	8,2	26,0	34,5	1,4	904,365	3,931,516	3,5	25,1	22,7	53,2	14,8	64,1	26,4	4,901	20,63	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	29,58	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	75,255	4,4	7,4	29,2	46,3	1,5	730,923	2,556,973	3,2	22,4	21,3	51,0	19,8	69,7	36,4	4,901	23,11	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62	32,62
Urban.....	361,807	4,4	67,2	22,9	56,0	1,5	370,131	1,304,355	3,2	19,6	24,2	45,6	28,1	74,7	42,9	6,188	6,079	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96	23,96
Rural nonfarm.....	361,448	5,0	97,1	35,9	32,0	1,0	330,426	1,252,901	3,4	25,1	18,0	56,9	10,6	64,2	29,5	3,711	3,751	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37	36,37
Bureau farm.....	313,112	5,0	97,1	18,6	11,0	1,0	293,401	1,376,543	4,2	32,2	26,0	58,9	2,6	50,5	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	***		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																															
Ashville.....	35,616	4,6	76,7	20,2	24,5	2,3	32,649	116,246	3,2	19,0	11,4	60,6	35,9	70,2	26,2	5,299	27,92	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	36,91	
Charlotte.....	34,542	4,5	66,7	32,5	32,2	1,6	32,649	102,013	3,2	18,7	22,5	45,8	23,6	71,7	52,5	6,078	6,078	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95
Greensboro-Winston-Salem.....	35,327	4,2	62,2	28,7	28,7	1,4	32,649	98,569	3,2	20,3	16,3	45,7	23,3	73,3	42,5	6,078	6,078	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95
Winston-Salem.....	35,327	4,2	62,2	28,7	28,7	1,4	32,649	98,569	3,2	20,3	16,3	45,7	23,3	73,3	42,5	6,078	6,078	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	32,95	
Charlotte.....	3,3068	4,5	79,1	18,6	62,7	1,5	3,416	11,669	3,2	16,0	10,2	46,5	23,9	84,7	25,6	4,316	4,316	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19	26,19
Greensboro-Winston-Salem.....	15,963	4,5	97,3	9,6	68,7	1,7	15,039	49,048	2,9	14,5	21,2	51,4	52,2	76,2	43,8	6,044	6,044	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49
Winston-Salem.....	15,963	4,5	97,3	9,6	68,7	1,7	15,039	49,048	2,9	14,5	21,2	51,4	52,2	76,2	43,8	6,044	6,044	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	31,076	4,4	77,4	23,2	23,2	1,6	30,671	104,314	3,1	16,9	10,3	51,4	52,2	76,2	43,8	6,044	6,044	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49	32,49
Greensboro.....	4,632	4,5	70,0	18,5	58,7	1,4	11,727	11,648	3,1	17,4	17,4	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem.....	4,630	4,5	70,0	18,5	58,7	1,4	11,727	11,648	3,1	17,4	17,4	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	3,3299	4,9	65,2	16,0	58,1	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	3,3299	4,9	65,2	16,0	58,1	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	6,312	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	5,945	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,251	5,251	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55	32,55
Winston-Salem-High Point.....	7,827	4,3	65,2	21,3	49,3	1,1	18,434	64,581	3,1	17,0	16,1	47,4	22,6	76,3	51,2	5,															

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 34

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

NORTH DAKOTA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in North Dakota increased 8 percent--from 162,881 in 1940 to 175,769 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period showed a slight decrease--3 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in North Dakota were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and an increase of 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about three-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State, and rural-farm dwelling units represented the remaining two-fifths.

These and other summary data for North Dakota, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 42 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 24 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 50 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 66 percent. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-three percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner

occupied, as compared with 55 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

More than one-eighth of the dwelling units in North Dakota were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 20 percent were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-nonfarm dwelling units was 17 percent and among rural-farm dwelling units, 8 percent.

Approximately one-half of the occupied dwelling units in North Dakota had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 76 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 39 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 32 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 13 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 67 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,400. Twenty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for North Dakota. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for North Dakota will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	175,769	...	162,881	...	12,888	7.9
Occupied dwelling units...	162,105	100.0	152,043	100.0	10,062	6.6
Owner occupied.....	107,341	66.2	75,710	49.8	31,631	41.8
Renter occupied.....	54,764	33.8	76,333	50.2	-21,569	-28.3

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS^a FOR THE STATE^b, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,^c AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,^d000 OR MORE:^e 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units				Percent of dwelling units—				Owner-occupied nonfarm				Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—				Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	With one-half or more persons per room	Median value of one-half or more persons per room	Median gross rent ^f monthly	Median street rent ^f monthly							
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit detached structures	In one-dwelling-unit attached structures														
North Dakota.....	175,659	4.3	82.6	14.2	33.6	1.1	162,105	600,032	3.4	20.5	1.2	65.2	51.9	63.1	22.6	5,96	36.14	42.86
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	106,559	4.3	72.5	18.1	47.1	1.1	101,676	345,811	3.0	21.4	1.3	62.3	59.3	76.0	22.8	5,96	36.14	42.86
Urban.....	46,210	4.1	55.8	19.9	67.1	1.0	45,069	132,572	3.1	22.8	0.4	54.8	76.1	84.0	37.6	8,175	43.169	46.77
Rural nonfarm.....	60,355	4.7	85.3	16.6	31.7	1.1	56,607	193,239	3.0	20.2	1.9	68.2	45.8	69.6	12.6	4,174	26.67	26.68
Rural farm.....	69,204	5.7	98.2	8.4	12.8	1.1	60,429	234,201	3.9	19.1	1.2	72.8	39.2	54.9	***	***	***	***
URBAN PLACES																		
Bismarck.....	5,446	3.7	43.3	23.6	74.7	1.0	5,314	17,737	3.0	22.8	0.6	47.6	70.6	88.3	33.5	10,728	44,487	47,116
Fargo.....	10,912	4.2	49.3	17.7	72.0	1.3	10,665	34,715	2.9	16.9	0.1	52.2	87.0	85.6	50.4	10,163	43.110	47,711
Grand Forks.....	7,107	4.1	57.0	22.0	69.0	0.4	7,000	24,739	3.2	23.8	0.3	56.7	74.9	81.8	46.2	8,003	40.129	47,660
Jamestown.....	3,036	4.3	60.7	16.1	60.0	1.1	2,979	10,007	3.1	18.2	**	57.1	78.7	82.9	33.9	7,537	38.80	46,686
Minot.....	6,285	4.0	64.9	21.3	62.6	1.3	6,068	20,664	3.1	37.9	1.0	55.6	78.1	80.4	36.1	7,761	38.20	45,659

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without bathtubs.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-B, No. 35

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

OHIO

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Ohio increased 21 percent—from 1,977,693 in 1940 to 2,402,565 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 15 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Ohio were approximately the same as those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

One-tenth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-fifth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Ohio, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 49 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 5 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 50 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 61 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-five percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	
All dwelling units..	2,402,565	...	1,977,693	...	424,872 21.5
Occupied dwelling units..	2,313,990	100.0	1,897,796	100.0	416,194 21.9
Owner occupied.....	1,413,086	61.1	948,354	50.0	464,732 49.0
Renter occupied.....	900,904	38.9	949,442	50.0	-48,538 -5.1

Approximately one-sixth of the dwelling units in Ohio were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 24 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Ohio had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Cleveland Heights, for example, 99 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 68 percent in Cincinnati. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 74 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 43 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 46 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 34 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 81 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$34 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$8,300. Forty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Ohio. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Ohio will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.65 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,^a AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE:^b 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Median value of dwelling-unit structure* (dollars)		Median gross monthly rent (dollars)						
	Total number of rooms	Median number of rooms	In one-story dwellings	In two-story dwellings	With running water	With bathtubs or showers	With central heating	With central air conditioning	With more than one bathroom	With more than one kitchen	With more than one story	With more than one dwelling unit per room	Owner-occupied by nonwhite	With mechanical equipment	With central heating*	Percent more than 100% above median	Percent more than 150% above median	Median value of dwelling-unit structure* (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median contract rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
Ohio.....	2,402,365	5.2	66.3	15.8	69.8	0.9	2,313,900	7,692,196	3.0	10.9	5.4	63.1	66.5	87.5	45.2	83.6	34,28	43.56	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	2,162,310	5.0	63.0	16.7	73.8	0.9	2,087,949	6,861,194	3.0	11.0	5.9	59.6	69.0	88.3	45.2	83.6	34,28	41.56	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28		
Urban.....	1,653,217	5.0	55.9	14.6	72.1	0.9	1,556,907	5,785,870	3.0	10.3	7.1	56.7	74.4	90.1	45.2	83.6	34,28	41.56	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28		
Rural nonfarm.....	469,293	5.1	89.0	24.4	46.3	0.8	431,002	1,462,384	3.1	13.6	7.0	70.8	82.6	91.6	47.6	83.6	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28		
Rural farm.....	240,035	6.4	95.2	8.1	34.2	1.2	226,041	851,002	3.4	9.7	0.6	74.6	43.3	80.2	47.7	83.6	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28	34,28		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																								
Akron.....	122,845	5.2	70.2	19.0	79.3	1.1	110,444	402,003	3.1	9.2	5.0	68.4	83.4	92.2	49.0	83.1	37,24	41.91	37,24	37,24	37,24	37,24		
Canton.....	53,153	5.2	73.3	19.1	71.2	1.1	60,905	274,720	3.1	10.4	3.5	68.2	81.9	90.1	46.9	79.8	35,43	41.68	35,43	35,43	35,43	35,43		
Columbus.....	287,857	4.1	64.1	13.0	62.0	1.0	276,401	874,210	2.8	16.7	10.2	49.1	75.5	90.4	54.2	79.7	31,48	36,13	31,48	31,48	31,48	31,48		
Cincinnati.....	43,830	5.0	46.0	12.0	88.7	1.0	427,495	3,111,792	8.1	8.4	8.3	51.5	81.6	91.7	51.2	12,173	37,77	53,17	37,77	37,77	37,77			
Dayton.....	148,214	5.1	58.2	20.3	78.0	0.7	145,664	471,232	2.9	10.7	8.9	53.7	70.2	87.6	58.8	84.5	37,47	53,54	37,47	37,47	37,47	37,47		
Hamilton.....	43,307	4.7	64.4	17.8	69.6	0.8	130,622	436,390	3.0	12.7	7.1	54.0	65.0	90.6	49.5	9,245	39,34	44,93	39,34	39,34	39,34			
Hamilton-Middleton.....	42,173	4.7	72.4	19.0	61.3	0.9	41,248	141,003	3.1	16.3	7.4	63.7	65.0	85.0	48.2	83,205	37,32	44,93	37,32	37,32	37,32			
Lima.....	26,052	5.4	70.0	17.3	65.6	0.9	24,082	81,022	3.2	10.6	5.6	66.0	67.3	89.0	47.3	6,892	36,57	44,42	36,57	36,57	36,57			
Lima-Lyndhurst-Chesterfield.....	43,444	5.2	72.6	19.6	60.0	1.0	42,126	142,746	3.2	10.6	3.8	68.3	79.0	90.5	43.3	7,154	37,52	45,44	37,52	37,52	37,52			
Springfield.....	37,597	5.0	65.1	13.0	57.3	0.9	36,733	108,333	3.0	11.2	7.9	57.3	55.2	89.1	49.7	7,885	33,22	44,42	33,22	33,22	33,22			
Toledo.....	119,111	5.3	67.3	15.3	83.1	0.8	116,999	379,612	2.9	8.2	0.8	65.5	69.0	91.4	42.9	8,079	37,46	44,39	37,46	37,46	37,46			
Wheeling-Stevensville.....	103,664	4.8	66.9	9.9	61.0	0.7	100,362	346,997	3.1	14.4	3.0	58.6	68.8	86.4	37.7	6,576	31,31	44,39	31,31	31,31	31,31			
Youngstown.....	149,463	5.2	71.8	16.8	71.9	0.8	145,863	519,875	3.3	12.1	5.3	68.5	79.3	93.5	42.6	7,422	33,36	43,71	33,36	33,36	33,36			
URBAN PLACES																								
Arion.....	62,163	5.2	62.9	13.2	83.7	1.1	61,098	269,022	3.0	7.9	6.6	64.0	86.1	92.4	48.2	83,11	37,71	41,60	37,71	37,71	37,71			
Alliance.....	8,003	5.5	63.6	13.4	74.0	1.1	7,035	23,334	2.9	7.8	6.2	62.7	82.0	91.3	47.7	7,535	32,47	40,52	32,47	32,47	32,47			
Ashland.....	4,020	5.2	65.0	13.6	88.0	1.1	6,024	14,059	2.6	5.8	0.2	61.6	82.5	92.7	37.7	7,927	36,67	45,57	36,67	36,67	36,67			
Athens.....	2,840	5.3	66.5	6.5	82.6	0.9	7,006	22,904	3.0	6.2	1.3	65.7	87.1	88.7	46.7	7,124	33,56	41,23	33,56	33,56	33,56			
Bethel.....	8,274	5.0	67.7	17.9	79.7	1.0	8,100	27,655	3.1	12.1	3.6	62.8	79.3	87.7	40.0	7,337	33,64	39,37	33,64	33,64	33,64			
Belpre.....	3,943	4.7	64.4	0.3	66.4	0.4	10,487	13,422	3.1	13.2	3.8	63.7	77.7	88.5	48.5	6,602	37,73	49,54	37,73	37,73	37,73			
Bellefontaine.....	3,406	5.7	70.9	6.8	75.1	1.3	3,073	10,073	3.1	8.8	3.8	58.3	69.7	88.7	47.7	12,643	36,35	43,57	36,35	36,35	36,35			
Berea.....	3,233	5.0	63.5	4.5	73.0	0.7	3,003	11,663	3.1	1.5	0.6	68.6	86.6	96.7	49.3	16,953	58,88	71,40	58,88	58,88	58,88			
Bexley.....	2,251	5.0	60.7	23.1	93.0	0.7	3,003	11,663	3.1	1.5	0.6	64.1	86.6	96.7	49.3	16,953	58,88	71,40	58,88	58,88	58,88			
Bowling Green.....	3,597	5.9	75.4	4.8	82.1	0.7	3,789	8,756	2.9	7.4	0.8	62.5	69.5	90.5	34.8	7,773	31,51	41,62	31,51	31,51	31,51			
Bucyrus.....	5,031	5.0	69.6	4.2	73.0	1.6	4,365	10,189	2.6	4.8	0.4	64.6	73.1	86.1	35.0	7,703	32,44	41,62	32,44	32,44	32,44			
Cambridge.....	3,945	4.1	59.2	0.7	60.5	0.4	3,455	12,754	3.5	25.7	2.7	65.2	68.2	70.8	40.2	5,716	23,03	30,68	23,03	23,03	23,03			
Canfield.....	16,377	4.7	69.3	10.2	72.9	1.1	14,946	44,577	4.5	14.8	0.8	65.0	88.3	94.4	47.4	7,954	37,87	41,67	37,87	37,87	37,87			
Chillicothe.....	162,591	3.7	78.9	8.5	81.1	1.0	158,937	480,119	2.7	11.3	4.9	49.7	53.2	68.1	87.7	88.0	12,254	31,10	41,56	31,10	31,10	31,10		
Cleveland.....	270,943	4.8	71.1	6.4	85.8	0.9	271,221	874,221	3.1	10.1	13.1	47.7	51.1	68.1	87.7	88.5	34,24	42,46	34,24	34,24	34,24	34,24		

^a Includes occupied trailers.

^b Includes occupied vehicles.

*Related to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without attached garages.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—CONT.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 50.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units							
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—							
	Total number	Median In-use-number of rooms	In-use-unit size	With hot water, with private water system, not dilapidated, and bath, and not dilapidated	Vacant running water, with private water system, not dilapidated, and bath, and not dilapidated	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Owner occupied non-white	Occupied by non-white persons per room	With more than 1.0 or more than 1.5 persons per room	With more than 1.0 or more than 1.5 persons per room	With more than 1.0 or more than 1.5 persons per room	With more than 1.0 or more than 1.5 persons per room	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of one-family dwellings (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm	
URBAN PLACES—CONT.																		
Cleveland Heights.....	17,945	6.3	63.5	10.4	99.5	0.6	17,739	58,240	3.1	1.5	0.4	69.5	98.7	52.1	16,898	65,807	72,88	
Clarendon Heights.....	11,172	5.3	63.5	12.2	97.8	0.7	11,048	54,908	10.3	10.3	10.3	47.2	71.6	49.7	8,591	37,109	42,44	
Colombus.....	3,441	5.7	67.8	8.0	85.4	0.7	3,267	10,025	4.0	4.0	4.0	69.4	89.7	61.7	30,44	82,333	82,49	
Cudahy.....	4,011	5.3	67.8	7.9	84.1	1.3	3,880	11,566	2.7	6.4	0.9	59.3	73.4	53.3	6,940	36,623	54,15	
Cuyahoga Falls.....	8,985	5.5	64.4	33.1	94.1	1.9	8,693	29,078	3.2	4.9	0.1	61.8	93.2	70.7	46,657	46,657	46,657	
Dayton.....	72,791	4.8	52.9	12.9	75.9	0.8	71,219	232,008	2.9	13.2	10.6	22.9	75.2	91.4	48.5	9,252	41,08	44,97
Defiance.....	2,250	5.2	57.9	14.8	85.0	1.0	2,143	10,982	3.0	7.3	0.1	67.8	87.7	36.9	6,904	49,96	49,14	
Delaware.....	1,325	5.2	57.9	14.8	85.0	0.5	1,238	10,101	2.8	8.2	0.2	62.4	85.0	35.3	6,624	43,38	46,97	
East Cleveland.....	13,393	5.1	52.2	4.0	97.8	0.5	12,242	39,080	2.7	3.3	0.3	38.8	95.9	52.0	12,044	65,288	53,97	
East Liverpool.....	7,324	4.9	64.1	6.5	74.9	0.6	7,459	23,751	2.9	11.6	1.9	63.1	87.7	48.2	5,632	28,52	32,42	
Elyria.....	9,260	5.4	67.0	16.0	87.4	0.9	11,066	29,728	3.0	8.1	0.1	64.3	84.8	65.5	13,074	42,89	50,78	
Fairfield.....	11,759	5.0	70.0	58.3	86.5	1.3	11,631	22,151	3.2	6.0	0.1	63.0	89.1	65.4	12,026	45,49	44,47	
Findlay.....	10,253	5.4	70.1	21.0	85.5	0.5	10,143	22,151	3.2	6.0	0.1	66.9	87.0	35.9	7,806	45,90	47,07	
Fort Loramie.....	4,395	5.3	70.7	7.1	85.8	0.5	4,243	16,166	2.5	6.3	0.4	66.9	90.0	34.2	7,805	34.45	45,90	
Fremont.....	4,327	5.2	72.7	12.0	87.2	0.5	4,216	16,337	2.8	5.8	0.1	67.8	91.1	34.2	7,805	34.45	45,90	
Gahanna.....	6,019	5.5	80.7	30.1	97.0	1.1	5,913	21,397	3.5	6.4	0.3	68.6	95.0	97.8	53.3	12,188	51,45	
Charlton Heights.....	2,097	5.3	75.4	74.2	97.5	1.1	2,075	10,059	3.0	12.9	0.1	62.8	86.9	51.2	7,202	35,18	35,98	
Glenview.....	17,637	4.6	64.9	14.2	70.9	1.1	17,296	97,031	3.0	15.1	0.2	62.8	86.1	50.7	8,210	46,520	47,04	
Hastings-on-Hudson.....	1,537	4.7	67.7	7.1	75.1	0.8	1,502	16,928	2.9	11.7	0.1	61.6	85.6	48.8	27,039	35,42	45,11	
Kent.....	2,194	5.6	72.1	11.0	88.5	0.5	2,103	10,324	3.1	7.1	0.1	62.5	90.8	36.5	9,748	40,62	45,11	
Lakewood.....	22,624	4.1	45.7	4.0	95.8	0.6	22,037	67,330	2.9	9.4	0.1	58.4	94.3	45.9	13,673	49.66	54,69	
Lima.....	7,727	5.1	72.7	13.5	82.6	1.2	7,525	23,975	2.9	9.4	0.1	61.8	89.7	45.9	7,523	32,59	32,59	
Linwood.....	17,794	5.2	63.6	1.1	97.5	0.5	17,643	42,502	2.8	7.6	0.1	62.0	87.1	34.2	7,743	38,662	41,18	
Lorain.....	14,019	5.1	59.4	15.7	83.2	0.6	13,856	49,399	3.0	11.7	0.9	58.9	89.4	48.4	7,034	45,34	44,111	
Mansfield.....	13,908	5.4	63.6	1.3	97.5	0.8	13,863	42,502	2.8	7.6	0.1	62.0	87.1	34.2	7,743	34.54	44,111	
Mayfield Heights.....	4,236	4.9	92.2	60.1	98.1	1.4	4,214	15,355	3.6	9.3	0.9	92.2	94.2	98.1	72.6	12,182	42,12	
Medina.....	10,368	4.6	67.2	76.5	87.5	1.0	10,241	35,402	3.0	8.6	1.6	66.4	84.7	33.6	29,446	34,21	42,12	
North Royalton.....	4,116	4.6	56.6	52.9	79.5	1.1	4,026	13,126	3.0	11.7	1.0	52.9	89.7	44.8	6,996	36,70	44,32	
South Royalton Ferry.....	8,897	5.4	71.9	12.4	85.6	0.9	8,712	28,990	3.0	9.5	0.5	69.2	85.1	43.6	6,060	23,35	23,35	
Westerville.....	9,954	4.7	66.1	10.1	72.5	0.4	9,807	33,132	3.5	15.7	0.9	57.6	86.7	52.0	9,722	35,63	44,02	
Middlefield.....	4,026	5.2	69.2	11.0	87.1	0.3	4,003	11,483	2.7	5.5	0.2	62.5	87.9	38.7	36,110	43,44	43,44	
Mount Vernon.....	11,308	5.4	65.5	11.9	83.0	1.2	11,200	31,599	2.8	6.5	1.0	64.2	88.8	43.3	6,639	44,62	44,62	
Newark.....	4,479	5.2	68.6	8.0	80.6	1.4	4,487	12,829	2.8	6.5	0.8	69.5	84.5	33.8	6,359	29,28	37,94	
New Philadelphia.....	4,776	5.2	70.7	12.4	87.8	0.6	4,709	16,439	3.3	11.5	1.3	66.1	90.0	45.4	7,786	32,449	32,449	
Niles.....	9,087	5.4	71.9	12.4	85.6	0.9	9,000	31,599	3.0	9.5	0.5	69.2	85.1	7,511	33,88	43,51	43,51	
Middleton.....	4,342	4.1	33.9	3.6	87.1	0.8	4,246	13,981	2.7	11.7	0.7	67.7	86.7	43.6	39,136	44,02	44,02	
Painesville.....	8,327	5.2	87.9	4.9	97.0	2.3	8,205	18,770	3.6	11.5	0.8	65.6	86.5	43.1	12,663	47,08	57,48	
Parma.....	11,802	5.3	71.2	6.2	85.9	7.9	11,723	31,675	3.0	11.5	1.0	64.4	86.7	43.1	6,970	36,305	42,11	
Piqua.....	5,787	5.3	71.2	6.2	85.9	7.9	5,707	16,518	3.0	11.5	1.0	64.4	86.7	43.1	5,639	26,65	32,11	
Portsmouth.....	11,802	5.2	67.0	12.4	80.7	0.6	11,641	31,241	3.0	11.5	0.9	64.4	86.7	43.1	20,200*	36,63	65,97	
Rocky River.....	3,500	6.0	65.0	12.3	80.7	1.0	3,441	11,141	3.1	12.5	0.9	73.8	91.9	53.1	12,615	31,987	36,39	
Watervliet.....	4,076	5.4	65.8	8.4	84.3	1.0	4,000	12,984	3.0	11.5	0.9	64.7	84.7	42.1	8,127	35,92	44,05	

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.
† Includes occupied trailers.

‡ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATES, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—Con.

[Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 900.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units—			
	Percent of dwelling units—					Population in dwelling units					With median number of persons per room					With median number of persons per room					Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Median number of rooms	Median number of detached structures ¹	With hot running water, with private toilet	In one-story structures built in 1940 or earlier	Vacant dwellings, dilapidated, and not up-to-date, for rent or sale	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With median number of persons per room	Owner-occupied by non-white	With median number of persons per room	Median number of persons per room	With median number of persons per room	Owner-occupied by non-white	With median number of persons per room	Median value of contract rent (dollar)	Median gross monthly income (dollar)	Median gross monthly income (dollar)	Median gross monthly income (dollar)	Median value of contract rent (dollar)	Median gross monthly income (dollar)	Median gross monthly income (dollar)	Median gross monthly income (dollar)	
URBAN PLACES—Con.																								
Standiford.....	9,318	5.1	64.8	13.7	86.4	0.6	9,062	2.8	8.1	4.8	58.7	66.9	92.8	35.5	8,848	37.60	43.40	30.38	97.49	50.3	80.38	97.49	50.3	
Shaker Heights.....	8,817	6.6	58.9	25.2	99.6	1.0	8,627	28.158	3.1	1.0	69.4	98.5	99.1	38.9	8,377	29.97	39.34	29.97	97.34	16.382	92.43	100.0	16.382	
Sibley.....	3,703	5.4	65.9	13.3	81.9	0.8	5,617	2.7	7.7	1.5	56.8	64.6	89.6	38.9	8,140	28.43	41.66	28.43	81.12	33.18	41.66	28.43	81.12	
South Boston.....	4,659	5.7	88.7	63.7	90.8	1.9	4,206	15.159	3.3	2.4	64.5	88.6	98.3	48.8	8,140	34.23	44.66	34.23	81.66	33.18	44.66	34.23	81.66	
Springfield.....	24,433	5.0	11.0	72.3	1.1	23,640	76.248	2.9	10.4	52.5	54.8	88.9	48.8	8,140	34.23	44.66	34.23	81.66	33.18	44.66	34.23	81.66		
Steubenville.....	10,491	4.9	69.4	6.3	78.2	0.5	10,321	34.962	3.1	13.2	7.1	46.0	72.9	90.2	45.5	9,321	34.60	42.84	34.60	82.84	33.21	42.84	34.60	82.84
Streetsboro.....	3,292	5.0	70.6	14.0	78.2	0.9	3,179	34.961	3.4	14.8	4.8	71.9	84.3	93.2	43.2	7,564	32.40	42.84	32.40	82.84	33.21	42.84	32.40	82.84
Tiffin.....	5,657	5.5	67.8	11.9	81.4	1.0	5,514	17.255	2.9	7.1	0.2	64.0	75.8	86.8	38.6	7,653	36.21	44.48	36.21	84.48	33.21	44.48	36.21	84.48
Toledo.....	91,864	5.4	59.4	7.4	88.9	0.7	90,392	28.174	2.9	6.7	6.2	60.3	71.7	94.7	43.7	8,030	27.65	44.48	27.65	84.48	43.21	44.48	27.65	84.48
Troy.....	3,509	5.2	71.5	10.8	86.6	1.0	3,430	10,523	2.8	6.3	4.6	60.7	53.7	88.7	42.0	8,454	32.87	43.01	32.87	43.01	32.87	43.01	32.87	43.01
University Heights.....	3,129	6.6	92.0	54.4	99.8	1.6	3,049	11.056	3.6	0.8	0.1	93.9	94.3	100.0	57.3	20,000+	68.44	98.08	68.44	98.08	68.44	98.08	68.44	98.08
Van Wert.....	3,482	5.1	63.6	15.0	61.4	0.9	3,389	10,110	2.7	4.3	0.7	66.7	53.4	82.6	36.6	6,780	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26
Watervliet.....	14,831	5.0	51.1	21.1	88.2	0.9	14,751	10,000	2.1	9.8	0.5	85.5	92.3	94.8	44.8	9,000	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26
Washington.....	3,427	5.0	75.7	13.1	70.2	1.3	3,253	20,233	2.7	11.4	4.2	53.2	65.2	77.1	34.5	5,000	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26	37.31	52.26
Westerly.....	4,330	5.6	64.2	11.9	88.0	1.4	4,218	12,966	2.8	5.2	1.0	59.2	76.1	89.2	33.5	5,000	38.17	46.25	38.17	46.25	38.17	46.25	38.17	46.25
Xenia.....	4,088	4.8	65.9	13.6	66.6	0.8	4,028	12,761	2.9	18.4	57.6	83.3	91.3	40.3	6,770	30.76	40.42	30.76	40.42	6,770	30.76	40.42	30.76	
Youngstown.....	46,086	5.2	61.1	5.9	79.6	0.6	45,520	164,333	3.3	12.8	86.5	91.3	41.8	40.3	7,659	35.34	42.98	35.34	42.98	7,659	35.34	42.98	35.34	
Zanesville.....	12,794	4.9	64.6	10.5	73.2	0.9	12,493	39,495	2.8	10.9	4.8	60.3	66.7	89.2	44.8	6,631	30.93	35.80	30.93	35.80	6,631	30.93	35.80	30.93

¹ Excludes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 5, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-5, No. 36

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

OKLAHOMA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Oklahoma increased 11 percent--from 647,485 in 1940 to 715,691 in 1950. In contrast, the population for the same period showed a slight decrease--4 percent. In both housing and population, the changes in Oklahoma were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and an increase of 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted more than three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State, and rural-farm dwelling units represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for Oklahoma, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 52 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 24 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 43 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 60 percent. For the State as a whole, home ownership was about equally prevalent among urban dwelling units as among rural dwelling units, but with some variation among localities. For instance, in Midwest City, 72 percent of the occupied dwelling units were occupied by their owners, as compared with 48 percent in Stillwater.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	715,691	...	647,485	...	68,206	10.5
Occupied dwelling units...	663,203	100.0	610,481	100.0	52,722	8.6
Owner occupied.....	397,811	60.0	261,162	42.8	136,649	52.3
Renter occupied.....	265,392	40.0	349,319	57.2	-83,927	-24.0

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Oklahoma were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among urban dwelling units of which 26 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (17 percent) was among farm dwelling units in rural areas. Practically all of Midwest City is new since 1940.

About one-fifth of the occupied dwelling units in Oklahoma had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Berthsville, for example, 58 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 3 percent in Lawton. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 32 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 5 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 38 percent of the nonfarm units as compared with only 16 percent of the farm units had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 73 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$33 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,200. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Oklahoma. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Oklahoma will be available in November 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.60 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950
 [Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.
 Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;
 for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 600.]

Area	All dwelling units			Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm			Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Persons of dwelling units—			Persons of dwelling units—					Persons of dwelling units—					Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures (dollars)			Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-number-of rooms	With hot water, with electric power, private or detached	With hot water, with electric power, public or detached	With hot water, with electric power, public or detached, and not for rent or sale	With hot water, with electric power, public or detached, and not for rent or sale	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by persons, nonwhite	Other occupied, part-time	With central heating-piping	With central heating-piping, electric	Percent non-family, gaged	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
OKLAHOMA.....	715,691	4.2	83.6	24.0	51.7	2.2	663,203	2,166,511	2.9	20.0	7.8	60.0	20.9	72.4	40.0	5,228	32,778	34,20	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	553,187	4.2	79.3	22.9	62.2	2.4	518,606	1,633,826	2.8	17.8	7.8	59.5	25.3	76.3	40.0	5,228	32,778	34,20	
Urban.....	379,127	4.3	73.9	21.8	61.2	2.3	304,932	1,076,457	2.7	15.2	8.0	58.8	30.5	61.1	47.7	6,288	38,348	36,675	
Rural.....	173,860	4.0	91.2	24.6	38.0	1.8	137,914	2,177,659	2.8	23.7	7.3	61.1	10.8	65.3	20.5	2,643	19,68	24,92	
Rural farm.....	162,504	4.2	94.1	17.4	12.5	1.3	144,597	592,685	3.4	28.3	7.9	61.6	4.8	59.1	***	***	***	***	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Oklahoma City.....	106,083	4.3	71.4	34.2	76.5	3.2	101,924	314,913	2.8	15.1	7.8	58.5	32.0	83.7	63.6	7,536	38,48	39,54	
Tulsa.....	61,253	4.3	74.5	29.7	73.2	2.2	78,003	264,763	2.8	15.2	8.4	60.2	43.6	82.4	56.1	7,317	36,35	36,93	
URBAN PLACES																			
Ada.....	5,361	4.3	75.7	14.9	73.3	3.2	5,107	15,485	2.7	14.6	4.5	54.3	16.7	82.3	40.4	5,617	32,11	32,99	
Arbuckle.....	6,082	4.4	80.3	19.8	64.6	1.8	5,825	17,659	2.7	14.9	12.5	60.7	11.5	82.5	52.1	5,281	31,76	33,44	
Bartlesville.....	6,428	4.6	77.5	22.8	76.5	1.3	18,767	22.7	11.5	10.4	62.7	57.7	84.1	43.0	5,221	8,245	8,245		
Chickasha.....	5,320	4.4	79.2	20.0	67.3	2.0	5,049	15,342	2.7	20.1	10.4	61.7	39.3	78.7	38.3	5,221	30,62	30,62	
Duncan.....	5,171	4.1	81.1	40.7	76.3	3.0	4,894	15,158	2.9	17.6	3.8	62.2	28.0	88.9	50.7	6,197	44,48	44,08	
Durant.....	3,442	4.2	74.6	24.0	60.5	3.6	3,274	10,193	2.9	18.4	5.2	54.9	13.2	74.0	31.1	4,245	29.40	33,90	
El Reno.....	3,826	4.6	77.2	22.8	63.9	2.5	10,789	22.6	12.9	12.9	61.7	27.6	80.2	40.8	7,009	31,20	30,90		
Eufaula.....	12,146	4.8	72.3	22.7	72.8	2.2	11,669	35,020	2.7	14.2	3.4	63.2	44.1	82.8	44.8	7,009	40,90	40,90	
Guthrie.....	3,453	4.8	77.6	8.9	60.2	2.4	3,264	9,681	2.5	19.2	62.2	56.1	29.0	81.2	30.3	31,04	42,00	38,77	
Lawton.....	11,354	4.0	72.3	44.8	68.5	3.2	10,687	34,097	3.0	22.6	9.7	52.1	2.9	86.7	56.6	6,982	42,00	38,77	
McAlester.....	5,649	4.2	70.9	27.7	68.3	5.1	5,121	15,787	2.8	16.6	11.8	60.2	17.2	75.5	32.1	4,776	26,76	26,76	
Muskogee.....	4,649	4.4	82.6	33.7	74.0	4.8	3,839	11,595	2.8	12.5	2.9	64.7	34.9	78.4	46.2	5,221	31,25	31,38	
Midwest City.....	3,166	4.2	79.0	99.2	92.2	4.0	2,989	10,165	3.3	12.5	0.9	67.4	48.7	99.0	84,03	65,76	65,34		
Moore.....	12,739	4.5	73.0	19.2	70.4	3.3	12,074	36,163	2.7	13.8	20.5	99.9	23.1	71.8	54,80	32,91	33,44		
Norman.....	6,738	4.2	68.7	42.6	86.6	3.9	6,373	19,206	2.7	11.3	0.3	52.1	40.7	90.8	52.9	7,299	41,45	41,45	
Oklahoma City.....	83,047	4.3	66.5	27.4	78.7	3.2	78,863	235,840	2.7	13.9	8.3	55.8	33.8	84.2	62.1	7,684	39,45	40,43	
Oklahoma City.....	6,085	4.6	75.3	12.7	59.2	1.8	5,841	17,676	2.9	18.1	10.8	60.2	16.9	82.8	32.9	31,90	35,18	35,18	
Oklahoma City.....	6,962	4.6	77.1	23.5	84.0	5.2	6,563	15,220	2.8	11.4	4.3	62.1	55.8	81.3	57.4	4,772	43,72	43,72	
Ponca City.....	6,944	4.3	77.7	14.1	54.0	2.5	4,419	12,900	2.9	12.0	64.9	16.3	76.1	59.4	4,772	30,88	30,88		
Spokane.....	3,974	4.0	84.2	11.3	71.9	3.9	3,589	11,666	2.5	19.3	9.6	75.9	73.3	83.7	31,21	33,27	33,27		
Shawnee.....	7,831	4.0	72.9	13.3	70.0	3.0	7,376	22,081	2.7	13.3	4.7	62.4	28.4	81.1	40.1	5,008	32,24	32,24	
Stillwater.....	5,109	4.3	68.2	36.1	84.8	4.0	4,885	14,982	2.5	13.3	3.0	48.4	33.1	84.8	50.0	8,360	43,41	43,41	
Tulsa.....	60,659	4.4	25.6	14.8	58,680	1,771,222	2.7	12.4	9.0	57.9	50.6	84.6	57.8	8,138	37,75	37,83	37,83		

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 2- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 9, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 37

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

OREGON

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Oregon increased 42 percent--from 369,811 in 1940 to 524,003 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 40 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Oregon were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Fifteen percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 31 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Oregon, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 67 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was an increase of only 10 percent in the number of renters. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 55 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 65 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 62 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	524,003	...	369,811	...	154,192	41.9
Occupied dwelling units...	470,047	100.0	337,402	100.0	141,555	41.9
Owner occupied.....	312,828	65.3	187,044	55.4	125,784	67.2
Renter occupied.....	166,219	34.7	150,448	44.6	15,771	10.5

Approximately one-third of the dwelling units in Oregon were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 47 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 27 percent of the farm units.

Thirty-seven percent of the occupied dwelling units in Oregon had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Portland, for example, 77 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 16 percent in Medford. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 58 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 12 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 63 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 53 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 86 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,800. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Oregon. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Oregon will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.35 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units			Occupied dwelling units			Occupied dwelling units—			Own-occupied northern	Renter-occupied northern								
	Percent of dwelling units—			Population in dwelling units			Percent of dwelling units—												
	Median number	In one-number-of rooms detached	With hot water, non-dishpanned, built in 1940 or later*	Total number	Median number of persons per room	With 1.0 or more persons per room	Owner occupied, non-white	With central heating	With mobile home, refinerator*										
Oregon.....	534,003	4.4	79.8	32.6	74.0	2.7	479,047	1,467,556	2.6	12.7	1.2	65.3	26.6	80.6	40.3	6,946	38,011	44.19	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	466,485	4.4	77.3	34.7	77.6	2.6	413,411	1,240,012	2.7	12.3	1.2	63.0	40.6	81.3	40.3	6,946	38,011	44.19	
Urban.....	282,816	4.5	70.4	26.4	86.0	2.5	177,720	523,203	2.6	19.4	1.4	63.1	54.5	43.8	74.08	39,601	44.59		
Rural nonfarm.....	163,669	4.1	89.1	46.6	62.8	2.8	143,694	460,810	2.6	19.4	1.4	63.4	54.5	43.8	74.08	39,601	44.59		
Rural farm.....	77,518	4.9	94.5	26.6	52.9	2.8	65,636	227,544	3.1	15.0	1.0	89.0	12.0	76.5	***	***	***		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREA																			
Portland.....	243,840	4.6	75.5	30.3	83.1	2.5	229,046	680,848	2.6	9.3	1.7	63.6	27.3	85.7	43.4	7,472	36,561	44.14	
URBAN PLACES																			
Albion.....	3,532	4.5	70.2	33.6	85.2	2.0	3,394	9,935	2.7	6.7	0.3	59.7	24.6	65.2	39.7	7,413	41,601	47.28	
Astoria.....	4,764	4.2	43.6	21.4	76.9	3.4	4,372	11,863	2.4	5.6	1.1	50.3	43.2	79.4	26.3	6,790	37,252	46.60	
Bend.....	3,936	4.2	89.8	22.6	82.4	3.3	3,711	11,791	2.8	11.6	0.1	69.5	26.7	81.8	40.7	4,579	30,745	43.54	
Corvallis.....	4,492	4.4	50.0	27.1	85.2	2.2	4,231	12,336	2.5	6.7	0.4	51.0	89.2	39.5	8.94	41,99	44.19		
Eugene.....	11,636	4.3	64.9	32.9	86.5	2.3	11,162	31,999	2.8	9.4	0.2	54.9	43.4	68.0	44.6	9,302	47,444	49,644	
Klamath Falls.....	5,703	4.0	70.5	11.6	65.4	3.4	5,333	15,240	2.3	10.3	0.7	54.1	30.1	81.1	48.0	6,259	37,653	41,485	
Medford.....	6,203	4.4	30.9	87.1	87.1	1.6	5,860	16,997	2.6	6.1	0.2	63.0	46.2	83.0	43.7	5,322	44,653	47,453	
Penitentiary.....	3,640	4.1	60.9	28.3	89.3	1.6	3,515	10,552	2.3	21.1	0.2	65.9	47.4	82.1	43.1	4,020	43,223	43.22	
Portland.....	131,413	4.7	63.2	19.2	88.4	2.3	126,703	356,397	2.4	12.4	0.2	58.6	42.6	88.4	42.4	8,038	21,223	43.22	
Salmon.....	13,972	4.5	72.3	24.7	87.2	2.9	13,380	37,387	2.5	7.2	0.2	56.5	42.6	88.4	42.4	8,556	45,994	45,877	
Springsfield.....	3,487	4.3	87.4	62.0	78.6	3.3	3,291	10,765	3.2	14.7	0.2	71.2	19.0	85.2	49.0	6,175	32,061	59.15	

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 38

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

PENNSYLVANIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Pennsylvania increased 16 percent--from 2,618,056 in 1940 to 3,036,494 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 6 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Pennsylvania were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Only 6 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 23 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Pennsylvania, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 51 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 14 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 46 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 60 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-seven percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 56 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.....	3,036,494	...	2,618,056	...	418,438	16.0
Rented dwelling units.....	2,915,879	100.0	2,515,524	100.0	400,355	15.9
Owner occupied.....	1,739,833	59.7	1,154,948	45.9	584,885	50.6
Renter occupied.....	1,176,046	40.3	1,360,576	54.1	-184,530	-43.6

Almost one-eighth of the dwelling units in Pennsylvania were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 19 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 7 percent of the farm units.

Three-fourths of the occupied dwelling units in Pennsylvania had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Philadelphia, for example, 92 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 64 percent in Pittsburgh. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 62 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 45 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 52 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 40 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 79 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$31 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,900. Forty-one percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Pennsylvania. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Pennsylvania will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$0.75 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

ESTIMATE OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent not shown where base is less than 500; for example items, percent not shown where base is less than 50.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--CONT.

[Material (*) denotes statistics based on 20 percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 1. For complete count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 100.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Percent of dwelling units—				Own-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Population in dwelling units					With 1 or more persons per room					With 1 or more persons per room					Own-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit structures destroyed in 1940 or later*	With hot water, running water, private not dilapidated, and not dilapidated	With hot water, private not dilapidated, and not dilapidated	Total number	Median	With 1 or more persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner occupied	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner occupied	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner occupied	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner occupied	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner occupied	Median value of one-dwelling-unit farm buildings (dollar)	Median gross rent (dollar)						
URBAN PLACES—Con.																										
Chambersburg.....	5,327	5.6	41.8	14.7	79.9	1.4	5,191	16,225	2.9	7.8	3.1	45.1	74.5	86.8	28.1	8.3	26.83	38.54								
Chester.....	16,208	5.6	6.7	15.0	79.6	0.9	17,803	64,356	3.3	11.7	19.3	50.3	82.4	83.6	50.7	7.17	7.17	14.23	34.97	37.77	34.97	37.77	34.97			
Clarkton.....	5,099	4.5	41.3	20.4	80.0	0.4	5,054	19,246	3.5	22.0	15.0	22.3	85.3	85.3	61.1	7.76	7.76	29.26	42.25							
Contestable.....	3,689	5.9	1.4	6.0	78.3	0.8	3,524	13,227	3.2	7.8	12.5	53.5	82.0	82.0	52.0	5.50	5.50	23.16	34.14							
Columbia.....	5,137	6.0	19.9	5.2	67.2	1.0	3,452	11,663	2.0	7.8	12.5	48.5	82.0	82.0	51.7	5.68	5.68	23.16	34.14							
Columbiaville.....	5,278	6.2	51.1	7.3	72.4	0.7	3,921	13,001	3.1	10.2	2.4	49.2	78.7	91.5	22.3	5.68	5.68	29.87	37.06							
Cookstown.....	3,777	6.0	21.2	5.7	80.5	0.6	2,688	10,482	3.4	10.8	3.4	73.0	87.7	91.5	22.3	8.47	8.47	43.27	43.27							
Coumbocoheim.....	3,633	6.4	6.8	60.9	79.6	0.6	2,997	10,375	3.2	12.3	7.7	56.3	83.4	94.9	39.8	7.92	7.92	45.17	45.17							
Cressonville.....	3,607	5.9	6.1	25.1	94.6	0.4	3,563	12,927	3.4	6.7	14.3	74.9	91.4	93.0	65.1	7.60	7.60	31.25	43.26							
Derry.....	3,791	4.3	46.2	1.1	3,358	0.7	3,388	12,093	3.1	10.0	7.6	48.5	92.3	92.3	20.8	6.62	6.62	23.40	33.41							
Dumont.....	4,334	5.6	48.6	1.5	95.2	0.5	4,292	13,376	2.9	2.9	0.1	55.7	97.9	99.3	32.3	12.18	12.18	59.74	66.58							
Dormont.....	3,513	5.6	5.9	6.5	4.5	70.7	0.4	3,453	11,568	2.9	9.9	0.1	56.7	98.0	99.3	32.3	12.18	12.18	59.74	66.58						
Do Bois.....	3,217	5.5	4.0	1.2	80.1	0.8	3,107	11,077	1.4	16.2	8.1	57.3	83.2	87.6	32.6	6.89	6.89	28.15	39.53							
Dunmore.....	5,217	5.5	21.7	5.5	80.1	0.8	4,801	11,477	1.4	16.2	8.1	50.7	80.3	82.1	32.1	7.55	7.55	32.40	36.06							
Duquesne.....	6,859	4.3	24.6	12.2	80.6	1.5	1,183	31,923	3.4	10.8	1.9	54.6	86.4	90.3	45.9	6.228	6.228	35.44	39.58							
Easton.....	10,479	5.6	24.6	4.8	80.5	0.6	1,183	31,923	3.4	10.8	1.9	54.6	86.4	90.3	45.9	6.228	6.228	35.44	39.58							
Elkland City.....	3,765	5.1	62.2	13.0	83.5	0.8	3,708	12,893	3.1	10.0	0.1	63.5	89.0	93.1	39.1	7.93	7.93	32.64	44.17							
Fife.....	3,765	5.1	45.4	10.4	83.5	0.7	37,667	125,973	3.1	10.5	0.1	63.5	89.0	93.1	39.1	7.93	7.93	32.64	44.17							
Farrell.....	3,623	4.9	63.4	7.5	72.5	0.2	3,598	13,158	3.5	16.4	15.2	56.7	71.6	87.0	26.3	6.03	6.03	25.35	32.23							
Franklin (Youghiogheny County).....	3,268	5.7	55.2	2.4	81.4	0.4	3,175	9,793	2.8	16.3	1.5	54.6	74.6	87.0	26.3	6.03	6.03	25.35	32.23							
Greensburg.....	5,218	4.9	52.4	5.6	83.8	2.6	5,035	16,412	3.0	10.0	1.2	50.1	80.1	93.0	35.4	8.291	8.291	43.12	48.05							
Hancock.....	4,468	5.6	46.4	2.1	92.8	0.7	4,366	13,861	3.4	16.6	2.9	52.1	86.3	92.4	31.0	8.176	8.176	25.64	37.00							
Harrisburg.....	28,099	5.6	6.7	2.1	80.0	1.2	27,570	86,563	2.8	9.7	6.7	47.6	87.5	90.5	44.5	8.126	8.126	39.54	46.25							
Hawthorne.....	9,382	5.6	23.3	4.7	82.3	0.4	9,782	34,776	3.3	12.0	9.7	48.2	82.1	83.4	34.4	8.126	8.126	39.54	46.25							
Hedgesfield.....	2,406	5.2	33.7	1.8	76.6	0.5	2,784	9,709	3.2	20.1	12.4	37.6	68.1	88.2	28.9	5.331	5.331	39.67	44.96							
Hedgesfield.....	3,552	5.6	60.5	11.8	83.5	1.4	3,463	10,629	2.9	9.0	0.1	51.4	89.3	92.6	31.3	9.137	9.137	38.67	44.96							
Hedgesfield.....	3,552	5.6	60.5	11.8	83.5	1.4	3,463	10,629	2.9	9.0	0.1	51.4	89.3	92.6	31.3	9.137	9.137	38.67	44.96							
Jeanette.....	4,779	4.6	51.2	9.1	78.5	1.0	4,714	16,086	3.2	14.5	1.5	52.1	83.4	94.7	33.0	6.987	6.987	30.26	38.49							
Johnstown.....	17,475	4.8	24.6	3.5	71.1	0.4	17,368	23,813	3.2	12.8	3.1	42.6	79.6	87.1	32.7	8.176	8.176	30.32	39.13							
Kirkport.....	6,215	5.9	40.9	4.3	79.4	0.5	3,976	13,159	2.9	7.0	2.1	51.3	86.2	87.8	44.6	7.604	7.604	31.35	43.25							
Lancaster.....	19,257	5.7	5.7	4.8	95.7	0.4	18,976	22,113	3.0	2.7	1.4	68.9	90.7	98.2	60.6	6.629	6.629	26.60	60.47							
Lansdowne.....	2,810	6.1	27.2	0.4	97.7	0.4	2,784	13,700	3.2	12.0	12.4	37.6	68.1	88.2	28.9	5.331	5.331	38.67	44.96							
Latrobe.....	3,270	5.2	63.9	11.0	76.3	1.7	3,303	11,554	3.3	10.0	8.0	51.4	83.5	85.7	31.8	7.393	7.393	34.39	43.39							
Lawrence.....	8,295	5.7	54.0	5.6	78.1	1.3	8,122	27,884	3.0	12.0	8.1	47.3	79.5	85.8	35.7	6.129	6.129	36.46	43.39							
Lehigh Haven.....	4,669	5.6	40.8	6.0	80.1	1.3	4,432	13,704	2.8	6.1	0.6	42.4	72.9	84.7	35.7	6.129	6.129	36.46	43.39							
Lock Haven.....	3,493	5.5	44.4	4.2	81.3	0.6	3,532	10,782	3.0	12.0	4.8	42.4	82.9	91.7	35.7	6.129	6.129	36.46	43.39							
Middleport.....	15,039	4.3	26.6	6.7	61.6	0.6	14,851	50,762	16.8	4.6	4.6	45.2	72.1	88.2	38.4	7.296	7.296	31.07	44.05							
Newtown.....	2,400	5.9	25.6	4.8	60.4	0.4	4,637	15,917	3.2	12.4	3.2	37.3	62.1	65.9	36.0	6.643	6.643	27.28	34.40							
Wadsworth.....	4,679	3.9	26.6	6.5	66.5	0.5	3,244	10,856	3.2	4.3	4.3	58.0	50.7	77.3	23.2	30.99	30.99	21.00	35.71							
Warren.....	2,806	6.1	41.7	3.7	87.1	0.5	3,144	27,884	3.2	12.0	4.8	42.4	72.9	84.7	35.7	6.129	6.129	36.46	43.39							
Washington City.....	5,217	4.1	42.0	7.0	66.6	0.6	5,089	17,981	3.3	21.9	5.8	50.8	66.5	92.0	35.4	7.402	7.402	23.96	35.73							

¹ Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

² Includes occupied trailers.

³ Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties without business.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—CONT.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent = 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500;

for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Median-occupied nonfarm renter-occupied monthly rent (dollar)	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—											
	Total Median number	In one- number of rooms	With hot water with private toilet and bath, but not duplicated	In one- number of rooms	With hot water with private toilet and bath, but not duplicated	Total Median number of per- sonal rooms	With one or more per- sonal rooms	Ocu- pied by non- white	Owner- occupied by non- white	With central heat- ing	With mechani- cal heat- ing	With refrig- eration	With central heat- ing	With mechani- cal heat- ing	With refrig- eration							
URBAN PLACES—CONT.																						
Mount Carmel.....	4,619	6.1	18.4	0.6	63.6	3.3	9,043	16,076	3.3	6.2	62.1	78.2	35.4	6,886	22,86	38,05						
Muncie.....	6,688	5.2	22.4	0.5	60.3	3.2	16,404	16,404	0.3	10.1	50.1	77.4	93.6	9,389	27,41	41,00						
Nanticoke.....	6,008	5.3	37.0	0.4	76.0	3.2	20,056	19,944	3.2	10.1	44.8	63.6	74.4	10,202	25,20	33,28						
New Castle.....	16,282	5.1	57.5	3.6	76.0	3.2	47,093	48,102	3.1	11.3	34.2	56.1	80.0	41.5	6,996	32,49	39,37					
New Kensington.....	7,426	4.6	52.2	1.6	76.4	3.0	7,307	24,895	3.2	13.9	4.1	54.2	83.3	91.0	41.6	8,526	33,49	40,92				
North Tonawanda.....	9,180	5.9	3.0	79.9	0.5	9,043	24,357	3.4	10.7	5.7	62.2	91.5	96.0	45.9	37,63	46,15						
North Bend.....	4,007	4.4	44.4	0.5	71.0	3.0	14,710	14,710	3.1	7.7	50.2	67.6	80.0	6,110	21,18	31,17						
Oil City.....	5,590	5.8	58.1	0.6	86.0	0.7	5,814	19,260	3.0	6.0	47.4	57.4	92.0	9,703	27,46	31,79						
Philadelphia.....	599,465	5.6	5.8	9.9	82.0	1.3	584,688	1,989,903	3.1	9.0	17.2	56.1	86.9	50.3	7,009	36,18	42,71					
Pittsburgh.....	3,487	6.2	31.3	9.4	89.3	1.0	3,405	12,823	3.5	8.3	2.0	70.3	88.1	95.1	36.3	7,382	35,64	44,42				
Pittsburgh.....	193,889	4.3	30.3	6.4	66.2	0.8	67,993	34,696	3.4	17.2	10.8	42.7	74.9	90.9	45.5	6,277	35.74	42,11				
Pittsburgh.....	2,944	4.8	43.3	0.6	79.1	0.7	3,981	14,888	3.4	10.3	0.1	24.3	49.3	83.8	24.3	4,662	46,62	53,59				
Pittsburgh.....	2,779	4.8	43.3	1.2	84.7	0.4	3,239	12,537	3.4	11.1	2.2	44.7	52.6	80.7	44.7	4,643	46,33	52,77				
Pittsburgh.....	2,779	4.8	43.3	1.2	84.7	0.4	3,239	12,113	3.4	11.1	2.2	44.7	52.6	80.7	44.7	4,643	46,33	52,77				
Pittsburgh.....	6,827	6.0	26.8	1.6	85.0	0.9	6,659	22,915	3.1	6.2	0.5	55.2	86.1	83.0	13.6	6,395	32,84	42,70				
Reading.....	32,890	5.7	54.5	2.7	80.5	0.9	32,304	105,442	2.9	6.7	0.5	45.3	75.4	89.9	37.9	6,208	28,64	39,69				
Shakopee.....	36,997	5.4	32.4	0.5	78.4	0.6	36,425	123,167	3.1	7.7	0.5	45.3	60.1	81.8	41.1	5,133	24,22	36,86				
Shakopee.....	5,068	6.1	22.8	0.5	78.4	0.6	4,996	16,662	3.1	5.2	0.1	60.1	67.0	81.8	41.1	5,133	24,22	36,86				
Shakopee.....	7,666	5.4	67.2	0.5	82.6	0.5	7,681	26,200	3.2	9.0	2.0	68.0	85.3	93.9	7,545	7,545	7,545					
Shakopee.....	4,522	5.7	51.1	0.5	74.3	0.4	4,438	15,549	3.2	9.7	2.2	44.6	69.4	95.4	15.0	4,797	13,45	22,63				
State College.....	4,838	4.8	27.9	81.7	90,933	3.4	11.8	0.4	40.6	49.6	89.0	89.6	51.8	15,445	33,15	56,99						
Steelton.....	3,303	6.0	18.3	1.7	66.3	0.7	3,257	12,417	3.4	9.0	0.3	45.2	58.7	81.2	30.1	5,015	23,23	36,10				
Swisstown.....	4,981	5.9	41.7	2.5	88.8	0.3	15,343	2,381	2.8	5.5	0.1	45.2	87.4	94.6	6,266	25,26	39,28					
Tamaqua.....	6,644	5.0	41.7	5.6	81.7	0.2	6,619	16,379	3.4	11.8	2.6	55.4	85.6	94.6	9,421	39,42	47,40					
Tamaqua.....	3,244	6.0	24.2	0.8	92.3	0.2	11,406	3,224	3.1	5.5	***	59.1	87.7	91.2	5,218	31,02	42,58					
Tarzwell Creek.....	3,692	4.4	36.7	19.6	79.5	0.7	3,453	12,275	3.3	15.6	0.2	39.2	86.3	95.2	36.9	7,948	35,35	38,30				
Uniontown (Fayette County).....	6,179	5.0	56.2	3.6	80.1	0.6	6,064	19,483	3.0	10.7	4.7	47.2	83.8	90.3	7,363	37,26	43,30					
Warren.....	4,837	5.8	59.0	1.9	91.9	0.9	4,753	14,633	2.8	3.4	0.1	42.5	94.6	98.6	34.8	6,645	37,97	40,34				
Washington (Washington County).....	7,752	4.7	50.2	5.3	77.0	0.6	7,627	25,203	3.0	12.1	6.9	45.6	67.1	80.2	34.0	6,985	27,65	37,00				
Waynesboro.....	3,340	5.6	44.2	5.3	77.8	0.6	3,281	10,206	2.8	6.9	1.1	43.0	85.2	92.4	3,024	4,600	5,000					
West Chester.....	3,981	5.9	5.9	11.4	87.6	1.4	3,569	12,988	3.0	6.9	13.8	44.8	56.0	76.3	9,364	39,51	47,16					
West Mifflin.....	2,620	5.7	44.6	6.6	77.4	0.7	2,476	11,706	3.1	10.0	1.0	46.6	55.2	79.7	9,126	34,52	46,42					
Wilkinsburg.....	21,342	5.7	44.6	1.2	82.6	0.6	8,311	36,125	3.1	9.1	1.1	46.6	89.9	92.9	30,9	36,85	47,16					
Williamsport.....	10,033	5.4	44.7	0.8	80.4	0.8	9,905	30,742	3.0	11.7	1.1	46.6	89.9	95.4	30,9	36,85	47,16					
Williamsport.....	11,975	5.8	44.7	2.5	80.5	0.5	3,079	13,750	3.0	12.5	1.9	47.5	82.4	94.6	30,9	36,85	47,16					
Yendell.....	3,098	6.4	14.7	2.0	99.5	0.5	3,079	10,943	3.4	2.5	5.8	42.1	99.2	99.2	59.5	12,063	34,47	44,74				
York.....	18,727	5.4	13.0	5.6	69.5	1.0	18,373	58,477	2.8	7.6	3.7	52.0	83.4	91.3	42.0	7,167	31,92	39,12				

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 39

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

RHODE ISLAND

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Rhode Island increased 20 percent—from 203,469 in 1940 to 244,147 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 11 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Rhode Island were slightly smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban dwelling units constituted more than four-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State and dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fifth.

These and other summary data for Rhode Island, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 45 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 37 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 45 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-three percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 42 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 29 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among farm houses in rural areas was 10 percent and among urban dwelling units, 9 percent.

Almost three-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Rhode Island had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities. In Cranston, for example, 82 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 29 percent in Central Falls.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 62 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 53 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 63 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$26 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$9,800. Fifty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Rhode Island. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Rhode Island will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	244,147	...	203,469	...	40,678	20.0
Occupied dwelling units...	225,447	100.0	187,706	100.0	37,741	20.1
Owner occupied.....	102,071	45.3	70,190	37.4	31,881	45.4
Renter occupied.....	123,376	54.7	117,516	62.6	5,860	5.0

Approximately one-eighth of the dwelling units in Rhode Island were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.
 Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;
 for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 600]

Area	All dwelling units		Percent of dwelling units—		Occupied dwelling units		Percent of dwelling units—		Owner-occupied nonfarm	Renter-occupied nonfarm
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit structures*	In structures containing two or more detached units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by one or more persons per room	With specialized heating equipment		
Rhode Island.....	246,167	4.9	40.3	11.9	62.2	1.4	225,647	750,558	3.1	9.7
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	240,845	4.9	39.8	11.9	62.3	1.3	222,667	740,574	3.1	9.7
Urban.....	200,187	4.9	32.6	8.6	62.9	1.3	192,446	639,808	3.1	9.7
Rural nonfarm.....	40,658	4.9	29.1	12.6	59.2	1.2	30,221	102,766	3.1	9.7
Rural farm.....	3,302	6.3	78.9	10.4	52.9	5.7	2,780	9,984	3.3	9.7
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS										
Providence.....	223,800	4.9	36.9	10.6	62.3	1.2	211,471	705,741	3.1	9.8
URBAN PLACES										
Bristol (units).....	3,020	4.8	27.5	2.7	48.1	0.8	2,943	10,270	3.2	12.3
Central Falls.....	7,198	4.7	31.4	6.7	31.4	1.0	7,090	23,204	3.0	12.4
Cranston.....	16,951	5.4	56.4	1.1	84.8	1.1	14,575	49,541	3.2	6.1
Newport.....	10,138	5.1	39.9	9.5	86.5	2.8	9,398	30,428	3.0	7.2
Foxborough.....	25,383	4.9	26.9	10.1	59.6	1.2	26,826	80,284	3.0	8.3
Providence.....	74,212	4.8	17.6	3.5	60.5	1.4	72,259	235,851	3.0	10.7
Warwick.....	14,780	5.2	66.5	24.5	72.4	1.5	12,509	42,560	3.2	4.0
Woonsocket.....	15,284	4.7	13.4	6.5	47.0	0.8	14,993	46,371	2.0	10.5

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 14, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 40

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

SOUTH CAROLINA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in the series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in South Carolina increased 22 percent—from 458,899 in 1940 to 557,672 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 11 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in South Carolina were slightly smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted almost three-fourths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fourth.

These and other summary data for South Carolina, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 74 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 31 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 45 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership continued to be somewhat more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Fifty percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 42 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	557,672	...	458,899	...	98,773	21.5
Occupied dwelling units...	514,638	100.0	434,968	100.0	79,670	18.3
Owner occupied.....	232,284	45.1	133,279	30.6	99,005	74.3
Renter occupied.....	282,354	54.9	301,689	69.4	-19,335	-6.4

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in South Carolina were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among

nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 32 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among urban dwelling units was 26 percent and among rural-farm units, 17 percent.

About one-twelfth of the occupied dwelling units in South Carolina has central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Greenville, for example, 33 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 6 percent in Brandon-Hudson. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 2 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 43 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 11 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 55 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$17 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,100. Forty percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for South Carolina. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for South Carolina will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.45 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 600.]

Area	All dwelling units		Occupied dwelling units		Percent of dwelling units—		Owner-occupied nonfarm	Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit structures built in 1940 or later*	With hot water, with central heating unit, not private, seasonal, or beach, and both, dilapidated, or for rent or sale	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Owner-occupied nonfarm units	With central heating unit, residential	Percent marginally rent, multi-unit structures† (dollars)
South Carolina.....									
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	597,672	4.3	25.3	34.3	1,514,639	2,061,697	3.5	28.9	45.1
Urban.....	404,699	4.2	28.4	43.1	1,361,822	3.3	25.4	29.9	43.2
Rural nonfarm.....	223,224	4.2	25.7	54.3	246,701	3.2	22.4	31.0	46.6
Rural farm.....	182,495	4.2	28.7	51.8	633,721	3.4	44.9	47.4	56.4
Rural town.....	132,973	4.6	27.0	51.6	140,839	4.4	39.3	46.7	51.0
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS									
Charleston-Greenville.....	47,187	4.2	32.4	50.3	42,927	3.2	25.0	40.4	41.5
Greenville.....	38,159	4.3	35.1	57.1	35,205	3.2	22.6	30.6	45.2
Charleston.....	47,897	4.3	28.9	48.6	163,489	3.2	22.6	30.6	45.2
URBAN PLACES									
Anderson.....	6,022	4.1	62.3	15.9	5,854	19,252	3.0	22.1	27.8
Beaumont-Jackson (uninc.).....	3,098	3.9	73.7	20.9	50.3	10,541	3.1	28.1	41.0
Beaumont.....	21,176	3.7	27.6	7.8	49,77	2,9	2.8	24.4	44.6
Jackson (uninc.).....	22,989	4.2	55.9	26.0	60,44	3.3	21.8	31.8	39.2
Columbia.....	6,679	4.4	66.4	28.5	57,42	21,946	3.1	20.2	34.9
Florence.....	17,365	4.2	51.6	27.4	16,692	54,246	3.0	18.5	41.4
Greenville.....	4,100	4.0	66.1	18.1	4,036	13,089	2.9	21.1	30.5
Orangeburg.....	4,196	4.3	65.7	24.3	4,033	12,719	2.7	23.3	35.6
Rock Hill.....	6,434	4.2	71.7	36.4	59,212	6,379	2.2	23.4	63.4
Spartanburg.....	10,669	4.1	60.2	19.6	56,58	10,438	2.1	21.4	37.9
Sumter.....	5,751	4.2	64.6	23.1	55.2	19,606	1.9	5,564	1.2

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

4 Includes occupied trailers.
5 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

6 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

July 18, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 41

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

SOUTH DAKOTA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in South Dakota increased 8 percent--from 179,744 in 1940 to 194,573 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 2 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in South Dakota were considerably smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted almost two-thirds of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-third.

These and other summary data for South Dakota, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The proportion of home ownership for the State was substantially higher in 1950 than in 1940, increasing from 45 percent in 1940 to 62 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be somewhat more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Sixty-five percent of the occupied dwelling units in rural areas were owner-occupied, as compared with 57 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940 (Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	194,573	...	179,744	...	14,829	8.3
Occupied dwelling units...	182,978	100.0	165,428	100.0	17,550	10.6
Owner occupied.....	113,780	62.2	74,388	45.0	39,392	53.0
Renter occupied.....	69,198	37.8	91,040	55.0	-21,842	-24.0

Approximately one-seventh of the dwelling units in South Dakota were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was in urban

areas, where 21 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (7 percent) was among farm dwelling units in rural areas.

About two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in South Dakota had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Aberdeen, for example, 82 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment as compared with only 48 percent in Rapid City. In occupied urban dwelling units, 64 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 24 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 51 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 15 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 66 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$34 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,400. Twenty-five percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for South Dakota. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for South Dakota will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Material (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For example: recent items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	Occupied dwelling units										Median value of owner- occupied dwelling units (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median rent- occupied nonfarm						
	All dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—													
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one- dwelling-unit structures, detached in 1940 or later*	With hot water, with private sewer, and not dilapi- dated	With hot water, with private sewer, and both and not dilapi- dated	Population in dwelling units	Median num- ber per room	Owner- occupied wholly or partly by more than one person	With central heat- ing ^b	With multiple reflec- tors ^c									
120,573	5,0	82.8	38.0	1.0	102,978	(631,235)	3.1	15.4	2.5	62.2	41.4	73.6	25.0	5,410	33,54	42,30			
South Dakota.....																			
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	124,163	4.4	74.7	18.7	11.1	117,955	3.0	17.7	2.8	16.5	2.4	60.6	50.9	29.0	5,410	33,54	42,30		
Urban.....	65,706	4.3	65.3	20.5	65.8	63,460	2.9	16.6	56.8	63.8	63.8	53.6	63,327	38,28	45,41				
Rural nonfarm.....	58,057	4.7	85.1	16.6	34.9	51,086	2.8	16.3	65.2	55.6	55.6	50.9	51.1	44,013	26,54	36,23			
Rural farms.....	70,210	6.1	97.1	6.5	14.7	65,023	3.6	13.3	2.5	65.0	24.1	65.8	***	***	***	***	***	***	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Stone Falls.....	21,077	4.6	69.5	23.7	60.9	0.6	20.640	65,152	3.0	14.9	0.3	59.2	67.8	87.7	44.3	8,059	36,48	43,76	
URBAN PLACES																			
Aberdeen.....	6,726	4.2	76.6	16.8	67.0	1.3	6,092	20,039	3.0	17.6	0.2	56.8	82.1	82.4	36.1	6,516	42,72	48,89	
Burnham.....	5,716	4.5	71.6	15.2	62.4	0.6	5,816	12,008	3.0	14.4	0.6	65.0	75.1	85.5	37.4	7,032	48,63	59,13	
Marshall.....	5,770	4.5	69.3	14.4	62.4	0.3	5,642	11,981	3.0	14.1	0.3	54.4	75.2	85.5	37.4	7,038	48,63	59,13	
Imperial City.....	7,829	3.7	63.4	36.5	66.4	0.2	7,625	26,116	2.9	21.9	0.2	47.8	72,79	82.7	42.7	81,1	43,48	44,98	
Sioux Falls.....	15,993	4.1	62.1	29.5	70.4	0.7	13,451	50,026	2.9	15.8	0.4	77.0	89.1	94.6	44.34	8,624	37,48	44,98	
Watertown.....	3,908	4.6	68.3	19.4	63.1	1.5	3,795	12,400	3.0	14.8	0.1	60.3	69.2	82.5	35.6	6,213	38,96	47,75	

^a Includes occupied trailers.

^b Restricted to units in 1-4-dwelling-unit properties.

^c Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 3, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 42

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

TENNESSEE

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Tennessee increased 24 percent--from 742,030 in 1940 to 921,837 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 13 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Tennessee were approximately the same as those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

More than one-fourth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented another one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Tennessee, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 56 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 5 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 44 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 57 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Sixty-six percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 50 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units....	921,837	...	742,030	...	179,807	24.2
Occupied dwelling units....	871,474	100.0	714,894	100.0	156,580	21.9
Owner occupied.....	492,643	56.5	315,182	44.1	177,461	56.3
Renter occupied.....	378,831	43.5	399,712	55.9	-20,881	-5.2

More than one-fourth of the dwelling units in Tennessee were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 37 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (20 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately one-fifth of the occupied dwelling units in Tennessee had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Oak Ridge, for example, 61 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 26 percent in Chattanooga. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 36 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 26 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 9 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 58 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$24 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,300. Thirty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Tennessee. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Tennessee will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Material (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For completed-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 50.]

Area	All dwelling units		Occupied dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units				Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm					
	Total number	Median number of rooms	Percent of dwelling units—	In one-dwelling-unit, detached structures*	In structures built 1940 or later*	With hot running water, with private toilet and bath, and fully finished and up-to-date	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With one or more persons per room	Occupied by nonwhite	With median-central heating, refrigerator, or electric range	Percent mortgaged*	Median value of dwelling-unit structures* (dollars)				
Tennessee.....	921,837	4.2	78.6	26.7	36.0	1.5	871,474	3,200,784	3.3	23.2	15.5	56.5	20.3	65.6	37.2	5,268	24.13	30.73
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	662,403	4.2	71.3	29.3	46.7	1.7	629,247	2,051,561	3.1	23.1	17.4	52.9	26.9	70.8	37.2	5,268	24.13	30.73
Urban.....	330,030	4.2	61.1	23.5	37.7	1.9	415,479	1,399,054	3.0	20.8	22.5	49.9	33.5	75.4	43.2	6,228	27.01	33.06
Rural nonfarm.....	320,373	4.2	90.3	36.5	25.9	1.4	213,768	786,507	3.3	27.6	7.5	58.7	9.9	61.8	25.2	3,554	16.32	22.92
Rural farm.....	259,434	4.4	97.0	20.0	8.7	0.8	242,227	1,015,223	3.7	33.1	10.7	66.0	3.3	52.1	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Chattanooga.....	71,358	4.3	71.1	24.3	52.1	1.3	68,710	241,079	3.2	21.8	17.9	53.7	21.9	76.9	41.9	5,272	23.33	31.27
Knoxville.....	74,610	4.3	78.9	35.3	53.8	3.4	88,809	276,353	3.3	22.2	28.7	56.3	36.9	76.6	50.7	5,067	28.51	36.42
Nashville.....	137,985	4.3	60.0	59.5	55.4	1.0	135,683	459,068	3.1	26.7	34.8	48.0	42.0	74.0	53.3	7,725	23.89	33.87
Memphis.....	93,155	4.3	62.2	26.9	56.0	1.2	88,741	301,686	3.1	28.7	19.3	52.9	35.8	77.0	47.8	6,403	25.74	31.51
URBAN PLACES																		
Benton.....	4,938	4.5	62.8	20.0	61.1	1.4	4,792	16,460	3.1	16.1	5.4	61.7	57.7	79.0	45.7	6,300	37.61	42.93
Blountsville.....	38,959	4.5	72.9	63.0	26.9	5.3	38,036	127,054	3.0	22.3	28.7	47.0	40.4	71.8	50.7	5,367	23.89	31.67
Claarksville.....	4,775	4.5	63.6	21.2	54.3	1.2	4,577	12,122	3.0	17.6	51.0	51.0	38.6	66.5	59.6	4,782	23.15	32.15
Cleveland.....	3,636	4.5	45.2	71.2	59.3	1.9	3,505	12,737	2.9	17.9	28.7	51.0	37.8	74.3	50.9	5,052	21.94	30.87
Columbia.....	3,534	4.2	57.6	13.9	49.7	2.5	3,576	16,683	2.9	17.9	27.3	52.3	27.7	70.1	25.8	***	***	***
Dyersburg.....	3,077	4.0	67.1	25.3	39.1	1.8	3,440	10,787	2.8	18.2	27.2	46.7	15.8	66.3	31.5	5,324	16.77	26.20
Florence.....	3,105	4.7	77.1	30.4	72.9	2.3	2,979	10,666	3.3	15.9	2.1	60.8	48.7	80.9	45.3	5,998	32.18	41.40
Jackson.....	9,483	3.9	53.0	18.5	48.3	0.8	9,322	29,367	3.2	37.0	32.5	48.8	16.2	67.7	32.4	5,920	21.55	26.54
Johnson City.....	7,176	4.5	68.1	18.0	59.3	1.4	6,974	26,200	3.1	17.8	8.5	57.4	46.0	75.3	42.6	5,650	24.47	38.50
Kingsport.....	5,617	4.7	69.1	40.1	78.9	1.9	5,436	19,133	3.3	13.4	5.8	53.3	89.2	89.2	54.5	8,287	31.45	38.80
Kosciusko.....	35,860	4.2	64.8	15.9	62.0	1.5	34,823	118,866	3.1	15.1	52.7	38.2	42.1	74.1	40.0	5,292	28.38	33.43
Lakeview.....	116,458	4.0	58.9	26.4	56.7	1.6	113,252	379,251	3.0	23.2	34.6	47.8	45.8	78.5	56.0	7,967	29.34	32.78
Marion.....	5,460	4.4	64.1	22.6	54.0	1.4	5,253	12,787	3.1	22.7	28.6	47.7	28.5	73.0	45.6	4,916	22.42	34.27
Murfreesboro.....	5,961	4.1	55.5	24.5	51.2	1.1	5,346	12,787	3.1	22.7	18.1	50.7	40.7	70.7	42.4	5,565	22.32	33.99
Nashville.....	50,990	4.0	61.1	48.1	64.6	1.0	49,867	165,541	3.0	20.3	30.5	42.2	27.9	76.7	50.2	5,133	22.38	29.59
Oak Ridge (uninc.).....	10,307	4.0	62.6	99.8	99.1	20.2	7,928	28,574	3.4	15.9	3.4	60.8	60.8	98.9	49.13	37.95	37.95	37.95

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

January 9, 1953

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 43

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

TEXAS

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Texas increased 33 percent--from 1,804,884 in 1940 to 2,393,828 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 20 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Texas were greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

About one-sixth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented one-fifth of the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Texas, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 73 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 1 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 43 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 57 percent. Home ownership was about equally prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units and urban dwelling units, but with considerable variation among localities. For instance, in Bellaire, 88 percent of the occupied dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 37 percent in Galveston.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units.....	2,393,828	...	1,804,884	...	588,944	32.6
Occupied dwelling units.....	2,189,178	100.0	1,678,396	100.0	510,782	30.4
Owner occupied.....	1,241,540	56.7	717,682	42.8	523,858	73.0
Renter occupied.....	947,638	43.3	960,714	57.2	-13,076	-1.4

About one-third of the dwelling units in Texas were built between 1940 and 1950. In rural areas, 39 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with 22 percent of the farm units.

Approximately one-tenth of the occupied dwelling units in Texas had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Amarillo, for example, 43 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 4 percent in Laredo. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 15 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 3 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 40 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 20 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 66 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,800. Thirty-eight percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Texas. Definitions, explanations, end statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Texas will be available in February 1953 and may be purchased for \$1.75 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample.
Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete counts, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units									
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				
	Total number	Median number	In one-family detached houses	In one-family attached houses	With hot running water, with non-private water, with private water, with no water, with none*	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.0 or more persons per room	Occupied by non-white	Owner-occupied	With mean household size	With mean household size*	Mortgage-gaged	Percent mortgaged	Owner-occupied nonfarm	Renter-occupied nonfarm				
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Amarillo.....	28,493	4.1	72.5	40.9	78.3	2.6	26,805	84,397	2.9	18.8	4.6	58.8	40.2	87.4	56.5	7,119	44,93	43.7	77.1	
Austin.....	45,945	4.2	67.5	39.4	77.2	2.9	41,708	84,208	3.0	18.5	3.0	53.4	79.1	48.5	77.4	47,684	40.7	45.95	36.35	
Benton-Shore Arthur.....	59,866	4.3	74.8	31.3	66.6	2.6	56,776	190,455	3.1	18.5	22.2	55.9	11.6	84.2	41.1	6,724	35.35	39.09	35.25	
Corpus Christi.....	48,077	3.8	78.6	47.9	61.8	2.6	45,918	140,571	3.0	18.5	20.2	54.8	75.6	46.8	75.62	5,355	22.23	26.26	22.23	
Dallas.....	197,033	4.3	66.6	41.5	67.9	2.6	187,172	569,789	2.9	16.4	22.4	57.9	11.9	75.6	46.6	7,453	46.87	45.62	45.62	
Harris.....	405,577	4.3	74.3	31.3	63.6	2.6	343,279	1,285,510	3.0	18.5	23.1	55.1	57.3	23.4	55.3	5,355	22.23	26.26	22.23	
Brown Field.....																				
Texas.....	2,393,228	4.1	79.6	34.4	53.2	2.5	2,189,178	7,442,609	3.0	23.3	12.1	56.7	11.1	76.3	37.9	5,825	35.96	37.13	37.13	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....																				
Urban.....	1,385,771	4.1	76.2	36.9	59.3	2.7	1,340,969	6,160,099	3.0	22.8	12.1	56.4	12.7	76.3	37.9	5,825	35.96	37.13	37.13	
Rural.....	1,493,453	4.1	71.9	38.3	65.3	2.7	1,452,637	5,919,959	3.0	22.8	12.5	55.9	13.0	75.3	42.4	5,492	38.44	37.13	37.13	
Nonfarm.....	3,879,228	4.1	74.3	31.3	63.6	2.7	3,435,279	12,085,510	3.0	23.3	12.3	55.6	12.5	75.6	37.9	5,355	22.23	26.26	22.23	
Brown Field.....																				
URBAN PLACES																				
Abilene.....	14,321	4.0	72.0	37.9	76.7	3.0	13,624	42,699	2.9	19.1	5.4	54.4	12.3	85.3	46.1	7,221	43.95	45.9	45.75	
Alexis.....	4,621	3.5	87.4	53.0	55.0	4.3	4,129	16,328	3.5	37.4	2.8	63.8	17.4	64.4	39.7	3,737	42.59	45.98	44.63	
Amarillo.....	26,399	4.0	71.3	40.2	79.2	2.6	23,188	72,308	2.9	18.7	5.3	59.1	43.0	87.5	56.6	7,203	45.98	45.98	45.98	
Brownwood.....	37,242	4.3	74.3	37.7	72.4	2.6	35,485	115,318	2.9	18.5	12.4	51.8	12.1	81.3	43.9	8,173	41.89	41.78	41.78	
Cibolo.....	7,181	4.4	79.3	53.6	81.3	3.7	22,669	3,111	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	6,524	35.75	36.57	36.57	
Decatur.....	31,475	3.7	78.0	52.9	63.9	2.6	28,620	95,611	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	6,524	42.14	43.15	43.15	
El Paso.....	135,173	3.9	71.1	43.7	65.0	2.6	130,259	53,517	3.1	26.2	6.3	57.1	19.1	75.4	39.4	5,879	30.83	32.47	32.47	
Ft. Worth.....	40,146	4.2	74.9	30.1	55.3	2.6	37,680	121,560	2.9	18.7	16.0	56.4	9.1	75.4	39.4	5,879	30.83	32.47	32.47	
Gainesville.....	27,374	3.9	72.2	25.8	65.9	1.9	26,173	82,706	2.9	21.2	6.5	55.5	12.3	85.9	34.2	5,566	42.22	41.42	41.42	
Hawthorne.....																				
Laredo.....	14,117	3.3	81.0	28.7	72.4	3.8	12,823	54,867	3.0	45.3	0.3	56.4	3.9	47.6	16.0	2,178	14.94	18.39	18.39	
Lubbock.....	31,475	3.7	78.0	52.9	63.9	2.6	28,620	95,611	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	7,070	48.19	48.19	48.19	
McAllen.....	135,173	3.9	71.1	43.7	65.0	2.6	130,259	53,517	3.1	26.2	6.3	57.1	19.1	75.4	39.4	5,879	30.83	32.47	32.47	
San Angelo.....	30,200	3.7	78.0	52.9	63.9	2.6	28,620	95,611	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	7,070	48.19	48.19	48.19	
San Antonio.....	137,153	4.1	74.9	30.1	55.3	2.6	132,059	44,817	3.1	26.2	6.3	57.1	19.1	75.4	39.4	5,879	30.83	32.47	32.47	
Waco.....	40,146	4.2	74.9	30.1	55.3	2.6	37,680	121,560	2.9	18.7	16.0	56.4	9.1	75.4	39.4	5,879	30.83	32.47	32.47	
Wichita Falls.....	27,374	3.9	72.2	25.8	65.9	1.9	26,173	82,706	2.9	21.2	6.5	55.5	12.3	85.9	34.2	5,566	42.22	41.42	41.42	
URBAN PLACES																				
Bellaire.....	14,321	4.0	72.0	37.9	76.7	3.0	13,624	42,699	2.9	19.1	5.4	54.4	12.3	85.3	46.1	7,221	43.95	45.9	45.75	
Biggs Spring.....	5,186	5.3	74.7	57.7	70.9	4.8	4,127	16,940	3.1	27.3	4.7	56.4	11.1	75.5	41.31	40.75	41.31	40.75	40.75	
Bronco.....	6,170	3.5	73.7	46.5	67.8	4.8	17,772	34,244	3.0	24.3	3.3	49.5	8.5	85.2	61.15	6,150	41.81	40.75	40.75	
Austin.....	9,538	3.5	81.4	50.0	54.0	3.4	8,889	35,244	3.0	24.4	0.4	54.8	7.7	81.8	53.1	30.8	24,84	41.84	40.75	40.75
Brownwood.....	7,181	4.4	79.3	53.6	81.3	3.7	5,717	9,571	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	6,781	43.42	40.85	40.85	
Beaumont.....	29,294	4.2	68.4	29.4	64.8	2.5	27,622	91,291	3.1	17.9	29.3	51.3	10.9	79.7	37.8	6,793	35.31	36.04	36.04	
Bellville.....	5,186	5.3	96.0	89.6	98.9	4.8	10,144	3,313	3.5	0.5	54.4	12.3	85.3	41.7	8,155	43.23	43.23	43.23	43.23	
Big Spring.....	5,186	5.3	74.7	57.7	70.9	4.8	5,167	16,940	3.1	27.3	4.7	56.4	11.1	75.5	41.31	40.75	41.31	40.75	40.75	
Bronco.....	6,170	3.5	73.7	46.5	67.8	4.8	17,772	34,244	3.0	24.3	3.3	49.5	8.5	85.2	61.15	6,150	41.81	40.75	40.75	
Brownwood.....	7,181	4.4	79.3	53.6	81.3	3.7	5,717	9,571	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	6,781	43.42	40.85	40.85	
Beaumont.....	29,294	4.2	68.4	29.4	64.8	2.5	27,622	91,291	3.1	17.9	29.3	51.3	10.9	79.7	37.8	6,793	35.31	36.04	36.04	
Bellville.....	5,186	5.3	96.0	89.6	98.9	4.8	10,144	3,313	3.5	0.5	54.4	12.3	85.3	41.7	8,155	43.23	43.23	43.23	43.23	
Bigs Spring.....	5,186	5.3	74.7	57.7	70.9	4.8	5,167	16,940	3.1	27.3	4.7	56.4	11.1	75.5	41.31	40.75	41.31	40.75	40.75	
Bronco.....	6,170	3.5	73.7	46.5	67.8	4.8	17,772	34,244	3.0	24.3	3.3	49.5	8.5	85.2	61.15	6,150	41.81	40.75	40.75	
Brownwood.....	7,181	4.4	79.3	53.6	81.3	3.7	5,717	9,571	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	6,781	43.42	40.85	40.85	
Beaumont.....	29,294	4.2	68.4	29.4	64.8	2.5	27,622	91,291	3.1	17.9	29.3	51.3	10.9	79.7	37.8	6,793	35.31	36.04	36.04	
Bellville.....	5,186	5.3	96.0	89.6	98.9	4.8	10,144	3,313	3.5	0.5	54.4	12.3	85.3	41.7	8,155	43.23	43.23	43.23	43.23	
Bigs Spring.....	5,186	5.3	74.7	57.7	70.9	4.8	5,167	16,940	3.1	27.3	4.7	56.4	11.1	75.5	41.31	40.75	41.31	40.75	40.75	
Bronco.....	6,170	3.5	73.7	46.5	67.8	4.8	17,772	34,244	3.0	24.3	3.3	49.5	8.5	85.2	61.15	6,150	41.81	40.75	40.75	
Brownwood.....	7,181	4.4	79.3	53.6	81.3	3.7	5,717	9,571	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	6,781	43.42	40.85	40.85	
Beaumont.....	29,294	4.2	68.4	29.4	64.8	2.5	27,622	91,291	3.1	17.9	29.3	51.3	10.9	79.7	37.8	6,793	35.31	36.04	36.04	
Bellville.....	5,186	5.3	96.0	89.6	98.9	4.8	10,144	3,313	3.5	0.5	54.4	12.3	85.3	41.7	8,155	43.23	43.23	43.23	43.23	
Bigs Spring.....	5,186	5.3	74.7	57.7	70.9	4.8	5,167	16,940	3.1	27.3	4.7	56.4	11.1	75.5	41.31	40.75	41.31	40.75	40.75	
Bronco.....	6,170	3.5	73.7	46.5	67.8	4.8	17,772	34,244	3.0	24.3	3.3	49.5	8.5	85.2	61.15	6,150	41.81	40.75	40.75	
Brownwood.....	7,181	4.4	79.3	53.6	81.3	3.7	5,717	9,571	3.1	27.2	5.9	50.5	60.2	67.4	44.9	6,781	43.42	40.85	40.85	
Beaumont.....	29,294	4.2	68.4	29.4	64.8	2.5	27,622	91,291	3.1	17.9	29.3	51.3	10.9	79.7	37.8	6,793	35.31	36.04	36.04	
Bellville.....	5,186	5.3	96.0	89.6	98.9	4.8	10,144	3,313	3.5	0.5	54.4	12.3	85.3	41.7	8,155	43.23	43.23	43.23	43.23	
Bigs Spring																				

MARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STAN-
METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—
[Includes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;
for sample items, percent not shown where base is 100 or less.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm	
	Percent of dwelling units—					Persons of dwelling units—					Occupied dwelling units					Owner-occupied nonfarm						
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwelling-unit detached from building	In one-unit dwelling-unit detached from building	With bed	With running water with private or shared hot and cold water	Total number	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Occupied by white	Owner central heating	With central heating	With permanent roofinsulation	Percent engaged*	Median value of one-unit dwelling-unit (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)						
Dallas.....	141,228	4.4	59.3	35.4	78.7	2.5	135,240	420,074	2.8	13,6	11.7	53.0	19.6	87.3	63.6	8,090	45,69	46,54				
Del Rio.....	3,978	3.6	86.7	24.4	40.9	2.5	3,530	14,644	3.5	9.1	2.4	66.4	3.2	52.9	14.1	1,711	13,60	23,26				
Denison.....	5,952	4.3	69.2	15.6	47.9	2.5	5,139	11,532	3.6	12.7	1.6	52.3	4.3	54.3	14.1	1,711	13,60	23,46				
Denton.....	5,332	4.2	73.3	15.3	51.3	2.5	5,017	11,940	3.4	12.7	6.1	55.2	3.8	85.7	12.9	1,520	13,60	39,45				
Dallas-Brentwood.....	3,392	4.2	84.8	38.5	44.1	2.5	3,144	11,940	3.4	43.5	1.6	62.6	17.1	59.4	12.9	3,250	29,98	31,31				
El Paso.....	35,509	3.6	47.7	20.7	59.0	1.7	34,229	123,931	3.2	38.9	2.6	43.3	14.6	74.6	43.0	8,803	31,21	31,24				
Fort Worth.....	89,302	4.1	76.7	27.5	71.5	2.7	85,538	166,407	2.8	17.4	12.5	59.4	17.4	85.9	47.0	6,604	43,00	42,96				
Gainesville.....	3,932	4.3	62.2	26.4	70.6	3.1	3,722	11,163	2.7	13.6	7.7	64.5	5.3	70.8	28.3	3,648	32,07	33,50				
Gainesville.....	20,702	4.0	56.4	21.5	64.5	2.8	19,707	62,714	3.2	17.9	8.6	56.2	15.9	81.3	30.3	8,161	37,29	37,29				
Gainesville.....	3,188	4.5	69.5	78.1	2.1	3,077	10,534	3.0	13.0	3.8	77.7	11.9	96.9	78.4	7,221	45,64	45,64					
Gainesville.....	4,536	3.9	52.2	18.2	82.5	1.4	4,308	14,509	2.0	20.5	4.0	70.7	11.8	89.6	82.3	6,760	45,88	45,79				
Gainesville.....	5,012	4.2	62.2	68.0	55.2	1.4	4,826	14,490	2.7	15.5	17.8	57.1	11.4	76.3	31.5	6,716	45,49	45,49				
Halligan.....	7,022	3.6	34.6	71.7	40.1	5.8	6,327	22,907	3.2	33.5	2.7	49.8	1.5	64.5	46.4	6,300	32,99	31,57				
Hightower Park.....	4,642	6.0	78.2	78.2	91.3	1.2	4,174	11,401	2.4	4.7	14.6	62.9	41.6	85.0	18,001	91,184	91,184					
Houston.....	191,681	4.6	64.7	36.3	74.1	3.6	180,852	577,906	2.9	19.7	50.1	20.8	86.4	49.4	7,846	44,82	45,40					
Kosciusko.....	4,570	3.8	80.5	42.2	56.1	1.9	4,314	16,082	3.4	31.4	6.4	62.3	4.0	72.5	25.6	3,482	47,23	38,14				
Kosciusko.....	3,374	3.3	65.7	45.1	60.5	1.6	3,150	11,045	3.9	45.1	6.5	51.6	3.1	72.7	14.0	3,200	47,67	47,67				
Laredo.....	12,339	3.3	54.2	33.4	59.2	1.1	11,750	51,176	3.9	45.1	6.3	52.6	4.1	47.7	16.4	2,244	14,80	14,80				
Laredo.....	8,122	4.2	65.1	25.3	59.5	2.6	7,535	21,974	2.9	28.6	57.6	57.6	31.2	72.7	12.8	3,125	13,24	13,24				
Laredo.....	21,815	3.7	72.7	75.1	55.8	1.7	20,603	67,585	3.0	25.7	6.1	52.6	7.8	84.7	34.2	8,327	48,76	48,76				
Lufkin.....	5,094	4.3	62.9	35.0	57.4	3.4	4,773	14,993	2.9	15.3	27.1	55.8	11.1	68.3	39.9	6,365	27,42	31,98				
Mcallen.....	5,768	3.8	84.4	51.8	52.1	4.5	5,217	19,774	3.0	33.8	0.8	60.6	11.1	63.8	33.8	5,353	28,16	31,04				
Mcallen.....	3,511	4.2	75.3	30.8	56.0	1.4	3,420	10,473	2.7	17.6	9.2	59.7	4.1	73.8	25.8	4,443	30,36	33,44				
Mcallen.....	7,022	4.2	85.0	26.1	70.4	2.6	6,651	21,441	2.9	18.2	42.1	61.2	5.1	61.2	23.8	3,622	27,12	27,12				
Mercado.....	2,675	2.4	72.8	4.2	62.7	2.4	2,617	9,932	3.5	49.7	42.1	61.2	9.4	36.2	14,19	3,067	14,19	14,19				
McAllen.....	6,987	3.4	72.2	87.4	74.7	3.7	6,568	21,525	3.0	22.5	7.9	54.2	20.7	82.9	37.2	8,709	53,95	52,36				
Manton.....	2,940	3.4	64.4	35.5	52.5	1.4	2,621	10,621	3.6	45.5	0.6	59.9	6.0	49.5	17.0	2,945	22,46	22,46				
McGregorches.....	3,675	4.4	81.2	27.3	51.4	1.4	3,561	11,766	3.0	17.9	30.1	49.4	8.9	62.5	17.0	3,386	21,80	24,69				
New Braunfels.....	3,742	4.1	84.6	33.3	56.7	1.4	3,484	12,116	3.0	23.8	1.7	65.2	24.9	76.7	27.7	6,499	32,06	34,08				
New Braunfels.....	9,577	3.5	64.6	64.6	76.2	2.5	28,935	3.0	29.0	2.5	55.1	25.6	88.1	57.7	7,138	54,93	50,67					
Ongate.....	6,633	4.0	61.3	53.7	59.9	5.5	5,056	20,496	3.2	12.1	3.1	50.0	9.0	89.7	22.2	5,645	36,43	36,43				
Ongate.....	4,000	3.3	62.6	59.1	59.1	3.5	3,916	13,963	2.6	15.2	31.3	59.4	22.8	62,39	28,37	4,000	38,34	38,34				
Parish.....	5,177	3.9	74.4	74.4	74.4	4.7	5,037	16,464	2.6	18.5	44.3	62.8	43.2	61.5	36.7	5,037	27,75	27,36				
Parish.....	7,187	4.5	72.5	79.3	72.5	3.1	6,377	22,388	3.4	22.3	57.2	57.2	10.8	95.6	74,38	53,64	53,64					
Plainsview.....	4,460	3.9	74.3	74.3	74.3	2.4	4,180	13,624	3.0	23.5	4.4	56.3	19.3	83.7	31.4	6,720	47,37	47,36				
Plainsview.....	17,756	3.9	62.7	74.3	67.7	2.1	17,007	63,693	3.0	18.2	5.5	56.3	14.1	83.7	31.4	14,11	47,36	47,36				
Fort Worth.....	18,275	3.9	63.6	43.4	67.7	2.0	17,007	63,693	3.0	18.2	5.5	56.3	14.1	83.7	31.4	14,11	47,36	47,36				
San Angelo.....	21,087	4.1	69.1	58.5	62.7	2.0	21,087	63,693	3.0	18.2	5.5	56.3	14.1	83.7	31.4	14,11	47,36	47,36				
San Antonio.....	5,087	3.3	64.1	62.7	62.7	2.0	4,421	20,266	3.4	12.0	7.3	56.3	14.1	83.7	31.4	6,311	48,48	48,48				
San Benito.....	3,523	3.3	63.5	63.5	63.5	2.0	3,523	13,063	3.4	12.0	7.3	56.3	14.1	83.7	31.4	6,311	23,14	23,14				

1 Includes occupied trailers.

2 Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

3 Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950--Con.

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units									
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit structures detached from main dwelling units	With hot running water, with private non-delinquient and bath, dated for rent and has dilapidated	With hot running water, with private non-delinquient and bath, dated for rent and has dilapidated	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owed occupied nonwhite	Owner occupied nonwhite	With central heating	With mechanical refrigerating	With more than one dwelling unit	Median value of dwelling structures (dollars)	Median value of dwelling structures (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm			
URBAN PLACES—Con.																				
Shoemakers.....	6,742	4.3	67.3	22.2	65.7	1,8	6,534	19,428	2.7	13.4	9.1	37.9	11.0	73.2	26.6	5,182	35,461	37.32	5,182	35,461
Shipyards.....	3,853	2.8	84.6	71.5	50.1	4.6	3,516	11,300	4.3	6.0	4.5	63.1	6.8	65.1	26.2	6,971	62,664	41.45	6,971	62,664
Short-order houses.....	4,150	3.8	69.4	35.2	64.0	2.9	4,125	13,416	2.9	24.3	4.5	55.8	2.0	81.8	34.4	5,063	43,255	36,807	5,063	43,255
Shops.....	7,150	4.2	72.5	35.4	69.6	2.0	7,482	24,000	2.8	18.2	17.8	36.8	8.7	78.8	45.6	6,203	36,897	37.74	6,203	36,897
Taverns.....	3,132	4.2	77.5	23.9	43.8	1.2	3,017	9,342	2.7	18.3	34.8	59.7	2.8	59.3	25.4	3,619	23,711	28.68	3,619	23,711
Tearooms.....	8,204	4.1	66.8	54.6	61.7	2.4	7,013	24,389	2.8	15.2	26.4	51.4	7.5	70.8	30.7	5,192	31,133	31.80	5,192	31,133
Tenn City.....	5,581	4.0	69.1	77.4	9.5	4.5	4,434	15,743	3.4	20.3	12.2	51.2	6.8	88.9	46.0	5,049	46,460	46.59	5,049	46,460
Tykes.....	12,488	4.5	73.8	29.0	68.0	2.5	11,881	30,704	2.9	19.2	12.1	55.1	7.1	87.6	45.0	7,068	34,726	34.76	7,068	34,726
University Park.....	7,868	5.6	72.1	96.2	96.2	1.2	7,625	22,037	2.7	4.4	3.7	68.3	40.2	95.1	53.4	17,064	85,811	51.08	17,064	85,811
Wormen.....	4,163	4.0	82.4	24.3	68.8	1.2	3,983	12,537	2.8	21.5	8.9	54.8	14.4	78.2	33.8	5,684	33,489	35.08	5,684	33,489
Watertown.....	4,689	4.2	74.9	29.2	64.8	1.1	4,722	15,660	3.0	20.0	12.7	51.0	12.7	74.5	22.6	6,982	39,442	39,71	6,982	39,442
Waukesha.....	25,922	4.1	64.2	71.2	65.9	3.3	24,619	77,182	2.8	17.4	16.4	54.2	13.3	78.4	44.8	6,502	38,69	33,43	6,502	38,69
West University Place.....	3,455	4.2	75.2	29.5	64.6	0.6	3,373	10,606	2.8	19.5	20.7	58.2	11.8	72.6	24.4	5,104	26,890	31,23	5,104	26,890
White Settlement.....	5,193	5.5	93.1	49.9	96.2	1.1	5,106	10,627	3.2	2.4	0.2	89.1	42.0	99.1	74.2	12,980	74,422	74,04	12,980	74,422
Wichita Falls.....	2,987	3.9	37.9	96.2	82.1	2.7	2,854	10,622	2.7	2.4	0.2	88.7	20.1	93.4	52.7	4,476	37,133	42.46	4,476	37,133
Wichita Falls.....	18,579	3.9	67.2	24.4	67.3	1.9	17,483	55,161	2.8	20.1	9.0	50.0	13.4	83.1	52.7	5,976	44,105	42.46	5,976	44,105

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

August 6, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 44

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

UTAH

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Utah increased 36 percent--from 147,291 in 1940 to 200,554 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 25 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Utah were greater than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about nine-tenths of all the dwelling units in the State, and farm dwelling units in rural areas presented the remaining one-tenth.

These and other summary data for Utah, based on final tabulations from the 1950 Census of Housing were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 44 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase, from 61 percent in 1940 to 65 percent in 1950. This State had one of the highest home ownership rates among all the States in both 1940 and 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Eighty-seven percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner occupied, as compared with 62 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	200,554	...	147,291	...	53,263	36.2
Occupied dwelling units...	187,825	100.0	139,487	100.0	48,338	34.7
Owner occupied.....	122,600	65.3	85,225	61.1	37,375	43.9
Renter occupied.....	65,225	34.7	54,262	38.9	10,963	20.2

Approximately three-tenths of the dwelling units in Utah were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest

concentration of new units was in urban areas, where 30 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (23 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

More than one-half of the occupied dwelling units in Utah had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Salt Lake City, for example, 81 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 63 percent in Ogden. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 68 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 26 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 82 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 60 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 88 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$36 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,400. Forty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Utah. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Utah will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,¹ AND URBAN PLACES² OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 26-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-point items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;

for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500]

Area	All dwelling units				Occupied dwelling units						Owner-occupied nonfarm							
	Percent of dwelling units—				Percent of dwelling units—						Renter-occupied nonfarm							
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwelling units	In structures with more than one unit per dwelling unit	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With one or more persons nonwhite	Owner occupied	With central heating*	With mechanical heating	Percent mortgaged ³	Median value of one-unit dwelling unit (dollars)					
Utah.....	20,554	4.2	70.8	29.1	79,8	2.2	187,425	673,447	3.3	23.4	1.4	65.3	54.8	42.7	7,609	36,08	39,93	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	179,259	4.2	68.3	29.8	82.1	2.0	169,334	592,938	3.3	22.8	1.4	62.9	57.9	88.3	42.7	7,039	36,08	39,93
Urban.....	132,377	4.2	62.2	30.4	88.1	2.0	127,323	436,568	3.2	21.5	1.2	61.6	67.7	90.4	47.7	8,255	36,03	41,98
Rural nonfarm.....	46,882	4.2	85.3	28.2	64.9	1.9	41,811	155,970	3.5	27.0	1.7	66.7	28.0	82.1	27.1	5,019	32,80	32,26
Rural farm.....	21,195	4.6	92.8	23.2	60.1	4.1	18,491	80,293	4.0	29.1	2.2	87.4	24.6	86.8	***	***	***	***
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																		
Ogden.....	26,956	4.1	61.5	33.1	85.4	2.2	23,594	81,717	3.2	21.5	2.3	59.1	54.4	90.5	41.6	7,417	33,04	37,69
Salt Lake City.....	81,490	4.3	63.9	26.6	87.8	1.8	78,377	267,911	3.2	21.5	1.2	61.9	73.0	91.3	50.5	8,865	41.79	44,35
URBAN PLACES																		
Logan.....	5,011	3.9	51.6	30.2	87.6	2.2	4,828	15,798	3.0	22.8	0.3	51.8	66.3	87.0	36.3	7,634	32.62	33,76
Ogden.....	17,205	4.1	59.8	24.6	87.6	1.8	16,980	55,667	3.0	17.9	2.5	60.0	63.3	91.4	40.6	7,933	37.31	40,19
Provo.....	7,650	4.1	57.6	36.1	88.0	2.1	7,338	26,079	3.3	22.4	0.2	62.4	68.6	87.8	53.2	8,863	42,42	41,52
Salt Lake City.....	56,091	4.3	54.6	20.3	90.5	1.8	52,361	175,838	3.0	19.5	1.4	56.9	81.0	91.4	51.7	9,586	44,28	46,00

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties without business.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

May 26, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 45

H- USING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

VERMONT

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Vermont increased 15 percent--from 106,362 in 1940 to 121,911 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was only 5 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Vermont were far below those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about one-half of all the dwelling units in the State and urban dwelling units represented about one-third. But one-fourth of the rural-nonfarm dwelling units were vacant; most of these were seasonal units.

These and other summary data for Vermont, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 23 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 56 percent in 1940 to 61 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. More than four-fifths, 83 percent, of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, compared with less than one-half, 47 percent, of the occupied urban dwelling units.

Just 9 percent of the dwelling units in the State were built since 1940. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm houses, where 12 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas where only 5 percent were built during the decade.

Approximately one-half of all the occupied dwelling units in Vermont had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment between rural-farm and urban dwelling units, 37 percent for rural-farm and 66 percent for urban.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of a better quality than farm housing in the State. About 69 percent of the nonfarm units compared with 50 percent of the farm units were not dilapidated and had all of the following plumbing facilities: hot running water and private flush toilet and bath; 84 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$28 a month. The median value of l-dwelling-unit owner-occupied nonfarm properties was approximately \$6,300. About two-fifths of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Change, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units....	121,911	...	106,362	...	15,549	14.6
Occupied dwelling units....	103,496	100.0	92,435	100.0	11,061	12.0
Owner occupied.....	63,397	61.3	51,709	55.9	11,688	22.6
Renter occupied.....	40,099	38.7	40,726	44.1	-627	-1.5

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Vermont. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Vermont will be available in June 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.25 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1960

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 600; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 600.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units									
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				
	Total number of rooms	Median number of rooms	In one-unit dwellings	In detached structures	In attached structures	With hot running water, with non-sewage disposal system, built in 1940 or later*	With hot running water, with non-sewage disposal system, built in 1940 or later*	With hot running water, with non-sewage disposal system, built in 1940 or later*	With hot running water, with non-sewage disposal system, built in 1940 or later*	With 1.0 or more persons per room	Ocupied by one or more persons per room	Owner occupied	With central heating*	With medium or more than medium rent*	Owner occupied	With central heating*	With medium or more than medium rent*	Owner occupied	Renter-occupied nonfarm	
Vermont.....	123,911	5.8	65.8	9.0	65.3	1.6	103,495	363,298	3.1	9.0	0.1	61.3	51.4	77.5	41.1	6,277	27,54	41,122		
Urban and rural* nonfarm.....	99,387	5.6	61.0	9.9	68.7	1.5	83,981	285,232	3.0	9.1	0.2	56.3	54.8	78.9	41.1	6,277	27,54	41,122		
Urban.....	42,632	5.3	39.3	6.0	84.1	1.6	59,000	184,250	3.0	8.2	0.2	46.9	66.3	83.9	47.4	8,745	31,77	44,71		
Rural home farm.....	59,255	5.8	75.8	12.1	57.8	2.4	44,010	144,222	3.0	9.0	0.1	44.6	44.6	74.3	36.8	5,086	21,55	35,63		
Rural farm.....	22,426	7.4	87.6	5.1	47.5	2.1	19,513	60,765	3.0	8.6	0.1	62.6	56.9	71.6	***	***	***	***		
URBAN PLACES																				
Burke.....	3,292	5.2	36.1	4.0	85.9	1.0	3,202	10,723	3.0	8.2	0.2	47.7	71.3	86.0	44.0	8,264	28,07	42,38		
Burlington.....	9,345	4.9	35.5	9.4	81.2	1.6	8,804	29,985	3.0	11.0	0.3	41.8	67.8	82.8	54.5	11,638	36,64	45,70		
Rutland.....	5,447	5.7	44.5	4.9	91.5	1.9	5,239	17,252	3.0	6.1	0.3	53.6	69.2	77.1	46.7	f 961	33,43	47,91		

1. Includes occupied trailers.

2. Not referred to as units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

3. Refers to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

November 25, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 46

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

VIRGINIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Virginia increased 37 percent--from 659,787 in 1940 to 901,483 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 24 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Virginia were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

One-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about three-tenths and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Virginia, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 52 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 49 percent in 1940 to 55 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-two percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 47 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	901,483	...	659,787	...	241,696	36.6
Occupied dwelling units...	845,259	100.0	627,532	100.0	217,727	34.7
Owner occupied.....	465,933	55.1	306,688	48.9	159,245	51.9
Renter occupied.....	379,326	44.9	320,844	51.1	58,482	18.2

Approximately three-tenths of the dwelling units in Virginia were built between 1940 and 1950. In

rural areas, 32 percent of the nonfarm dwelling units were built during the forties, as compared with only 15 percent of the farm units.

More than one-third of the occupied dwelling units in Virginia had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Alexandria, for example, 86 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 29 percent in Suffolk. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 54 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 8 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 35 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 17 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 72 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$32 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,600. Forty-four percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Virginia. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Virginia will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.70 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete counts, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Renter-occupied nonfarm		
	Percent of dwelling units—					Population in dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—					Occupied nonfarm					Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In general-dwelling structures built in 1940 or later*	With hot running water, with private toilet and bath, and not dilapidated	With hot running water, with private toilet and bath, and not dilapidated	Total number	Median number of persons per room	With 1.01 or more persons per room	Owner occupied by white	With one-unit dwelling structure‡	With central heating*	With mobile refrigerators*	With mobile heating*	With mobile heating*	With mobile refrigerators*	With mobile heating*	Median value of one-unit dwelling structure (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm	Renter-occupied nonfarm				
Virginia.....	901,483	4.7	71.5	29.0	49.7	1.7	845,259	3,143,796	3.3	19.1	19.4	55.1	34.9	72.6	43.5	6,951	31,71	39,16	39,16				
Urban and rural non-farm.....	720,232	4.6	65.4	57.8	1.8	679,138	2,412,018	3.2	17.6	19.1	41.5	76.6	43.5	6,381	31,71	39,16	39,16						
Urban.....	444,539	4.6	65.6	52.6	1.9	369,995	1,452,304	3.1	14.9	19.6	46.8	80.6	50.6	7,849	35,96	42.91	42.91						
Rural non-farm.....	275,444	4.5	87.6	31.8	32.6	31.8	1,000	1,000	3.4	24.1	18.2	58.4	82.7	51.6	5,542	18.73	27.19	27.19					
Rural farm.....	181,231	5.5	95.7	15.0	17.0	145,121	929,736	3.9	25.3	20.7	71.8	55.9	55.9	***	***	***	***	***	***				
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																							
Norfolk-Petersburg.....	119,623	4.7	50.1	38.6	67.7	2.3	113,532	389,775	3.1	16.0	26.7	42.7	39.2	76.4	30.9	6,659	34,30	40.09	40.09				
Richmond.....	94,539	4.7	54.8	26.3	67.8	2.2	90,987	310,290	3.1	13.5	54.7	53.7	82.8	44.1	7,009	40,15	40,15	40,15					
Roanoke.....	37,990	4.9	66.0	22.3	67.7	1.5	36,660	126,904	3.1	13.5	63.2	59.7	88.0	44.1	7,008	33,63	41,23	41,23					
URBAN PLACES																							
Alexandria.....	18,723	4.5	28.8	49.8	90.3	1.6	18,351	60,298	3.0	9.7	9.1	27.6	96.4	96.0	62.9	13,757	59,04	63.16	63.16				
Bristol.....	4,266	4.7	69.9	23.3	57.8	2.3	4,120	15,107	3.3	19.5	7.1	63.9	53.0	53.0	44.9	5,944	31,10	36,49	36,49				
Charlottesville.....	7,277	4.7	61.5	20.7	73.0	1.3	7,074	23,873	3.0	13.1	16.2	47.8	79.8	44.0	7,700	31,74	32,53	32,53					
Danville.....	10,218	4.1	52.8	14.8	49.5	1.0	10,004	33,875	3.0	19.8	27.5	44.3	38.4	70.9	36.8	6,030	21,36	31,11	31,11				
Fredrickburg.....	3,328	4.8	52.3	15.2	3,248	68.1	1.5	3,248	10,722	3.0	12.8	14.0	38.0	57.2	92.3	31.7	10,111	43,44	43,44	43,44			
Harrisonburg.....	2,907	5.2	58.1	1.4	2,908	69.3	1.2	2,908	9,919	3.0	9.7	5.9	45.1	56.4	86.2	26.4	10,222	33,23	42,74	42,74			
Hopewell.....	2,903	5.1	63.7	13.7	60.9	1.4	2,823	9,919	3.1	13.7	13.5	50.7	50.7	50.7	21.96	10,212	24,79	25,51	25,51				
Lynchburg.....	13,831	4.5	56.5	11.9	13,466	3.1	45,466	17,659	3.0	16.2	20.1	50.7	50.7	80.5	43.1	5,944	30,35	39,89	39,89				
Murfreesboro.....	4,255	4.5	70.0	33.0	53.8	0.9	4,120	17,659	3.1	25.3	42.7	50.7	50.7	80.5	43.1	5,944	31,10	36,75	36,75				
Roanoke.....	12,390	4.5	43.5	24.1	69.1	3.0	11,727	40,018	3.0	13.4	40.2	37.4	72.5	43.2	5,944	31,10	36,75	36,75					
Newman Park-Hickory Park (uninc.).....	4,497	4.0	26.8	92.2	91.5	7.2	3,926	14,927	3.5	25.6	97.2	25.7	9.5	76.8	73.7	6,222	33,11	39,27	39,27				
South Norfolk.....	56,122	4.3	36.9	25.6	66.3	2.0	54,034	176,532	3.0	14.6	30.3	30.3	25.7	51.3	75.2	7,054	34,00	37,53	37,53				
Pittsburgh.....	10,264	4.2	46.6	9.2	41.9	1.2	9,985	34,129	3.0	19.3	38.9	42.9	26.1	63.7	44.4	5,342	13,22	25,25	25,25				
Portsmouth.....	20,796	4.6	44.4	26.7	65.4	2.1	20,069	68,491	3.1	15.9	39.1	42.6	30.2	72.7	44.4	5,342	28,24	32,96	32,96				
Ridgeway.....	66,434	4.6	41.7	34.8	67.1	1.2	65,49	215,501	3.0	13.6	28.0	44.8	52.7	81.3	49.4	8,265	28,34	38,49	38,49				
Roxbury (uninc.).....	4,538	4.9	68.7	51.2	90.1	3.6	4,300	14,036	3.0	6.6	11.5	63.2	70.2	93.6	65.3	8,480	54,69	65,86	65,86				
Riverside.....	27,219	5.0	59.1	18.3	73.2	1.6	26,426	49,524	3.1	11.9	13.1	52.7	69.1	69.1	44.9	7,192	34,00	42,38	42,38				
South Norfolk Park (uninc.).....	3,054	4.6	54.7	29.7	62.6	1.7	2,977	16,376	3.2	16.8	19.0	51.3	67.1	67.1	43.2	7,192	34,00	39,75	39,75				
Staunton.....	5,075	5.1	54.1	11.5	57.5	1.3	4,867	12,056	3.2	11.2	11.8	49.8	55.0	55.0	31.43	7,862	31,43	39,75	39,75				
Southfork.....	3,664	5.1	54.1	11.5	57.5	1.3	3,565	12,056	3.2	11.2	32.4	39.1	71.2	71.2	30.5	6,909	26,75	36,68	36,68				
Waynesboro.....	3,927	4.9	72.5	29.0	61.7	1.8	3,428	12,728	3.2	14.4	61.0	61.0	61.0	61.0	61.0	7,234	34,22	42,75	42,75				
Winchester.....	4,335	5.3	54.0	15.4	68.1	1.4	4,204	13,436	2.9	8.7	7.4	41.8	65.2	81.5	31.4	9,605	28,98	39,44	39,44				

¹ Includes occupied buildings.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-unit dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-unit dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 17, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 47

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WASHINGTON

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State.)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Washington increased 37 percent--from 590,439 in 1940 to 809,701 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 37 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Washington were considerably greater than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Approximately 11 percent of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented 26 percent and the remaining dwelling units were in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Washington, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 56 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase in the 10 years, from 57 percent in 1940 to 65 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Eighty percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 61 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	809,701	...	590,439	...	219,262	37.1
Occupied dwelling units...	735,746	100.0	537,397	100.0	198,409	36.9
Owner occupied.....	477,897	65.0	306,174	57.0	171,723	56.1
Renter occupied.....	257,849	35.0	231,163	43.0	26,686	11.5

About three-tenths of the dwelling units in Washington were built between 1940 and 1950. The

largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 35 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

More than two-fifths of the occupied dwelling units in Washington had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Seattle, for example, 74 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 39 percent in Bellingham. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 56 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 18 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 67 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 58 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 87 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$37 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,200. Forty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Washington. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Washington will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.40 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where base is less than 0.1. For complete item, percent and median not shown where base is less than 500;

for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units										Owner-occupied nonfarm			
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Median value of dwelling-unit structures ¹		Median gross monthly rent (dollars)		Renter-occupied nonfarm				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	With hot water running, nonprivate	In one-structure dwelling-unit structures ²	Total number	Population in dwelling units	Median	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied while	With central heat-heating*	With mechanical heat-heating*	Owner-occupied while	With central heat-heating*	With mechanical heat-heating*	Percent mortgaged ³	Median value of dwelling-unit structures ¹	Median gross monthly rent (dollars)							
Washington.....	809,703	4.3	77.6	29.7	78.8	2.7	735,766	2,623,893	2.7	11.3	2.0	65.0	43.5	81.6	43.0	7,169	36.27	43.46	36.27	43.46				
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	719,925	4.3	75.2	30.7	81.4	2.7	659,971	1,084	2.7	10.8	2.1	63.2	46.5	81.4	43.5	7,169	36.27	43.46	36.27	43.46				
Urban.....	510,959	4.4	68.8	29.2	81.4	2.8	484,466	1,220	2.6	10.8	2.3	61.2	55.6	84.4	46.5	7,150	37.53	44.04	37.53	44.04				
Rural nonfarm.....	198,966	4.1	90.9	34.6	67.0	2.4	175,779	550,571	2.8	16.3	1.4	68.8	21.1	75.7	32.8	5,751	37.09	42.16	37.09	42.16				
Rural farms.....	69,776	4.8	96.6	21.3	56.1	2.8	76,701	272,809	3.2	15.7	1.7	79.8	17.8	77.4	***	5,960	35.14	43.31	35.14	43.31				
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																								
Seattle.....	252,396	4.4	69.2	31.0	86.9	2.6	236,288	690,830	2.6	8.3	3.2	63.2	63.8	84.5	49.1	8,758	38.93	44.65	38.93	44.65				
Spokane.....	72,305	4.3	73.0	25.4	83.5	2.2	68,949	200,142	2.7	10.5	1.0	66.4	60.4	85.0	46.4	7,215	37.39	42.01	37.39	42.01				
Tacoma.....	85,258	4.5	76.2	27.4	83.1	2.3	78,950	237,600	2.7	9.6	1.9	66.5	42.3	85.0	42.3	6,960	35.14	43.31	35.14	43.31				
URBAN PLACES ²																								
Aberdeen.....	7,029	4.6	68.0	8.7	83.5	2.1	6,704	18,919	2.5	5.9	0.7	61.6	25.9	69.1	36.0	5,786	36.16	42.54	36.16	42.54				
Bellingham.....	11,164	4.6	78.2	11.2	87.2	1.6	11,154	25,748	2.5	7.4	0.2	71.7	38.7	76.6	37.0	5,988	36.19	42.54	36.19	42.54				
Berettown.....	10,434	4.4	51.2	47.2	56.4	2.2	9,183	22,193	2.5	7.4	2.5	67.7	44.6	95.5	43.2	7,352	36.20	42.45	36.20	42.45				
Everett.....	12,508	4.4	63.2	17.2	83.1	3.9	11,726	32,925	2.5	7.8	0.4	58.9	44.5	61.2	34.9	7,122	37.09	42.36	37.09	42.36				
Houma.....	3,964	4.8	82.3	3.2	73,766	2.0	10,865	2.6	6.1	0.1	67.4	13.2	74.3	35.9	5,478	33.86	38.76	33.86	38.76					
Kennebunk.....	3,454	3.8	78.6	75.0	6.5	3.0	3,040	10,035	3.0	26.0	0.1	66.1	29.4	86.6	65.0	6,133	81.92	49.39	81.92	49.39				
Lancaster.....	6,429	4.2	77.2	43.2	88.7	2.9	20,086	203,005	3.0	12.7	0.3	66.4	22.9	87.6	65.0	7,227	38.22	44.35	38.22	44.35				
Longview.....	5,429	4.2	78.6	75.4	88.7	2.8	5,529	15,398	2.6	5.5	0.1	65.4	22.9	87.6	65.0	6,582	46.58	56.31	46.58	56.31				
Olympia.....	5,489	4.6	68.2	2.8	88.2	2.8	5,529	15,398	2.6	5.5	0.1	65.4	22.9	87.6	65.0	6,219	46.58	56.31	46.58	56.31				
Pasco.....	3,459	4.6	60.2	60.3	77.4	4.7	3,264	9,893	2.7	17.9	11.7	68.9	88.2	87.2	46.7	5,591	44.63	48.32	44.63	48.32				
Port Angeles.....	3,902	4.4	87.4	20.4	91.2	1.9	3,709	11,033	2.7	7.8	0.5	71.2	35.5	75.3	43.8	6,390	34.18	44.69	34.18	44.69				
Pullman.....	3,785	3.8	42.3	39.4	83.7	2.1	2,702	7,981	2.7	12.6	0.6	70.5	37.5	83.0	38.1	6,024	38.44	47.06	38.44	47.06				
Pullman.....	3,703	3.8	43.8	31.2	83.7	2.1	2,369	9,832	2.6	8.6	0.7	70.5	13.2	76.4	31.1	6,053	31.73	43.46	31.73	43.46				
Renton.....	5,220	4.0	44.9	1.2	88.9	1.2	4,889	15,859	2.1	17.5	0.2	70.8	39.8	88.6	48.1	6,538	37.22	43.49	37.22	43.49				
Richtland (uninc.).....	5,723	5.2	66.0	99.6	99.5	1.3	5,644	20,818	3.6	6.4	0.1	67.4	98.9	86.6	30.16	47.72	30.16	47.72	30.16	47.72				
Seattle.....	160,872	4.4	59.1	20.0	88.2	2.2	154,582	430,511	2.4	6.2	4.8	56.5	72.8	84.7	46.9	9,231	39.28	44.21	39.28	44.21				
Spokane.....	55,139	4.3	67.1	22.5	87.0	2.3	52,994	153,711	2.6	8.7	1.2	63.2	66.3	83.3	45.9	7,327	37.51	45.97	37.51	45.97				
Tacoma.....	50,112	4.5	69.3	20.3	83.7	2.0	50,112	139,711	2.6	8.7	1.2	64.5	67.4	84.3	45.9	7,000	34.61	42.57	34.61	42.57				
Vancouver.....	13,777	4.3	74.2	51.4	80.4	1.8	12,648	39,913	2.1	10.7	2.7	65.1	42.7	90.1	48.1	7,040	32.75	43.38	32.75	43.38				
Walla Walla.....	7,605	4.3	67.2	20.8	86.3	1.2	7,240	20,597	2.5	9.7	0.3	68.9	50.4	91.1	42.1	8,463	39.84	44.52	39.84	44.52				
Wenatchee.....	4,703	4.0	65.1	15.6	81.2	2.5	4,500	13,715	2.6	8.7	0.3	53.7	40.8	86.6	30.16	8,022	34.18	44.26	34.18	44.26				
Yakima.....	13,796	4.3	72.4	20.7	83.8	3.6	12,617	37,204	2.6	9.9	1.8	63.7	51.6	85.3	47.5	7,859	40.45	44.95	40.45	44.95				

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

³ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

October 14, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 48

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WEST VIRGINIA

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in West Virginia increased 18 percent—from 459,725 in 1940 to 544,075 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 5 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in West Virginia were smaller than those for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted more than four-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State, and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fifth.

These and other summary data for West Virginia, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 47 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, and the proportion of home ownership increased from 44 percent to 55 percent in the 10-year period. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Seventy-nine percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner-occupied, as compared with 52 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940
(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent
All dwelling units...	544,075	...	459,725	...	84,350	18.3
Occupied dwelling units...	518,281	100.0	444,815	100.0	73,466	16.5
Owner occupied.....	284,924	55.0	194,409	43.7	90,515	46.6
Renter occupied.....	233,357	45.0	250,406	56.3	-17,049	-6.8

Approximately one-fifth of the dwelling units in West Virginia were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm houses in rural areas, where 23 percent of

the dwelling units were built during the forties; the proportion of new units among rural-farm and urban dwelling units was about 16 percent.

One-fourth of the occupied dwelling units in West Virginia had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural farm dwelling units. In Weirton, for example, 85 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 26 percent in South Parkersburg. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 44 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 8 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. Fifty-two percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 13 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 77 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$22 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$5,500. Thirty-three percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for West Virginia. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for West Virginia will be available in October 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For composite-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 1,000.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units									
	Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—					Percent of dwelling units—				
	Total number	Median number of rooms	In one-dwelling-unit rooms detached in 1940 or later*	With hot water, private bath, and built-in fixtures	Without hot water, seasonal, nonresidential, or dilapidated	Total number	In dwelling units	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1.0 or more persons	Owner occupied nonwhite	With central heating	With mechanical refrigeration	Owner occupied nonwhite	With central heating	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Median value of one-dwelling-unit structures (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm		
West Virginia.....	544,075	4.6	79.4	19.1	44.6	1.2	518,281	1,963,114	3.4	22.6	5.3	55.0	25.1	74.2	32.5	5,473	21,63	28.43		
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	442,205	4.5	72.3	19.7	52.0	1.2	423,869	1,525,432	3.3	21.6	6.3	49.7	29.0	79.1	32.5	5,473	21,63	28.43		
Urban.....	206,926	4.8	62.4	15.9	77.4	1.3	203,673	669,769	3.0	13.1	5.9	43.5	28.5	73.9	38.9	7,335	31,71	36.68		
Rural nonfarm.....	235,279	4.3	86.6	23.1	29.4	1.1	228,194	883,063	3.5	29.4	6.7	47.9	15.7	70.6	25.7	3,706	13,82	22.96		
Rural farm.....	101,870	5.2	97.1	16.3	12.5	1.5	94,412	410,282	3.9	27.1	0.7	78.6	7.7	51.9	***	***	***	***		
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																				
Charleston.....	87,485	4.4	76.3	26.2	55.3	1.3	84,346	316,217	3.3	22.5	7.5	47.6	25.0	83.6	39.5	6,840	26.43	31.33		
Huntington-Ashland.....	70,165	4.7	76.7	19.0	59.1	1.3	67,321	240,026	3.2	18.8	2.9	60.5	25.6	77.6	43.8	6,102	28.33	31.66		
Wheeling-Sherman.....	103,644	4.8	66.9	19.9	61.0	0.7	100,362	346,997	3.1	14.4	3.0	58.6	58.8	86.4	37.7	6,376	24.42	31.92		
URBAN PLACES																				
Bethel.....	5,723	4.7	74.3	23.3	75.2	1.2	5,284	19,046	3.1	13.7	21.1	60.0	61.9	87.0	42.0	7,306	37.64	41.86		
Blairefield.....	5,928	5.2	67.9	11.0	76.5	1.2	5,797	20,288	3.2	24.5	20.9	63.8	67.7	84.6	34.7	7,641	42.42	42.07		
Charleson.....	22,739	4.5	72.9	11.5	75.7	1.3	22,267	70,449	2.4	22.3	3.4	59.2	59.2	87.9	42.0	11,629	31.85	36.84		
Clarksburg.....	10,059	4.8	62.3	10.2	83.1	1.0	9,863	31,410	2.9	20.2	2.9	49.6	50.2	81.2	33.6	5,975	31.11	31.95		
Fairmont.....	9,070	4.7	62.6	12.7	82.3	1.2	8,869	28,768	3.0	11.2	5.3	55.4	50.1	86.5	36.5	7,111	37.95	41.86		
Huntington.....	26,268	4.8	60.4	14.3	82.9	1.4	25,988	82,026	2.9	12.1	4.8	52.1	36.4	85.8	46.2	8,221	31.58	33.65		
Martinsburg.....	4,941	5.2	48.2	9.8	63.5	1.2	4,808	15,414	2.9	9.8	4.8	47.0	60.5	85.8	30.5	6,353	27.64	38.40		
Morgantown.....	6,878	4.8	56.0	12.2	78.7	0.7	6,775	22,418	3.0	13.2	1.6	53.1	55.3	89.8	37.4	7,763	32.96	39.05		
Moundsville.....	4,051	4.9	70.4	9.8	72.5	0.8	3,967	13,293	3.0	13.0	0.7	59.2	42.1	85.5	41.0	5,820	24.37	29.96		
Parkersburg.....	9,969	5.1	64.6	10.9	85.8	2.8	9,563	29,120	2.8	8.2	1.9	52.3	56.2	87.1	41.8	8,559	34.27	34.01		
South Charleston.....	5,103	4.8	64.4	43.5	92.5	1.7	4,957	16,776	3.1	9.1	0.8	56.5	53.1	97.2	63.1	11,145	42.92	46.07		
St. Albans (annex).....	2,148	4.8	86.2	20.6	69.0	1.0	2,059	11,706	3.0	15.7	0.8	61.6	51.2	93.9	33.2	5,399	31.52	31.81		
Wheeling.....	18,380	4.6	44.4	4.8	62.3	2.0	77.9	0.4	6,363	23,786	3.5	16.1	4.9	62.0	85.3	94.7	36.45	8,212	26.43	

* Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit structures without business.

† Includes occupied trailers.

‡ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.

1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

December 1, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 49

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WISCONSIN

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the States)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Wisconsin increased 18 percent--from 897,719 in 1940 to 1,055,843 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 9 percent. In both housing and population, the percentage gains in Wisconsin were smaller than those for the United States, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units and 14 percent in population.

Almost one-fifth of all the dwelling units in the State were located on farms in rural areas. Nonfarm dwelling units in rural areas represented about one-fourth and the remaining dwelling units are in urban areas.

These and other summary data for Wisconsin, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

The State had 36 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940; in contrast, there was a decrease of 6 percent in the number of renters. The decrease in renters resulted largely from the sale of existing rental homes, thus shifting the tenure from renter to owner occupancy. In the 10-year period, the proportion occupied by home owners increased from 54 percent of all occupied dwelling units to 63 percent. Home ownership was more prevalent among rural-farm dwelling units than among urban dwelling units. Seventy-nine percent of the occupied rural-farm dwelling units were owner-occupied, as compared with 56 percent of the occupied urban dwelling units.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

(Minus sign (-) denotes decrease)

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950
	Number	Per- cent	Number	Per- cent	
All dwelling units	1,055,843	...	897,719	...	158,124
Occupied dwelling units	967,448	100.0	827,207	100.0	140,241
Owner occupied.....	613,999	63.5	450,208	54.4	163,791
Renter occupied.....	353,489	36.5	376,999	45.6	-23,510

Almost one-sixth of the dwelling units in Wisconsin were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among rural-nonfarm dwelling units of which 24 percent were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (8 percent) was among rural-farm dwelling units.

Approximately two-thirds of the occupied dwelling units in Wisconsin had central heating equipment. There was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Whitefish Bay, for example, 99 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with only 50 percent in Chippewa Falls. Among all occupied urban dwelling units, 78 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 40 percent in occupied rural-farm dwelling units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. In rural areas, 42 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 27 percent of the farm units, had hot running water, private flush toilet and bath, and were not dilapidated; 76 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$7,900. Thirty-eight percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Wisconsin. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Wisconsin will be available in December 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.50 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS, FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE:¹

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For completed-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units										Occupied dwelling units					Percent of dwelling units—			
	Total number	Percent of dwelling units—					Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	With 1 or more persons per room	Owner-occupied by non-white	With central heating*	With mechanical refrigerating	Percent mortgaged	Owner-occupied nonfarm					
		Median number of rooms	In one-story structures	With hot running water, private or public supply, and bath, built between 1940 and 1959, or lakefront	Vacant nonresidential buildings, not for rent or sale	Total number								Median value of one-family dwellings, start-of-year (dollars)	Median contract rent (dollars)	Renter-occupied nonfarm			
Wisconsin.....	1,05,843	5.2	68.1	15.1	58.5	1.0	967,448	3,320,043	3.1	11.2	0.9	63.5	64.4	81.9	37.8	7,927	37,851	44,99	
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	862,778	5.0	62.6	16.8	65.6	0.9	787,445	2,595,857	3.0	11.0	1.0	60.0	66.4	69.9	34.2	37.8	7,927	37,851	44,99
Urban.....	592,073	5.1	52.0	13.7	76.2	0.9	580,202	1,909,261	3.0	10.2	1.0	56.4	77.8	60.7	40.8	8,987	40,089	51,18	
Rural nonfarm.....	270,705	4.8	65.6	23.8	64.9	1.3	207,243	609,216	3.0	13.4	1.0	70.0	47.5	76.9	56.9	25.9	38,597	38,597	
Rural, nonfarm.....	193,085	6.6	53.0	7.5	26.9	1.3	180,033	724,186	3.7	11.8	0.2	78.6	40.1	72.0	29.8	5,687	41,112	49,49	
STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS																			
Green Bay.....	27,463	5.0	67.0	20.3	63.2	1.0	26,205	94,242	3.1	12.2	0.7	65.8	66.0	81.2	42.3	8,974	37,511	44,30	
Kenosha.....	25,433	4.7	64.9	20.0	72.7	0.8	21,968	71,707	3.1	10.7	0.3	62.6	81.1	81.8	45.2	8,896	39,469	44,34	
Milwaukee.....	42,022	5.0	62.4	18.8	64.9	1.0	46,026	155,864	3.1	15.6	0.5	58.1	78.4	88.8	44.2	10,919	30,115	54,59	
Milwaukee.....	39,384	5.0	62.4	18.8	64.9	0.9	249,036	831,324	3.1	14.9	0.9	62.9	82.9	86.2	44.2	11,922	44,011	54,59	
Milwaukee.....	34,112	5.0	63.4	16.5	67.0	0.6	31,402	105,763	3.1	9.3	1.3	64.2	79.0	88.7	45.5	9,305	39,222	49,49	
URBAN PLACES																			
Appleton.....	30,135	5.3	66.9	16.8	83.7	0.9	9,933	32,762	3.0	7.4	0.1	65.9	86.3	84.7	38.2	9,691	39,599	49,91	
Beloit and West Beloit.....	3,237	5.3	63.0	4.1	72.4	0.3	3,179	10,779	3.0	5.0	0.3	64.8	79.4	73.4	47.6	4,469	31,231	44,76	
Beaver Dam.....	3,689	5.7	65.1	12.1	77.0	0.7	3,132	11,939	3.0	11.1	0.7	60.3	83.3	82.6	47.6	5,774	31,077	44,76	
Beloit.....	9,116	5.5	66.4	11.9	75.4	0.7	8,279	11,916	3.1	11.7	0.7	62.1	82.1	84.6	47.6	5,974	31,077	44,76	
Chippewa Falls.....	3,246	4.9	60.3	11.7	65.1	1.1	3,203	10,832	2.9	11.6	1.3	66.1	50.2	81.6	26.8	5,912	27,477	39,56	
Cold Spring.....	3,313	4.5	64.7	15.2	83.7	0.4	11,882	31,779	3.1	14.5	0.1	62.0	74.0	72.2	46.4	10,084	37,431	44,89	
Cold Spring.....	10,936	4.5	64.7	15.2	83.7	0.4	10,668	34,929	3.0	11.7	0.1	60.0	72.0	72.2	46.4	10,084	37,431	44,89	
Cold Spring.....	9,531	4.5	64.7	15.2	83.7	0.4	9,794	28,836	3.0	10.9	0.2	66.5	82.8	89.5	39.5	8,851	39,213	44,89	
Cold Spring.....	1,759	4.5	67.0	17.0	75.6	0.7	6,794	10,668	3.0	10.9	0.2	58.9	74.0	83.2	48.8	6,667	39,123	44,89	
Cold Spring.....	1,759	5.3	62.0	11.1	75.6	0.7	6,005	50,005	3.0	10.9	0.2	58.9	74.0	83.2	48.8	6,667	39,123	44,89	
Cold Spring.....	7,629	5.3	62.0	11.1	74.8	0.7	7,627	21,116	3.1	11.3	0.2	63.0	64.2	83.2	48.8	6,667	39,123	44,89	
Cold Spring.....	16,353	4.8	50.4	13.6	86.7	0.7	16,128	53,100	3.1	9.6	0.4	59.1	90.0	92.3	44.0	9,137	40,197	44,46	
Cold Spring.....	16,007	4.9	50.4	13.6	86.7	0.7	15,795	45,213	3.1	10.7	0.2	55.7	62.6	85.3	32.9	9,223	38,977	44,46	
Cold Spring.....	26,533	4.6	47.2	18.5	79.0	1.2	26,027	83,725	2.9	10.7	0.7	51.2	92.3	91.4	50.1	11,777	53,444	56,96	
Cold Spring.....	8,407	4.8	46.9	13.5	78.8	1.4	8,225	26,704	3.0	9.9	0.2	51.7	75.6	86.5	32.0	9,549	34,835	44,44	
Cold Spring.....	4,468	5.3	64.6	6.5	64.6	1.5	4,198	26,015	3.1	7.7	0.1	66.2	56.8	77.6	28.4	4,893	24,260	37,397	
Cold Spring.....	3,458	4.8	60.2	20.3	67.0	1.0	3,498	11,796	3.1	12.3	0.1	59.5	66.3	80.7	30.8	3,794	36,911	44,16	
Cold Spring.....	3,407	5.1	64.7	15.4	73.9	0.5	3,372	12,234	3.1	11.6	0.4	68.9	74.1	88.0	44.5	8,622	31,317	44,16	
Cold Spring.....	18,926	5.3	52.9	11.7	73.9	0.9	18,734	60,776	3.0	10.2	0.2	43.0	82.3	87.2	44.5	11,082	53,773	44,16	
Cold Spring.....	3,746	5.3	52.9	11.7	73.9	0.9	3,672	12,263	3.1	11.6	0.4	73.5	86.1	90.7	43.3	8,844	36,666	44,16	
Cold Spring.....	28,563	5.6	63.6	11.7	69.9	0.9	28,289	39,693	2.9	7.9	0.1	66.0	75.1	83.2	44.6	6,659	33,774	44,16	
Cold Spring.....	21,024	5.1	63.3	9.1	62.2	0.5	21,145	69,241	3.0	12.0	1.5	59.8	68.4	69.1	44.9	9,761	40,377	50,05	
Cold Spring.....	11,133	5.1	63.3	9.1	62.2	0.5	11,079	16,079	3.0	12.0	1.5	64.9	74.1	84.8	70,922	31,211	45,25		
Cold Spring.....	5,270	5.5	43.3	13.5	94.3	1.1	5,118	9,943	3.0	12.6	0.1	67.8	85.2	86.8	45.2	7,172	81,246	44,16	
Cold Spring.....	3,372	4.9	50.8	14.5	83.8	0.7	3,354	15,428	3.1	12.6	0.1	62.3	82.7	85.8	38.8	3,765	37,133	44,16	
Cold Spring.....	4,533	5.4	59.7	11.2	68.3	0.9	4,458	15,423	3.1	12.6	0.1	67.8	62.7	60.3	47.9	3,765	37,133	44,16	

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-unit structures without businesses.

³ Restricted to dwelling-unit properties.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950—Con.

[A asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 20-percent sample. Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For cumulative count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100; for example, zero percent not shown where base is less than 100.

¹ Includes occupied trailers.

² Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-un-



1950 CENSUS OF HOUSING

ADVANCE REPORTS

FOR RELEASE

July 23, 1952

Washington 25, D. C.

Series HC-8, No. 50

HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS,
AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: APRIL 1950

WYOMING

(This report presents advance data from the Housing Series H-A bulletin for the State. The figures are final and replace those published earlier. Reports in this series are numbered according to the alphabetical order of the State)

During the forties, the number of dwelling units in Wyoming increased 20 percent—from 76,868 in 1940 to 92,086 in 1950. The growth in population for the same period was 16 percent. In housing, the percentage gain in Wyoming was somewhat smaller than that for the United States as a whole, which had an increase of 23 percent in dwelling units, while the percentage gain in population was somewhat greater, as compared with 14 percent for the United States.

Urban and rural-nonfarm dwelling units constituted about four-fifths of all the dwelling units in the State and farm dwelling units in rural areas represented the remaining one-fifth.

These and other summary data for Wyoming, based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing, were released today by Roy V. Peel, Director, Bureau of the Census, Department of Commerce.

Although the State had 35 percent more units occupied by owners in 1950 than in 1940, the proportion of home ownership showed only a small increase, from 49 percent in 1940 to 54 percent in 1950. Home ownership continued to be more prevalent in rural areas than in urban areas. Sixty-six percent of the occupied farm dwelling units in rural areas were owner-occupied, as compared with 53 percent of the occupied dwelling units in urban areas.

TENURE IN DWELLING UNITS, FOR THE STATE: 1950 AND 1940

Tenure	1950		1940		Increase, 1940 to 1950	
	Number	Percent	Number	Percent	Number	Percent
All dwelling units...	92,086	...	76,868	...	15,218	19.8
Occupied dwelling units...	84,185	100.0	69,374	100.0	14,811	21.3
Owner occupied.....	45,428	54.0	33,749	48.6	11,679	34.6
Renter occupied.....	38,757	46.0	35,625	51.4	3,132	8.8

Approximately one-fourth of the dwelling units in Wyoming were built between 1940 and 1950. The largest concentration of new units was among nonfarm

houses in rural areas, where 30 percent of the dwelling units were built during the forties; the smallest proportion (21 percent) was among the farm dwelling units in rural areas.

More than one-third of the occupied dwelling units in Wyoming had central heating equipment. However, there was a wide variation in the proportion of units with central heating equipment among localities and between urban and rural-farm dwelling units. In Cheyenne, for example, 67 percent of the occupied dwelling units had central heating equipment, as compared with 44 percent in Rock Springs. In occupied urban dwelling units, 53 percent had central heating equipment, as compared with 16 percent in occupied rural-farm units.

As measured by structural condition and plumbing facilities of the dwelling unit, nonfarm housing was of better quality than farm housing in the State. About 68 percent of the nonfarm units, as compared with only 28 percent of the farm units, were not dilapidated and had the following plumbing facilities: hot running water, private flush toilet and bath; 79 percent of the urban units met this standard.

The median rent paid by renters in nonfarm units was \$38 a month. The median value of owner-occupied nonfarm properties¹ was approximately \$6,800. Thirty-seven percent of the nonfarm home owners reported mortgages on their properties.

The data in this report are based on final tabulations of the 1950 Census of Housing. The table which follows will be included in the Housing Series H-A bulletin for Wyoming. Definitions, explanations, and statements on the changes in concepts and areas since the 1940 Census are also included in the bulletin. The bulletin for Wyoming will be available in August 1952 and may be purchased for \$0.30 from the Superintendent of Documents, Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D. C.

¹ Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit structures with only 1 dwelling unit on the property.

SUMMARY OF SELECTED HOUSING CHARACTERISTICS FOR THE STATE, STANDARD METROPOLITAN AREAS, AND URBAN PLACES OF 10,000 OR MORE: 1950

[Asterisk (*) denotes statistics based on 26-percent sample.
Percent not shown where less than 0.1. For complete-count items, percent and median not shown where base is less than 100;
for sample items, percent not shown where base is less than 500.]

Area	All dwelling units		Percent of dwelling units—		Occupied dwelling units		Percent of dwelling units—		Owner-occupied nonfarm		Renter-occupied nonfarm	
	Total number	Median number of rooms	With hot running water with separate private toilet, built in 1945 or later*	In one-dwelling-unit detached structures†	Population in dwelling units	Median number of persons per room	Occupied by nonwhite	Owner occupied by nonwhite	With central heating	With more than one dwelling-unit structure‡	Median value of dwelling-unit structures§ (dollars)	Median contract monthly rent (dollars)
			With hot running water with separate private toilet, built in 1945 or later*	In one-dwelling-unit detached structures†								
Wyoming.....	92,086	3.9	73.3	25.7	60,5	2.0	84,185	274,991	3.0	22.2	1.6	54.0
Urban and rural nonfarm.....	74,556	3.8	67.7	26.8	68.0	2.2	69,448	218,636	2.9	21.4	1.4	51.4
Urban.....	47,037	3.8	59.2	25.0	79.0	2.1	45,041	139,014	2.8	18.6	1.3	52.8
Rural.....	27,459	3.7	81.1	30.0	49.1	2.3	24,407	79,682	3.0	26.5	1.5	49.5
Rural farm.....	17,530	4.3	97.3	20.7	28.2	1.4	14,737	56,295	3.4	26.0	2.6	63.9
Urban places.....	7,975	3.8	56.3	19.1	80.4	1.1	7,776	22,872	2.6	16.8	1.2	54.8
Casper.....	10,317	3.8	42.7	26.5	83.1	1.7	10,045	31,026	2.8	17.9	2.6	42.9
Cheyenne.....	4,252	3.8	52.9	24.9	85.3	0.8	4,179	13,701	2.8	17.3	1.2	53.5
Laramie.....	3,550	3.8	63.6	16.0	76.7	1.3	3,328	10,346	2.9	18.2	2.0	44.4
Rock Springs.....	4,055	4.2	55.6	14.8	79.4	1.7	3,902	11,389	2.6	14.5	0.6	50.3
Sheridan.....												62.6

1. Includes occupied trailers.

2. Restricted to units in 1- to 4-dwelling-unit properties.

3. Restricted to 1-dwelling-unit properties.